

Course 2300
Section 12
Instructor Oleksandr Vlasiuk

Enrollment 23
Responses 20

	Average Score	COUNTS							Sum
		1's	2's	3's	4's	5's	6's	7's	
Question 1	5.30	0	1	0	5	6	2	6	20
Question 2	5.30	0	2	3	0	5	2	8	20
Question 3	5.95	1	0	0	0	4	7	8	20
Question 4	6.65	0	0	0	1	1	2	16	20
Question 5	6.20	0	0	1	1	2	5	11	20
Question 6	5.42	0	1	2	3	2	4	7	19
Question 7	6.00	0	0	0	2	5	4	9	20
Question 8	6.25	0	0	0	1	4	4	11	20
Question 9	6.15	0	0	2	0	2	5	11	20
Question 10	5.60	0	2	1	0	5	4	8	20
Question 11	6.45	0	0	1	0	1	5	13	20
Question 12	5.55	0	1	1	3	4	3	8	20
	70.82	1	7	11	16	41	47	116	
		0%	3%	5%	7%	17%	20%	49%	

Enrollment Responses

[illegible]

What do you think are the instructor's greatest strengths?

Enthusiastic about teaching. He clearly likes math.

High energy, does a good job of ensuring the explanations are concise

Very nice and open for office hours.

Knows exactly what he is talking about

He is very passionate about what he is teaching and he is good at teaching the reasons behind why things work

He explains things well and is very passionate about the subject!

He always makes sure that everyone understands the material before moving forward.

Good notes, clear homework

Being available to answer any questions.

Strengths Continued...

I really like how passionate and excited he is about the material. He tries to make the class fun, while still teaching all of the material. It is clear that he enjoys the subject and is trying his best to teach it to us well, and I really appreciate that.

I think his excitement and genuine interest in the material is his greatest strength. He makes class more fun and interesting.

He is very passionate and knowledgeable about the subject and engages with students.

Good at answering questions

Very personable. Tells jokes and generally shows interest in teaching

What suggestions would you give to improve the instructor's teaching?

Instructor should employ more visuals/relatable examples to explain the concepts. I find that when my instructor uses real world objects to

The class is going very fast, much faster than some of the other sections of 2300. I would suggest slowing down and also doing more examples of each concept.

Maybe be slightly more clear when explaining proofs in lectures.

Sometimes knows too much about what he is talking about, at times causes him to assume we have the same mathematical intuition as him so some sense is lost

Give more examples. Sometimes it's hard to understand what we are learning because we don't apply it to an actual example.

Spread out exams more

Moving slower to make sure everyone understands.

I wish he would help us be more prepared for the test by explaining its format. We know the test is coming, but we don't know what to focus on when studying or what the questions will look like. Also, it's hard to write all of the notes down and retain what he is saying. If he went a little slower with the notes, I think I would be able to follow along better.

Suggestions Continued....

I think it would be better to have the tests more spaced out. It would also be helpful to go over more practice problems. Finally, I think it would be nice to have a study guide for the test and a list of all of the general concepts that we should really focus on before each test.

He does not always understand the ways in which a student who is new to the material may get confused with or need more clarification about.

Indicate important points to remember

Sometimes it is difficult to tell which information is important to know

Course 2300
Section 13
Instructor Oleksandr Vlasiuk

Enrollment 16
Responses 10

	Average Score	COUNTS							Sum
		1's	2's	3's	4's	5's	6's	7's	
Question 1	5.10	1	0	2	1	0	2	4	10
Question 2	5.00	1	0	1	1	3	1	3	10
Question 3	5.40	0	1	0	2	1	3	3	10
Question 4	6.60	0	0	0	0	1	2	7	10
Question 5	6.00	0	0	0	2	1	2	5	10
Question 6	5.30	0	1	2	1	0	1	5	10
Question 7	6.20	0	0	1	0	0	4	5	10
Question 8	6.00	0	0	0	2	0	4	4	10
Question 9	6.30	0	0	0	2	0	1	7	10
Question 10	5.50	1	1	0	0	1	2	5	10
Question 11	6.50	0	0	0	0	1	3	6	10
Question 12	4.90	1	0	3	0	0	3	3	10
68.80		4	3	9	11	8	28	57	
		3%	3%	8%	9%	7%	23%	48%	

Enrollment Responses

[illegible]

What do you think are the instructor's greatest strengths?

He's nice.

It seems like he is trying to his best!

I like his lecture style. It's well organized, and it's clear how the different points are connected.

Interesting presentational style and good intonation. Makes class more enjoyable and less monotone.

Preparation for each day's material, enthusiasm while teaching the content, and examples of concept with easy-to-understand models and practical thought-experiments.

The professor is good at acting enthusiastic about material and walking through proofs of formulas and theorems.

He's very good at giving us examples and walking us through proofs

He presents the material clearly.

Very prepared, very knowledgeable.

What suggestions would you give to improve the instructor's teaching?

To structure the material better, focusing more on doing problems than concept.

Show more pace in class! Other professors are going much slower. Less hw assignments! Other professors are giving much less homework (at max one assignment per week!) Better explanation of the mathematical concepts using textbook terminology. Sticking to the textbook structure! Making class engaging through asking questions when appropriate!

I would appreciate it if a clearer emphasis was put on especially important information.

No suggestions

Maybe start off the semester clarifying homework grading policy. Otherwise, it's all good.

The homework is often far different from what was shown in class. There's rarely if ever an example walk through during class time. Since the entire class centers around the proofs of material rather than its application, most of my learning has come from reading the textbook rather than in class sessions. The first test was somewhat fair, but features questions on material we hadn't covered in class but was summarized in the book. Class often goes anywhere from 5 to 10 minutes later than the end time which also can be frustrating. From what I've heard from students with other professors, the pace at which my class is learning the material is way ahead anyone else. This doesn't seem fair when other students are given the opportunity to spend more time on the material before an exam.

I wish he would better highlight which points are the most important

Little to no feedback on homework

Florida State University

2019 Fall Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0019.fa19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasniuk *
Response Rate: 12/17 (70.59 %)

1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	2	18.18%								
Agree				(4)	6	54.55%								
Neutral				(3)	2	18.18%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	9.09%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/17 (64.71%)		3.73	1.10	4.00	4380		4.21	0.96	4.00	114303	4.29	0.93	5.00	

2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	3	27.27%	<div><div></div></div>		<div><div>4.09</div></div>	<div><div>4.27</div></div>	<div><div>4.36</div></div>			
Agree				(4)	6	54.55%	<div><div></div></div>							
Neutral				(3)	2	18.18%	<div><div></div></div>							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>							
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
11/17 (64.71%)		4.09	0.70	4.00	4356		4.27	0.92	4.00	113488		4.36	0.88	5.00

3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	3	27.27%		4.00	4.19	4.24				
Agree				(4)	6	54.55%								
Neutral				(3)	1	9.09%								
Disagree				(2)	1	9.09%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/17 (64.71%)		4.00	0.89	4.00	4343		4.19	0.98	4.00	113269	4.24	0.98	5.00	

4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Strongly Agree				(5)	6	54.55%		4.36	4.26	4.33					
Agree				(4)	3	27.27%									
Neutral				(3)	2	18.18%									
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%									
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%									
								0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	
11/17 (64.71%)		4.36	0.81	5.00	4341		4.26	0.94	5.00	113090		4.33	0.92	5.00	

Florida State University
2019 Fall Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0019.fa19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 12/17 (70.59 %)

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	2	18.18%								
Agree			(4)	7	63.64%								
Neutral			(3)	1	9.09%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	1	9.09%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/17 (64.71%)		3.82	1.08	4.00	4332	4.15	1.02	4.00	112896	4.26	0.99	5.00	

6 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided clear expectations for the course. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	3	27.27%		4.00	4.33	4.42				
Agree				(4)	6	54.55%								
Neutral				(3)	1	9.09%								
Disagree				(2)	1	9.09%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/17 (64.71%)		4.00	0.89	4.00	4354		4.33	0.92	5.00	121066	4.42	0.89	5.00	

7 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk communicated effectively. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	1	9.09%								
Agree				(4)	7	63.64%								
Neutral				(3)	2	18.18%								
Disagree				(2)	1	9.09%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/17 (64.71%)		3.73	0.79	4.00	4345		4.17	1.06	5.00	121062	4.35	0.97	5.00	

8 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk stimulated my interest in the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	1	9.09%								
Agree				(4)	4	36.36%								
Neutral				(3)	5	45.45%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	9.09%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/17 (64.71%)		3.36	1.03	3.00	4335		3.76	1.23	4.00	120835	4.19	1.08	5.00	

Florida State University
2019 Fall Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0019.fa19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 12/17 (70.59 %)

9 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided helpful feedback on my work. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	1	9.09%								
Agree				(4)	8	72.73%								
Neutral				(3)	1	9.09%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	9.09%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/17 (64.71%)		3.73	1.01	4.00	4338		3.84	1.18	4.00	120617	4.20	1.05	5.00	

10 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated respect for students. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	5	45.45%		4.36	4.40	4.56				
Agree				(4)	5	45.45%								
Neutral				(3)	1	9.09%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/17 (64.71%)		4.36	0.67	4.00	4325		4.40	0.91	5.00	120565	4.56	0.78	5.00	

11 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated mastery of the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	10	90.91%								
Agree				(4)	1	9.09%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/17 (64.71%)		4.91	0.30	5.00	4336		4.47	0.88	5.00	120632	4.57	0.77	5.00	

12 - Overall course content rating.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Excellent				(5)	2	18.18%								
Above Satisfactory				(4)	4	36.36%								
Satisfactory				(3)	4	36.36%								
Below Satisfactory				(2)	0	0.00%								
Poor				(1)	1	9.09%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/17 (64.71%)		3.55	1.13	4.00	4322		3.95	1.06	4.00	112542	4.15	1.03	5.00	

Florida State University
2019 Fall Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0019.fa19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 12/17 (70.59 %)

13 - Overall rating for Oleksandr Vlasiuk -

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means					
Excellent			(5)	4	40.00%				4.20	4.09	4.31		
Above Satisfactory			(4)	5	50.00%								
Satisfactory			(3)	0	0.00%								
Below Satisfactory			(2)	1	10.00%								
Poor			(1)	0	0.00%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
10/17 (58.82%)		4.20	0.92	4.00	4315	4.09	1.10	4.00	120109	4.31	1.00	5.00	

14 - What is your year in school?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1st year	(1)	8	72.73%							
Sophomore	(2)	0	0.00%							
Junior	(3)	3	27.27%							
Senior	(4)	0	0.00%							
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%							
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
11/17 (64.71%)										

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%							
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%							
2.5-2.99	(3)	0	0.00%							
3.0-3.49	(4)	5	45.45%							
3.5-4.0	(5)	4	36.36%							
Not Applicable	(6)	2	18.18%							
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
11/17 (64.71%)										

16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
A	(1)	3	27.27%							
B	(2)	3	27.27%							
C	(3)	5	45.45%							
D	(4)	0	0.00%							
F	(5)	0	0.00%							
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%							
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
11/17 (64.71%)										

Florida State University

2019 Fall Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0019.fa19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 12/17 (70.59 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	9	81.82%	<div style="width: 81.82%;"></div>				
No	(2)	2	18.18%	<div style="width: 18.18%;"></div>				
				0 25 50 100				
				Response Rate				
				11/17 (64.71%)				

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	5/17 (29.41%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • not much • Alex attempts to relate to the class, which I really enjoy. • I found the study guides before tests to be incredibly useful. • I liked his willingness to help those who have questions and how accommodating he was (moving his office hours to fit in students' schedules). I also like how his grading is focused more on the process rather than the final answer. • Having a day to do a few questions from the review in groups with help from the professor. 	

19 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	4/17 (23.53%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Alex's explanations are very vague. He is obviously very smart and understands this subject very well, however he cannot explain the content in a way to understand it. On tests and quizzes he does not give feedback other than putting frowny faces and question marks on it. I would be getting the same knowledge out of this class if hadn't attended each class. The practice problems we do in class are way easier than the test questions so it is very hard to prepare for tests. Taking this class was basically me teaching myself all of the content from youtube. Alex is the reason why I have depression. • Alex teaches really fast and assumes that you know many advanced concepts when he goes over his content. I understand we're on a schedule here but uhhh... Slow down? • At some times I felt that we were rushing and cramming material. I feel like the test should be on a per chapter basis so like material is clumped together, rather than sprinkled throughout the four test. Also, four test and a final governing our entire grade is a little stressful because one bad day could drastically alter your grade. • It might have helped if he spoke a little slower. 	

20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate	1/17 (5.88%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A 	



Course: MAC2312-0014.fa19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasniuk *
Response Rate: 13/18 (72.22 %)

1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	2	16.67%								
Agree				(4)	4	33.33%								
Neutral				(3)	1	8.33%								
Disagree				(2)	2	16.67%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	3	25.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
12/18 (66.67%)		3.00	1.54	3.50	4380		4.21	0.96	4.00	114303		4.29	0.93	5.00

2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	4	33.33%							
Agree				(4)	1	8.33%							
Neutral				(3)	5	41.67%							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	2	16.67%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
12/18 (66.67%)		3.42	1.44	3.00	4356		4.27	0.92	4.00	113488	4.36	0.88	5.00

3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	5	41.67%							
Agree				(4)	2	16.67%							
Neutral				(3)	1	8.33%							
Disagree				(2)	3	25.00%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	8.33%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
12/18 (66.67%)		3.58	1.51	4.00	4343		4.19	0.98	4.00	113269	4.24	0.98	5.00

4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	5	41.67%							
Agree				(4)	2	16.67%							
Neutral				(3)	3	25.00%							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	2	16.67%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
12/18 (66.67%)		3.67	1.50	4.00	4341		4.26	0.94	5.00	113090	4.33	0.92	5.00

Florida State University
2019 Fall Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0014.fa19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 13/18 (72.22 %)

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Strongly Agree				(5)	5	41.67%									
Agree				(4)	2	16.67%									
Neutral				(3)	2	16.67%									
Disagree				(2)	1	8.33%									
Strongly Disagree				(1)	2	16.67%									
								0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	
12/18 (66.67%)		3.58	1.56	4.00	4332		4.15	1.02	4.00	112896		4.26	0.99	5.00	

6 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided clear expectations for the course. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means									
Strongly Agree				(5)	4	33.33%												
Agree				(4)	0	0.00%												
Neutral				(3)	3	25.00%												
Disagree				(2)	1	8.33%												
Strongly Disagree				(1)	4	33.33%												
							0	25	50	100	Question		Department		University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median				
12/18 (66.67%)		2.92	1.73	3.00	4354		4.33	0.92	5.00	121066		4.42	0.89	5.00				

7 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk communicated effectively. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	3	25.00%								
Agree				(4)	1	8.33%								
Neutral				(3)	2	16.67%								
Disagree				(2)	2	16.67%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	4	33.33%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/18 (66.67%)		2.75	1.66	2.50	4345		4.17	1.06	5.00	121062	4.35	0.97	5.00	

8 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk stimulated my interest in the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Strongly Agree				(5)	4	33.33%									
Agree				(4)	1	8.33%									
Neutral				(3)	2	16.67%									
Disagree				(2)	1	8.33%									
Strongly Disagree				(1)	4	33.33%									
								0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median		
12/18 (66.67%)		3.00	1.76	3.00	4335		3.76	1.23	4.00	120835	4.19	1.08	5.00		

Florida State University
2019 Fall Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0014.fa19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 13/18 (72.22 %)

9 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided helpful feedback on my work. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	4	33.33%							
Agree				(4)	2	16.67%							
Neutral				(3)	2	16.67%							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	4	33.33%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
12/18 (66.67%)		3.17	1.75	3.50	4338		3.84	1.18	4.00	120617	4.20	1.05	5.00

10 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated respect for students. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	6	50.00%		3.92	4.40	4.56			
Agree				(4)	2	16.67%							
Neutral				(3)	2	16.67%							
Disagree				(2)	1	8.33%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	8.33%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
12/18 (66.67%)		3.92	1.38	4.50	4325		4.40	0.91	5.00	120565	4.56	0.78	5.00

11 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated mastery of the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	6	50.00%							
Agree				(4)	2	16.67%							
Neutral				(3)	1	8.33%							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	3	25.00%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
12/18 (66.67%)		3.67	1.72	4.50	4336		4.47	0.88	5.00	120632	4.57	0.77	5.00

12 - Overall course content rating.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Excellent				(5)	3	25.00%								
Above Satisfactory				(4)	1	8.33%								
Satisfactory				(3)	4	33.33%								
Below Satisfactory				(2)	2	16.67%								
Poor				(1)	2	16.67%								
							02550100	Question	Department	University				
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
12/18 (66.67%)		3.08	1.44	3.00	4322		3.95	1.06	4.00	112542		4.15	1.03	5.00

Florida State University

2019 Fall Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0014.fa19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 13/18 (72.22 %)

13 - Overall rating for Oleksandr Vlasiuk -

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Excellent	(5)	4	33.33%	<div><div></div></div>	<div> <div>3.08</div> <div>4.09</div> <div>4.31</div> </div>						
Above Satisfactory	(4)	1	8.33%	<div><div></div></div>							
Satisfactory	(3)	2	16.67%	<div><div></div></div>							
Below Satisfactory	(2)	2	16.67%	<div><div></div></div>							
Poor	(1)	3	25.00%	<div><div></div></div>							
				0 25 50 100	Question	Department	University				
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
12/18 (66.67%)	3.08	1.68	3.00	4315	4.09	1.10	4.00	120109	4.31	1.00	5.00

14 - What is your year in school?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
1st year	(1)	1	8.33%	<div><div></div></div>							
Sophomore	(2)	8	66.67%	<div><div></div></div>							
Junior	(3)	2	16.67%	<div><div></div></div>							
Senior	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>							
Grad/Other	(5)	1	8.33%	<div><div></div></div>							
				0 25 50 100							
Response Rate											
12/18 (66.67%)											

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>							
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>							
2.5-2.99	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>							
3.0-3.49	(4)	3	25.00%	<div><div></div></div>							
3.5-4.0	(5)	6	50.00%	<div><div></div></div>							
Not Applicable	(6)	3	25.00%	<div><div></div></div>							
				0 25 50 100							
Response Rate											
12/18 (66.67%)											

16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
A	(1)	4	33.33%	<div><div></div></div>							
B	(2)	4	33.33%	<div><div></div></div>							
C	(3)	2	16.67%	<div><div></div></div>							
D	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>							
F	(5)	1	8.33%	<div><div></div></div>							
Not Applicable	(6)	1	8.33%	<div><div></div></div>							
				0 25 50 100							
Response Rate											
12/18 (66.67%)											

Florida State University

2019 Fall Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0014.fa19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 13/18 (72.22 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	12	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100				
				Response Rate				
				12/18 (66.67%)				

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	8/18 (44.44%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Oleksandr always offered plenty of office hours, and these were very helpful for me. Whenever I didn't fully understand something, he always went out of his way to explain the problem in a different and clearer way. He was very helpful. During office hours he helped you walk through your questions and made it a lot easier to understand the content. His notes also directly coordinated with the homework so that was very helpful. The study guides accurately represented the expectations on the test. He is super funny and approachable. I genuinely enjoyed seeing how excited he gets about math and though I don't share the same excitement it is very refreshing to see. Everything was very clearly laid out and the notes were easy to follow. The answers worked out after quizzes and tests were helpful. He's very excited to learn and is entertaining to watch. You can tell he knows the material inside and out and is very knowledgeable Lectures were very helpful, the tests felt like a good assessment of what we had learned. Guy is very hard to understand as well as his way of teaching is very confusing and does not clearly explain what we are suppose to be doing. Office hours are extremely helpful. I was able to learn a weeks worth of instruction material in one sitting of office hours. 	

19 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	8/18 (44.44%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I think the review packets are too long and contain some irrelevant practice problems. The homework was extremely hard in comparison to the examples given in class and on the test, but in the end it prepared you well for the tests so nothing really needed improving. I think the review day before a test could be worked on. I personally really prefer to see problems worked out because I can work out problems on my own from home and if I need help that's what office hours and tutoring are for. I also think some supplemental problems that aren't homework but maybe extra credit or maybe even nothing should be offered to work on throughout the semester in case you need more problems of the same caliber to study for the tests and quizzes because our homework assignment are typically short. Teaching style. Goes a little too fast for me. Can skip steps in the process of solving in equation that leaves me confused The homework problems were often much harder than what was covered in the lecture. everything, he needs to make the class easier to understand as many times throughout the semester everyone was confused and a lot of people had to teach themselves the material. The instructor moved the lesson too quickly and often skip steps in calculations which made it difficult to follow and understand. 	

20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate	6/18 (33.33%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N/A Overall you made a class that I was honestly terrified for very manageable and I can not express how grateful I am. Thank you so much for your teaching skills and for your approach to this course. Very knowledgeable but does not know how to teach the subject matter effectively to students. The tests don't accurately discern between measuring understanding of the concepts learned in the course and the slog of the algebraic rules Overall I thought the course was very manageable and Alex did a great job teaching. Please make the HW easier the questions were 10x harder than anything we had to do and didnt have much relevance to the class 	

Florida State University

2020 Fall Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0007.fa20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasniuk *
Response Rate: 9/14 (64.29 %)

1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Strongly Agree			(5)	3	42.86%									
Agree			(4)	2	28.57%									
Neutral			(3)	1	14.29%									
Disagree			(2)	1	14.29%									
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%									
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median		
7/14 (50.00%)		4.00	1.15	4.00	3366	4.08	1.05	4.00	91877	4.33	0.90	5.00		

2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	3	42.86%	<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>	3.86	4.18	4.39			
Agree				(4)	1	14.29%							
Neutral				(3)	2	28.57%							
Disagree				(2)	1	14.29%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
7/14 (50.00%)		3.86	1.21	4.00	3330		4.18	0.97	4.00	91245	4.39	0.86	5.00

3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	3	42.86%	<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>	3.86	4.07	4.28				
Agree				(4)	1	14.29%								
Neutral				(3)	2	28.57%								
Disagree				(2)	1	14.29%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
7/14 (50.00%)		3.86	1.21	4.00	3334		4.07	1.07	4.00	91152	4.28	0.96	5.00	

4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Strongly Agree				(5)	4	57.14%	<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>	<div><div>4.43</div><div>4.22</div><div>4.38</div></div>							
Agree				(4)	2	28.57%									
Neutral				(3)	1	14.29%									
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%									
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%									
								0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	
7/14 (50.00%)		4.43	0.79	5.00	3329		4.22	0.92	4.00	91071		4.38	0.88	5.00	

Florida State University
2020 Fall Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0007.fa20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 9/14 (64.29 %)

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	1	14.29%								
Agree			(4)	3	42.86%								
Neutral			(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree			(2)	3	42.86%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
7/14 (50.00%)		3.29	1.25	4.00	3331	4.05	1.06	4.00	90877	4.30	0.95	5.00	

6 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided clear expectations for the course. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	3	42.86%								
Agree				(4)	2	28.57%								
Neutral				(3)	1	14.29%								
Disagree				(2)	1	14.29%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
7/14 (50.00%)		4.00	1.15	4.00	3328		4.25	1.00	5.00	101239		4.43	0.88	5.00

7 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk communicated effectively. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	2	28.57%								
Agree				(4)	1	14.29%								
Neutral				(3)	3	42.86%								
Disagree				(2)	1	14.29%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
7/14 (50.00%)		3.57	1.13	3.00	3332		4.11	1.13	4.00	101170	4.37	0.96	5.00	

8 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk stimulated my interest in the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	0	0.00%								
Agree				(4)	3	42.86%								
Neutral				(3)	2	28.57%								
Disagree				(2)	2	28.57%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
7/14 (50.00%)		3.14	0.90	3.00	3328		3.72	1.24	4.00	100966		4.21	1.05	5.00

Florida State University

2020 Fall Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0007.fa20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 9/14 (64.29 %)

9 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided helpful feedback on my work. -

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	4	57.14%		4.43		3.82	4.20			
Agree			(4)	2	28.57%								
Neutral			(3)	1	14.29%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%								
02550100													
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	Question	Department	University	
7/14 (50.00%)			4.43	0.79	5.00	3324	3.82	1.21	4.00	100793	4.20	1.05	5.00

10 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated respect for students. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	3	42.86%		4.14	4.37	4.55				
Agree				(4)	2	28.57%								
Neutral				(3)	2	28.57%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
7/14 (50.00%)		4.14	0.90	4.00	3316		4.37	0.91	5.00	100665	4.55	0.78	5.00	

11 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated mastery of the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Strongly Agree				(5)	5	71.43%		4.57	4.39	4.57					
Agree				(4)	1	14.29%									
Neutral				(3)	1	14.29%									
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%									
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%									
								0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	
7/14 (50.00%)		4.57	0.79	5.00	3324		4.39	0.91	5.00	100735		4.57	0.76	5.00	

12 - Overall course content rating.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Excellent				(5)	1	14.29%			3.29	3.81	4.18				
Above Satisfactory				(4)	2	28.57%									
Satisfactory				(3)	2	28.57%									
Below Satisfactory				(2)	2	28.57%									
Poor				(1)	0	0.00%									
								0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	
7/14 (50.00%)		3.29	1.11	3.00	3313		3.81	1.13	4.00	90691		4.18	1.02	5.00	

Florida State University

2020 Fall Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0007.fa20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 9/14 (64.29 %)

13 - Overall rating for Oleksandr Vlasiuk -

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Excellent			(5)	3	42.86%	<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>	<div><div>4.00</div><div>3.97</div><div>4.32</div></div>					
Above Satisfactory			(4)	2	28.57%							
Satisfactory			(3)	1	14.29%							
Below Satisfactory			(2)	1	14.29%							
Poor			(1)	0	0.00%							
						02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
7/14 (50.00%)		4.00	1.15	4.00	3310	3.97	1.15	4.00	100492	4.32	0.99	5.00

14 - What is your year in school?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1st year	(1)	4	57.14%	<div><div></div></div>						
Sophomore	(2)	3	42.86%	<div><div></div></div>						
Junior	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Senior	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
7/14 (50.00%)										

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
2.5-2.99	(3)	1	14.29%	<div><div></div></div>						
3.0-3.49	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
3.5-4.0	(5)	4	57.14%	<div><div></div></div>						
Not Applicable	(6)	2	28.57%	<div><div></div></div>						
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
7/14 (50.00%)										

16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
A	(1)	4	57.14%	<div><div></div></div>						
B	(2)	1	14.29%	<div><div></div></div>						
C	(3)	1	14.29%	<div><div></div></div>						
D	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
F	(5)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Not Applicable	(6)	1	14.29%	<div><div></div></div>						
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
7/14 (50.00%)										

Florida State University

2020 Fall Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0007.fa20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 9/14 (64.29 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	7	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
				0 25 50 100				
				Response Rate				
				7/14 (50.00%)				

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	3/14 (21.43%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I liked the online videos that you provided for us. I'm not the greatest note taker when class time comes around, so being able to slow it down or rewatch certain sections was very helpful. The homework. I found that having review questions to practice for the exams was very helpful with studying purposes. I also found that taking quizzes on the material was helpful to better understand the material, because it shows our problem areas with the material before we take the exam. 	

19 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	3/14 (21.43%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Not sure anything can be improved. The course structure was pretty good for me. The lectures and the meetings on zoom were a waste of time. I believe that having live lectures, rather than pre-recorded lectures would have been very helpful, because we could then ask questions in the moment instead of waiting to ask the questions at office hours. 	

20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate	2/14 (14.29%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I really appreciated how lenient you were when dealing with some of the issues I had. We had internet problems and our power went out a great deal over the course of the semester and it was really nice to know that you wouldn't take it out on me for things that I couldn't control. Not sure if my name is tied to this, but I also really appreciate how you gave me a week to deal with some of my mental health problems. It was a great load off my back to not have to grind out the lectures between panic attacks and I really cannot thank you enough for that. Great course and I would take it again. Best of luck in your future endeavors, whatever those might be. :) I appreciated the clear and effective communication that Professor Vlasiuk had with the class; as well as when he saw the class struggling he tried to change his teaching methods to try and find the most effective method to help us better understand the material. 	

Florida State University

2019 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0014.sp19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 11/18 (61.11 %)

1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree	(5)	4	36.36%			4.18	4.20	4.32		
Agree	(4)	6	54.55%							
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%							
Disagree	(2)	1	9.09%							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%							
					0	25	50	100	Question	Department
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD
11/18 (61.11%)	4.18	0.87	4.00	3257	4.20	0.94	4.00	118802	4.32	0.91

2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree	(5)	6	54.55%			4.36	4.28	4.37		
Agree	(4)	4	36.36%							
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%							
Disagree	(2)	1	9.09%							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%							
					0	25	50	100	Question	Department
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD
11/18 (61.11%)	4.36	0.92	5.00	3227	4.28	0.89	4.00	117724	4.37	0.87

3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree	(5)	6	54.55%			4.36	4.15	4.26		
Agree	(4)	3	27.27%							
Neutral	(3)	2	18.18%							
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%							
					0	25	50	100	Question	Department
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD
11/18 (61.11%)	4.36	0.81	5.00	3215	4.15	1.00	4.00	117479	4.26	0.97

4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree	(5)	8	72.73%			4.73	4.32	4.34		
Agree	(4)	3	27.27%							
Neutral	(3)	0	0.00%							
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%							
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%							
					0	25	50	100	Question	Department
Response Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD
11/18 (61.11%)	4.73	0.47	5.00	3228	4.32	0.89	5.00	117359	4.34	0.90



Course: MAC2312-0014.sp19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 11/18 (61.11 %)

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	7	63.64%								
Agree			(4)	4	36.36%								
Neutral			(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/18 (61.11%)		4.64	0.50	5.00	3224	4.20	0.99	4.00	117042	4.29	0.96	5.00	

6 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided clear expectations for the course. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	7	63.64%								
Agree				(4)	4	36.36%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
11/18 (61.11%)		4.64	0.50	5.00	3233		4.38	0.86	5.00	126063		4.43	0.87	5.00

7 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk communicated effectively. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	5	45.45%								
Agree				(4)	4	36.36%								
Neutral				(3)	1	9.09%								
Disagree				(2)	1	9.09%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/18 (61.11%)		4.18	0.98	4.00	3225		4.28	0.98	5.00	125981	4.38	0.94	5.00	

8 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk stimulated my interest in the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	7	63.64%								
Agree				(4)	2	18.18%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	1	9.09%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	9.09%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/18 (61.11%)		4.18	1.40	5.00	3228		3.91	1.14	4.00	125729	4.22	1.05	5.00	

Florida State University
2019 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0014.sp19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 11/18 (61.11 %)

9 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided helpful feedback on my work. -

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	7	63.64%								
Agree			(4)	2	18.18%								
Neutral			(3)	1	9.09%								
Disagree			(2)	1	9.09%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/18 (61.11%)		4.36	1.03	5.00	3218	3.96	1.14	4.00	125485	4.22	1.03	5.00	

10 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated respect for students. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	8	72.73%			4.73	4.48	4.56			
Agree				(4)	3	27.27%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/18 (61.11%)		4.73	0.47	5.00	3221		4.48	0.81	5.00	125391	4.56	0.77	5.00	

11 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated mastery of the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means									
Strongly Agree				(5)	9	81.82%				4.73		4.60		4.58				
Agree				(4)	1	9.09%												
Neutral				(3)	1	9.09%												
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%												
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%												
							0	25	50	100	Question		Department		University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median				
11/18 (61.11%)		4.73	0.65	5.00	3216		4.60	0.70	5.00	125565		4.58	0.75	5.00				

12 - Overall course content rating.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Excellent				(5)	2	18.18%			4.00	3.96	4.17			
Above Satisfactory				(4)	7	63.64%								
Satisfactory				(3)	2	18.18%								
Below Satisfactory				(2)	0	0.00%								
Poor				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/18 (61.11%)		4.00	0.63	4.00	3202		3.96	1.05	4.00	116720	4.17	1.02	5.00	

Florida State University

2019 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0014.sp19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 11/18 (61.11 %)

13 - Overall rating for Oleksandr Vlasiuk -

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means					
Excellent			(5)	8	72.73%	<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>		4.45	4.23	4.34			
Above Satisfactory			(4)	2	18.18%								
Satisfactory			(3)	0	0.00%								
Below Satisfactory			(2)	0	0.00%								
Poor			(1)	1	9.09%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/18 (61.11%)		4.45	1.21	5.00	3199	4.23	1.01	5.00	125012	4.34	0.97	5.00	

14 - What is your year in school?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1st year	(1)	6	54.55%	<div><div></div></div>						
Sophomore	(2)	3	27.27%	<div><div></div></div>						
Junior	(3)	2	18.18%	<div><div></div></div>						
Senior	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
11/18 (61.11%)										

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
2.5-2.99	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
3.0-3.49	(4)	3	27.27%	<div><div></div></div>						
3.5-4.0	(5)	8	72.73%	<div><div></div></div>						
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
11/18 (61.11%)										

16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
A	(1)	4	36.36%	<div><div></div></div>						
B	(2)	3	27.27%	<div><div></div></div>						
C	(3)	4	36.36%	<div><div></div></div>						
D	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
F	(5)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
11/18 (61.11%)										

Florida State University
2019 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0014.sp19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 11/18 (61.11 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	11	100.00%	<div> <div></div> <div>02550100</div> </div>				
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
				02550100				
				Response Rate				
				11/18 (61.11%)				

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	0/18 (0%)
----------------------	-----------

19 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	0/18 (0%)
----------------------	-----------

20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate	0/18 (0%)
----------------------	-----------



Course: MAC2312-0009.sp19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 12/16 (75.00 %)

1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	4	33.33%			4.17	4.20	4.32			
Agree			(4)	7	58.33%								
Neutral			(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree			(2)	1	8.33%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/16 (75.00%)		4.17	0.83	4.00	3257	4.20	0.94	4.00	118802	4.32	0.91	5.00	

2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means										
Strongly Agree				(5)	5	41.67%		4.25	4.28	4.37								
Agree				(4)	5	41.67%												
Neutral				(3)	2	16.67%												
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%												
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%												
							0	25	50	100	Question		Department		University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median				
12/16 (75.00%)		4.25	0.75	4.00	3227		4.28	0.89	4.00	117724		4.37	0.87	5.00				

3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Strongly Agree				(5)	6	50.00%		4.33	4.15	4.26					
Agree				(4)	4	33.33%									
Neutral				(3)	2	16.67%									
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%									
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%									
								0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	
12/16 (75.00%)		4.33	0.78	4.50	3215		4.15	1.00	4.00	117479		4.26	0.97	5.00	

4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Strongly Agree				(5)	8	66.67%			4.58	4.32	4.34				
Agree				(4)	3	25.00%									
Neutral				(3)	1	8.33%									
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%									
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%									
								0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	
12/16 (75.00%)		4.58	0.67	5.00	3228		4.32	0.89	5.00	117359		4.34	0.90	5.00	



Course: MAC2312-0009.sp19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 12/16 (75.00 %)

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	7	58.33%		4.42	4.20		4.29			
Agree			(4)	4	33.33%								
Neutral			(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree			(2)	1	8.33%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/16 (75.00%)		4.42	0.90	5.00	3224	4.20	0.99	4.00	117042	4.29	0.96	5.00	

6 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided clear expectations for the course. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	4	33.33%		4.25	4.38	4.43				
Agree				(4)	7	58.33%								
Neutral				(3)	1	8.33%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/16 (75.00%)		4.25	0.62	4.00	3233		4.38	0.86	5.00	126063	4.43	0.87	5.00	

7 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk communicated effectively. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	6	50.00%		4.33	4.28	4.38				
Agree				(4)	4	33.33%								
Neutral				(3)	2	16.67%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/16 (75.00%)		4.33	0.78	4.50	3225		4.28	0.98	5.00	125981	4.38	0.94	5.00	

8 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk stimulated my interest in the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Strongly Agree				(5)	3	25.00%									
Agree				(4)	8	66.67%									
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%									
Disagree				(2)	1	8.33%									
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%									
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University		
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	Question	University		Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75.00%)		4.08	0.79	4.00	3228		3.91	1.14	4.00	125729	4.22		1.05	5.00	



Course: MAC2312-0009.sp19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 12/16 (75.00 %)

9 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided helpful feedback on my work. -

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	8	66.67%			4.58	3.96	4.22			
Agree			(4)	3	25.00%								
Neutral			(3)	1	8.33%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/16 (75.00%)		4.58	0.67	5.00	3218	3.96	1.14	4.00	125485	4.22	1.03	5.00	

10 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated respect for students. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	8	66.67%			4.58	4.48	4.56			
Agree				(4)	3	25.00%								
Neutral				(3)	1	8.33%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/16 (75.00%)		4.58	0.67	5.00	3221		4.48	0.81	5.00	125391	4.56	0.77	5.00	

11 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated mastery of the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means												
Strongly Agree				(5)	9	75.00%				4.67		4.60		4.58							
Agree				(4)	2	16.67%															
Neutral				(3)	1	8.33%															
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%															
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%															
02550100														Question		Department		University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median							
12/16 (75.00%)		4.67	0.65	5.00	3216		4.60	0.70	5.00	125565		4.58	0.75	5.00							

12 - Overall course content rating.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Excellent				(5)	4	33.33%			4.08	3.96	4.17			
Above Satisfactory				(4)	5	41.67%								
Satisfactory				(3)	3	25.00%								
Below Satisfactory				(2)	0	0.00%								
Poor				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75.00%)		4.08	0.79	4.00	3202		3.96	1.05	4.00	116720		4.17	1.02	5.00

Florida State University

2019 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0009.sp19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 12/16 (75.00 %)

13 - Overall rating for Oleksandr Vlasiuk -

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Excellent			(5)	5	41.67%	<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>	4.17	4.23	4.34			
Above Satisfactory			(4)	5	41.67%							
Satisfactory			(3)	1	8.33%							
Below Satisfactory			(2)	1	8.33%							
Poor			(1)	0	0.00%							
						02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
12/16 (75.00%)		4.17	0.94	4.00	3199	4.23	1.01	5.00	125012	4.34	0.97	5.00

14 - What is your year in school?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1st year	(1)	10	83.33%	<div><div></div></div>						
Sophomore	(2)	1	8.33%	<div><div></div></div>						
Junior	(3)	1	8.33%	<div><div></div></div>						
Senior	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
0 25 50 100										
Response Rate										
12/16 (75.00%)										

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
2.0-2.49	(2)	1	8.33%	<div><div></div></div>						
2.5-2.99	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
3.0-3.49	(4)	5	41.67%	<div><div></div></div>						
3.5-4.0	(5)	6	50.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
0 25 50 100										
Response Rate										
12/16 (75.00%)										

16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
A	(1)	3	27.27%	<div><div></div></div>						
B	(2)	4	36.36%	<div><div></div></div>						
C	(3)	4	36.36%	<div><div></div></div>						
D	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
F	(5)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
0 25 50 100										
Response Rate										
11/16 (68.75%)										

Florida State University
2019 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0009.sp19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 12/16 (75.00 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	9	75.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
No	(2)	3	25.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
				0 25 50 100				
				Response Rate				
				12/16 (75.00%)				

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	0/16 (0%)
----------------------	-----------

19 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	0/16 (0%)
----------------------	-----------

20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate	0/16 (0%)
----------------------	-----------

Florida State University

2019 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0018.sp19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasniuk *
Response Rate: 15/19 (78.95 %)

1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	6	40.00%								
Agree			(4)	7	46.67%								
Neutral			(3)	2	13.33%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
15/19 (78.95%)		4.27	0.70	4.00	3257	4.20	0.94	4.00	118802	4.32	0.91	5.00	

2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	5	33.33%								
Agree				(4)	9	60.00%								
Neutral				(3)	1	6.67%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
15/19 (78.95%)		4.27	0.59	4.00	3227		4.28	0.89	4.00	117724		4.37	0.87	5.00

3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	6	40.00%								
Agree				(4)	5	33.33%								
Neutral				(3)	2	13.33%								
Disagree				(2)	2	13.33%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
15/19 (78.95%)		4.00	1.07	4.00	3215		4.15	1.00	4.00	117479	4.26	0.97	5.00	

4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	9	60.00%								
Agree				(4)	6	40.00%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
15/19 (78.95%)		4.60	0.51	5.00	3228		4.32	0.89	5.00	117359	4.34	0.90	5.00	



Course: MAC2312-0018.sp19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 15/19 (78.95 %)

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	6	42.86%		4.36		4.20		4.29		
Agree				(4)	7	50.00%								
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
14/19 (73.68%)		4.36	0.63	4.00	3224		4.20	0.99	4.00	117042	4.29	0.96	5.00	

6 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided clear expectations for the course. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	5	33.33%			4.27	4.38	4.43			
Agree				(4)	9	60.00%								
Neutral				(3)	1	6.67%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
15/19 (78.95%)		4.27	0.59	4.00	3233		4.38	0.86	5.00	126063		4.43	0.87	5.00

7 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk communicated effectively. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	8	53.33%		4.40	4.28	4.38				
Agree				(4)	6	40.00%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	1	6.67%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
15/19 (78.95%)		4.40	0.83	5.00	3225		4.28	0.98	5.00	125981	4.38	0.94	5.00	

8 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk stimulated my interest in the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	7	46.67%								
Agree				(4)	5	33.33%								
Neutral				(3)	2	13.33%								
Disagree				(2)	1	6.67%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
15/19 (78.95%)		4.20	0.94	4.00	3228		3.91	1.14	4.00	125729	4.22	1.05	5.00	



Course: MAC2312-0018.sp19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 15/19 (78.95 %)

9 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided helpful feedback on my work. -

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree			(5)	7	46.67%			4.13	3.96	4.22		
Agree			(4)	5	33.33%							
Neutral			(3)	1	6.67%							
Disagree			(2)	2	13.33%							
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%							
						02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
15/19 (78.95%)		4.13	1.06	4.00	3218	3.96	1.14	4.00	125485	4.22	1.03	5.00

10 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated respect for students. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means									
Strongly Agree				(5)	12	80.00%												
Agree				(4)	3	20.00%												
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%												
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%												
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%												
							0	25	50	100	Question		Department		University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median				
15/19 (78.95%)		4.80	0.41	5.00	3221		4.48	0.81	5.00	125391		4.56	0.77	5.00				

11 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated mastery of the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	13	86.67%			4.87	4.60	4.58		
Agree				(4)	2	13.33%							
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
15/19 (78.95%)		4.87	0.35	5.00	3216		4.60	0.70	5.00	125565	4.58	0.75	5.00

12 - Overall course content rating.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Excellent				(5)	5	33.33%			4.00	3.96	4.17				
Above Satisfactory				(4)	5	33.33%									
Satisfactory				(3)	5	33.33%									
Below Satisfactory				(2)	0	0.00%									
Poor				(1)	0	0.00%									
								0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	
15/19 (78.95%)		4.00	0.85	4.00	3202		3.96	1.05	4.00	116720		4.17	1.02	5.00	

Florida State University
2019 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0018.sp19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 15/19 (78.95 %)

13 - Overall rating for Oleksandr Vlasiuk -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Excellent				(5)	8	53.33%							
Above Satisfactory				(4)	4	26.67%							
Satisfactory				(3)	3	20.00%							
Below Satisfactory				(2)	0	0.00%							
Poor				(1)	0	0.00%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
15/19 (78.95%)		4.33	0.82	5.00	3199		4.23	1.01	5.00	125012	4.34	0.97	5.00

14 - What is your year in school?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
1st year	(1)	6	40.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
Sophomore	(2)	9	60.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
Junior	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
Senior	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
				02550100				
Response Rate								
15/19 (78.95%)								

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%					
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%					
2.5-2.99	(3)	2	13.33%					
3.0-3.49	(4)	12	80.00%					
3.5-4.0	(5)	1	6.67%					
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%					
				02550100				
Response Rate								
15/19 (78.95%)								

16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
A	(1)	0	0.00%					
B	(2)	4	26.67%					
C	(3)	11	73.33%					
D	(4)	0	0.00%					
F	(5)	0	0.00%					
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%					
				02550100				
Response Rate								
15/19 (78.95%)								

Florida State University
2019 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0018.sp19: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 15/19 (78.95 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	15	100.00%	<div> <div></div> <div>02550100</div> </div>				
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
				02550100				
				Response Rate				
				15/19 (78.95%)				

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	0/19 (0%)
----------------------	-----------

19 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	0/19 (0%)
----------------------	-----------

20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate	0/19 (0%)
----------------------	-----------

Florida State University

2020 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0022.sp20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 13/18 (72.22 %)

1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	6	50.00%			4.50	4.25	4.35			
Agree			(4)	6	50.00%								
Neutral			(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/18 (66.67%)		4.50	0.52	4.50	2785	4.25	0.93	4.00	90103	4.35	0.89	5.00	

2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	8	66.67%		4.67	4.31	4.41				
Agree				(4)	4	33.33%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/18 (66.67%)		4.67	0.49	5.00	2781		4.31	0.88	5.00	89627	4.41	0.84	5.00	

3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	5	45.45%			4.27	4.25	4.30		
Agree				(4)	5	45.45%							
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%							
Disagree				(2)	1	9.09%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
11/18 (61.11%)		4.27	0.90	4.00	2768		4.25	0.94	4.00	89483	4.30	0.94	5.00

4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	8	66.67%	<div><div></div></div>		<div><div>4.67</div></div>	<div><div>4.35</div></div>	<div><div>4.39</div></div>			
Agree				(4)	4	33.33%	<div><div></div></div>							
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>							
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/18 (66.67%)		4.67	0.49	5.00	2768		4.35	0.88	5.00	89432	4.39	0.87	5.00	



Course: MAC2312-0022.sp20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 13/18 (72.22 %)

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	5	41.67%			4.17	4.25	4.32			
Agree			(4)	5	41.67%								
Neutral			(3)	1	8.33%								
Disagree			(2)	1	8.33%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/18 (66.67%)		4.17	0.94	4.00	2771	4.25	0.96	5.00	89218	4.32	0.94	5.00	

6 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided clear expectations for the course. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	7	58.33%			4.50	4.44	4.48			
Agree				(4)	4	33.33%								
Neutral				(3)	1	8.33%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/18 (66.67%)		4.50	0.67	5.00	2778		4.44	0.85	5.00	98482	4.48	0.83	5.00	

7 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk communicated effectively. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	6	50.00%		4.50	4.32	4.42				
Agree				(4)	6	50.00%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/18 (66.67%)		4.50	0.52	4.50	2779		4.32	0.99	5.00	98440	4.42	0.92	5.00	

8 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk stimulated my interest in the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	4	33.33%			4.00	3.96	4.25			
Agree				(4)	4	33.33%								
Neutral				(3)	4	33.33%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/18 (66.67%)		4.00	0.85	4.00	2777		3.96	1.18	4.00	98340	4.25	1.02	5.00	

Florida State University

2020 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0022.sp20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 13/18 (72.22 %)

9 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided helpful feedback on my work. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	7	58.33%		4.42	4.07	4.26				
Agree				(4)	3	25.00%								
Neutral				(3)	2	16.67%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/18 (66.67%)		4.42	0.79	5.00	2770		4.07	1.10	4.00	98143	4.26	1.01	5.00	

10 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated respect for students. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	6	54.55%			4.45	4.50	4.59			
Agree				(4)	4	36.36%								
Neutral				(3)	1	9.09%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
11/18 (61.11%)		4.45	0.69	5.00	2773		4.50	0.85	5.00	97984	4.59	0.75	5.00	

11 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated mastery of the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	9	75.00%			4.75	4.56	4.60			
Agree				(4)	3	25.00%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/18 (66.67%)		4.75	0.45	5.00	2776		4.56	0.78	5.00	98127	4.60	0.73	5.00	

12 - Overall course content rating.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Excellent				(5)	5	41.67%			4.00	4.06	4.22			
Above Satisfactory				(4)	2	16.67%								
Satisfactory				(3)	5	41.67%								
Below Satisfactory				(2)	0	0.00%								
Poor				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
12/18 (66.67%)		4.00	0.95	4.00	2762		4.06	1.03	4.00	89072	4.22	0.99	5.00	

Florida State University

2020 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0022.sp20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 13/18 (72.22 %)

13 - Overall rating for Oleksandr Vlasiuk -

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Excellent			(5)	8	66.67%		4.50	4.23		4.36				
Above Satisfactory			(4)	2	16.67%									
Satisfactory			(3)	2	16.67%									
Below Satisfactory			(2)	0	0.00%									
Poor			(1)	0	0.00%									
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
12/18 (66.67%)		4.50	0.80	5.00	2759		4.23	1.04	5.00	97820		4.36	0.95	5.00

14 - What is your year in school?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1st year	(1)	10	83.33%	<div><div></div></div>						
Sophomore	(2)	2	16.67%	<div><div></div></div>						
Junior	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Senior	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
12/18 (66.67%)										

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
2.0-2.49	(2)	1	8.33%	<div><div></div></div>						
2.5-2.99	(3)	1	8.33%	<div><div></div></div>						
3.0-3.49	(4)	5	41.67%	<div><div></div></div>						
3.5-4.0	(5)	5	41.67%	<div><div></div></div>						
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
12/18 (66.67%)										

16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
A	(1)	1	8.33%	<div><div></div></div>						
B	(2)	2	16.67%	<div><div></div></div>						
C	(3)	6	50.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
D	(4)	1	8.33%	<div><div></div></div>						
F	(5)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Not Applicable	(6)	2	16.67%	<div><div></div></div>						
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
12/18 (66.67%)										

Florida State University

2020 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0022.sp20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 13/18 (72.22 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	11	91.67%	<div><div></div></div>				
No	(2)	1	8.33%	<div><div></div></div>				
				0 25 50 100				
				Response Rate				
				12/18 (66.67%)				

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate 3/18 (16.67%)

- In-class examples and videos that began after remote courses began were well-structured and easy to comprehend.
- I found it incredibly useful that he kept all real work in the classroom so that there was no way to get the information elsewhere, making in-class time incredibly valuable. For an example, he taught us specific tricks and examples for a chapter of work that came back to help during the test for that chapter.
- Gives plenty of time and preparation material for tests and grades very nicely. Gives out a study guide for the tests about a week before tests and usually has questions that are on the test in the study guide so you know what to expect. The instructional videos were better than a zoom call because I could rewatch anything I didn't catch and go at my own pace.

19 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate 2/18 (11.11%)

- Some test questions were more complex than the basic concepts reviewed in class, but overall it brought back prior concepts that were all incorporated into Calculus as a whole
- One thing that he could do better with is communication. For one example, he didn't specify on a few occasions that we would have quizzes on certain days very clearly in the beginning of the semester, and there were points where I was unsure if we would take a quiz or not, because he hadn't made it entirely clear.

20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate 0/18 (0%)

Florida State University
2020 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0013.sp20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasniuk *
Response Rate: 14/16 (87.50 %)

1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	7	50.00%							
Agree				(4)	3	21.43%							
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%							
Disagree				(2)	1	7.14%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	3	21.43%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		3.71	1.68	4.50	2785		4.25	0.93	4.00	90103	4.35	0.89	5.00

2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means									
Strongly Agree				(5)	5	35.71%												
Agree				(4)	5	35.71%												
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%												
Disagree				(2)	1	7.14%												
Strongly Disagree				(1)	2	14.29%												
							0	25	50	100	Question		Department		University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median				
14/16 (87.50%)		3.71	1.44	4.00	2781		4.31	0.88	5.00	89627		4.41	0.84	5.00				

3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	6	42.86%							
Agree				(4)	4	28.57%							
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%							
Disagree				(2)	1	7.14%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	2	14.29%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		3.79	1.48	4.00	2768		4.25	0.94	4.00	89483	4.30	0.94	5.00

4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	7	50.00%							
Agree				(4)	4	28.57%							
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	3	21.43%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		3.86	1.61	4.50	2768		4.35	0.88	5.00	89432	4.39	0.87	5.00



Course: MAC2312-0013.sp20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasniuk *
Response Rate: 14/16 (87.50 %)

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	7	50.00%								
Agree				(4)	3	21.43%								
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	3	21.43%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
14/16 (87.50%)		3.79	1.63	4.50	2771		4.25	0.96	5.00	89218	4.32	0.94	5.00	

6 - Oleksandr Vlasniuk provided clear expectations for the course. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	6	42.86%							
Agree				(4)	4	28.57%							
Neutral				(3)	2	14.29%							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	2	14.29%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		3.86	1.41	4.00	2778		4.44	0.85	5.00	98482	4.48	0.83	5.00

7 - Oleksandr Vlasniuk communicated effectively. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	3	21.43%							
Agree				(4)	5	35.71%							
Neutral				(3)	4	28.57%							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	2	14.29%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		3.50	1.29	4.00	2779		4.32	0.99	5.00	98440	4.42	0.92	5.00

8 - Oleksandr Vlasniuk stimulated my interest in the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	3	21.43%							
Agree				(4)	6	42.86%							
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%							
Disagree				(2)	1	7.14%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	3	21.43%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		3.36	1.50	4.00	2777		3.96	1.18	4.00	98340	4.25	1.02	5.00

Florida State University
2020 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0013.sp20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 14/16 (87.50 %)

9 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided helpful feedback on my work. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	3	21.43%								
Agree				(4)	5	35.71%								
Neutral				(3)	2	14.29%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	4	28.57%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
14/16 (87.50%)		3.21	1.58	4.00	2770		4.07	1.10	4.00	98143		4.26	1.01	5.00

10 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated respect for students. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means									
Strongly Agree				(5)	4	28.57%												
Agree				(4)	5	35.71%												
Neutral				(3)	1	7.14%												
Disagree				(2)	2	14.29%												
Strongly Disagree				(1)	2	14.29%												
							0	25	50	100	Question		Department		University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median				
14/16 (87.50%)		3.50	1.45	4.00	2773		4.50	0.85	5.00	97984		4.59	0.75	5.00				

11 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated mastery of the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	7	50.00%								
Agree				(4)	3	21.43%								
Neutral				(3)	3	21.43%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	7.14%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
14/16 (87.50%)		4.07	1.21	4.50	2776		4.56	0.78	5.00	98127	4.60	0.73	5.00	

12 - Overall course content rating.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Excellent				(5)	3	21.43%									
Above Satisfactory				(4)	6	42.86%									
Satisfactory				(3)	2	14.29%									
Below Satisfactory				(2)	0	0.00%									
Poor				(1)	3	21.43%									
								0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	
14/16 (87.50%)		3.43	1.45	4.00	2762		4.06	1.03	4.00	89072		4.22	0.99	5.00	

Florida State University

2020 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0013.sp20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 14/16 (87.50 %)

13 - Overall rating for Oleksandr Vlasiuk -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Excellent				(5)	4	28.57%									
Above Satisfactory				(4)	4	28.57%									
Satisfactory				(3)	2	14.29%									
Below Satisfactory				(2)	1	7.14%									
Poor				(1)	3	21.43%									
								0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	
14/16 (87.50%)		3.36	1.55	4.00	2759		4.23	1.04	5.00	97820		4.36	0.95	5.00	

14 - What is your year in school?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1st year	(1)	8	57.14%	<div><div></div></div>						
Sophomore	(2)	6	42.86%	<div><div></div></div>						
Junior	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Senior	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
0 25 50 100										
Response Rate										
14/16 (87.50%)										

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
2.0-2.49	(2)	1	7.14%	<div><div></div></div>						
2.5-2.99	(3)	3	21.43%	<div><div></div></div>						
3.0-3.49	(4)	3	21.43%	<div><div></div></div>						
3.5-4.0	(5)	7	50.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
0 25 50 100										
Response Rate										
14/16 (87.50%)										

16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
A	(1)	2	14.29%	<div><div></div></div>						
B	(2)	3	21.43%	<div><div></div></div>						
C	(3)	5	35.71%	<div><div></div></div>						
D	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
F	(5)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Not Applicable	(6)	4	28.57%	<div><div></div></div>						
0 25 50 100										
Response Rate										
14/16 (87.50%)										

Florida State University

2020 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0013.sp20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 14/16 (87.50 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Yes	(1)	13	92.86%	<div><div></div></div>	
No	(2)	1	7.14%	<div><div></div></div>	
				0 25 50 100	
				Response Rate	
				14/16 (87.50%)	

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate 2/16 (12.5%)

- Professor Vlasiuk did a lot of examples in class that were helpful to refer back to.
- For each new subject, Professor Vlasiuk goes over several examples which makes it easy to follow. He also always tries to reach out to students and genuinely wants them to succeed in his class.

19 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate 4/16 (25%)

- Actually using the recitation times in the course to go over homework and follow up the lessons with examples we expect to see on tests. Not teaching directly word for word from the textbook, providing his own ideas, and delegating class time to understanding the material instead of bombarding us with quizzes every week.
- Vlasuk was the most rude professor I have ever had. I never attended his office hours because of his behavior towards myself and other students in the class, which bordered on vindictive. The quizzes and exams never reflected the level of difficulty required for the homework assignments. Speaking of which, our section had a recitation on Mondays, during which he refused to use to answer questions on the homework. If any of us dared ask a question in class, it was usually left unanswered, with the only response being his expression of how we should already know the answer, or that it was a silly question. He often showed up right before class was supposed to start and covered in sweat (if he wore a white shirt we could see the tattoo on his back). This wouldn't be much of an issue if he hadn't commented on students' unprofessional attire. As a mechanical engineering major, I truly do enjoy math as it is fun to see the numbers work out, to me it is like a puzzle. However this class made me hate math for the entire semester; it became my least liked subject, and I have already taken BC Calc in high school. Just to show you how much I hated this class: I enjoyed chemistry more than I enjoyed this class (if you are familiar with the physics/chemistry divide, I lie on the physics side). I am aware that he is new to teaching, but that is no excuse. Students are not the guinea pigs for a new professor to experiment on; we have our futures riding on our grades in classes like these, as well as our own sanity. FSU should know better than to put a teacher like this in a required class for many of the hardest majors FSU offers. At the very least a TA is needed to ensure that future students do not have the same struggles in an already difficult class. Also, Vlasuk needs to allow grades to be seen for future classes. He calculates our final grade based off an algorithm that he created (and we can see) however, it is impossible to calculate one's grade without the final exam. I understand why he did this, but he is not considering student's situations regarding their parents. Mine, for example, were not understanding as to how that worked and why I couldn't tell them my exact grade in the class until the very end of the semester. Furthermore, it is the student's right to know their exact grade as the semester continues and not have to guess and calculate it for themselves each time they want to know how they are doing.
- Spending more time explaining steps and problems to students in a way that is not confusing and answering questions more critically.
- Oleksandr is the worst professor I have ever had. He gives out exams with material that is far more complex than necessary then get upsets when students ask questions or get confused. He uses the recitation period as a lecture hall so there is almost never any time to ask questions and when there is it often ends in him getting agitated. I have never seen a class where so many students are failing or almost failing despite going to tutoring and spending hours on the material.

20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate 3/16 (18.75%)

- Was not satisfied with the Oleksandr Vlasuk's teaching. Should delegate his time more effectively towards what we will be assessed on instead of constantly assessing us.
- Assign him a TA for next semester.
- none

Florida State University

2020 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0017.sp20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 8/15 (53.33 %)

1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	2	40.00%		4.40		4.25		4.35		
Agree			(4)	3	60.00%								
Neutral			(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
5/15 (33.33%)		4.40	0.55	4.00	2785	4.25	0.93	4.00	90103	4.35	0.89	5.00	

2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	2	40.00%			4.40	4.31	4.41			
Agree				(4)	3	60.00%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
5/15 (33.33%)		4.40	0.55	4.00	2781		4.31	0.88	5.00	89627		4.41	0.84	5.00

3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	3	60.00%			4.60	4.25	4.30			
Agree				(4)	2	40.00%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
5/15 (33.33%)		4.60	0.55	5.00	2768		4.25	0.94	4.00	89483	4.30	0.94	5.00	

4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	4	80.00%			4.80	4.35	4.39			
Agree				(4)	1	20.00%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
5/15 (33.33%)		4.80	0.45	5.00	2768		4.35	0.88	5.00	89432	4.39	0.87	5.00	

Florida State University
2020 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0017.sp20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 8/15 (53.33 %)

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	3	60.00%								
Agree			(4)	2	40.00%								
Neutral			(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%								
						02550100	Question	Department	University				
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
5/15 (33.33%)		4.60	0.55	5.00	2771	4.25	0.96	5.00	89218	4.32	0.94	5.00	

6 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided clear expectations for the course. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	2	40.00%							
Agree				(4)	3	60.00%							
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
5/15 (33.33%)		4.40	0.55	4.00	2778		4.44	0.85	5.00	98482	4.48	0.83	5.00

7 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk communicated effectively. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	2	40.00%							
Agree				(4)	3	60.00%							
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%							
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%							
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%							
							02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
5/15 (33.33%)		4.40	0.55	4.00	2779		4.32	0.99	5.00	98440	4.42	0.92	5.00

8 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk stimulated my interest in the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	2	40.00%								
Agree				(4)	2	40.00%								
Neutral				(3)	1	20.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
5/15 (33.33%)		4.20	0.84	4.00	2777		3.96	1.18	4.00	98340	4.25	1.02	5.00	

Florida State University
2020 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0017.sp20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 8/15 (53.33 %)

9 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided helpful feedback on my work. -

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree			(5)	2	40.00%								
Agree			(4)	2	40.00%								
Neutral			(3)	1	20.00%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
5/15 (33.33%)		4.20	0.84	4.00	2770	4.07	1.10	4.00	98143	4.26	1.01	5.00	

10 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated respect for students. -

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means					
Strongly Agree			(5)	3	60.00%								
Agree			(4)	2	40.00%								
Neutral			(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0.00%								
						0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
5/15 (33.33%)		4.60	0.55	5.00	2773	4.50	0.85	5.00	97984	4.59	0.75	5.00	

11 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated mastery of the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses		Means					
Strongly Agree				(5)	5	100.00%	<div><div></div></div>		<div><div>5.00</div></div>	<div><div>4.56</div></div>	<div><div>4.60</div></div>			
Agree				(4)	0	0.00%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
5/15 (33.33%)		5.00	0.00	5.00	2776		4.56	0.78	5.00	98127		4.60	0.73	5.00

12 - Overall course content rating.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Excellent				(5)	3	60.00%								
Above Satisfactory				(4)	1	20.00%								
Satisfactory				(3)	1	20.00%								
Below Satisfactory				(2)	0	0.00%								
Poor				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
5/15 (33.33%)		4.40	0.89	5.00	2762		4.06	1.03	4.00	89072		4.22	0.99	5.00

Florida State University

2020 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0017.sp20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 8/15 (53.33 %)

13 - Overall rating for Oleksandr Vlasiuk -

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
Excellent			(5)	3	60.00%	<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>	4.40	4.23	4.36			
Above Satisfactory			(4)	1	20.00%							
Satisfactory			(3)	1	20.00%							
Below Satisfactory			(2)	0	0.00%							
Poor			(1)	0	0.00%							
						02550100	Question	Department	University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department	Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median
5/15 (33.33%)		4.40	0.89	5.00	2759	4.23	1.04	5.00	97820	4.36	0.95	5.00

14 - What is your year in school?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1st year	(1)	3	60.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Sophomore	(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Junior	(3)	2	40.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Senior	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
5/15 (33.33%)										

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
2.0-2.49	(2)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
2.5-2.99	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
3.0-3.49	(4)	3	60.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
3.5-4.0	(5)	2	40.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
5/15 (33.33%)										

16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
A	(1)	1	20.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
B	(2)	4	80.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
C	(3)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
D	(4)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
F	(5)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>						
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
5/15 (33.33%)										

Florida State University
2020 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0017.sp20: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 8/15 (53.33 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	4	80.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
No	(2)	1	20.00%	<div><div></div></div>				
				0 25 50 100				
				Response Rate				
				5/15 (33.33%)				

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate 2/15 (13.33%)

- His proofs/derivations followed by examples were very helpful for building my intuition on certain subjects.
- I liked how he would go over common mistakes on quizzes and tests to ensure that we understand where we went wrong. I also appreciate the reviews since they really help prepare you for the test.

19 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate 2/15 (13.33%)

- Certain subjects were tougher for me personally to grasp such as Lagrange Error bounds. I personally wish he provided more examples of topics like these since they are a bit harder to conceptualize.
- For the remainders in the series section I felt like I didn't understand how to do it properly so maybe that can be improved on but overall everything was great.

20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate 3/15 (20%)

- Exams are much easier than the reviews, as long as somebody does the practice problems he provides on webassign and the reviews before the exam, there's almost certainty you'll do well in this course.
- Calculus 2 without resources like ACE, mission impossible.
- Great teacher who really understands the material.

Florida State University

2021 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0008.sp21: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasniuk *
Response Rate: 11/15 (73.33 %)

1 - The course materials helped me understand the subject matter.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	1	12.50%	<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>	<div><div>3.50</div><div>4.16</div><div>4.35</div></div>						
Agree				(4)	4	50.00%								
Neutral				(3)	2	25.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	12.50%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
8/15 (53.33%)		3.50	1.20	4.00	2284		4.16	0.99	4.00	80871	4.35	0.89	5.00	

2 - The work required of me was appropriate based on course objectives.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	1	12.50%	<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>	<div><div>3.50</div><div>4.22</div><div>4.40</div></div>						
Agree				(4)	4	50.00%								
Neutral				(3)	2	25.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	12.50%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
8/15 (53.33%)		3.50	1.20	4.00	2272		4.22	0.95	4.00	80331		4.40	0.86	5.00

3 - The tests, project, etc. accurately measured what I learned in this course.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Strongly Agree				(5)	2	25.00%	<div><div></div></div>	<div><div>3.63</div><div>4.16</div><div>4.30</div></div>							
Agree				(4)	2	25.00%	<div><div></div></div>								
Neutral				(3)	3	37.50%	<div><div></div></div>								
Disagree				(2)	1	12.50%	<div><div></div></div>								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%	<div><div></div></div>								
								0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	
8/15 (53.33%)		3.63	1.06	3.50	2265		4.16	1.00	4.00	80212		4.30	0.95	5.00	

4 - This course encouraged me to think critically.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Strongly Agree				(5)	4	50.00%	<div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div><div></div></div>	4.50		4.26		4.40			
Agree				(4)	4	50.00%									
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%									
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%									
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%									
								0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	
8/15 (53.33%)		4.50	0.53	4.50	2261		4.26	0.90	4.00	80157		4.40	0.86	5.00	



Course: MAC2312-0008.sp21: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 11/15 (73.33 %)

5 - I learned a great deal in this course.

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	2	25.00%								
Agree				(4)	4	50.00%								
Neutral				(3)	1	12.50%								
Disagree				(2)	1	12.50%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
8/15 (53.33%)		3.88	0.99	4.00	2264		4.13	1.00	4.00	79981	4.32	0.94	5.00	

6 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided clear expectations for the course. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	1	12.50%		3.88	4.34	4.44				
Agree				(4)	5	62.50%								
Neutral				(3)	2	25.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
8/15 (53.33%)		3.88	0.64	4.00	2266		4.34	0.91	5.00	88149	4.44	0.87	5.00	

7 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk communicated effectively. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	0	0.00%								
Agree				(4)	4	50.00%								
Neutral				(3)	2	25.00%								
Disagree				(2)	2	25.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
8/15 (53.33%)		3.25	0.89	3.50	2268		4.25	1.02	5.00	88118	4.39	0.95	5.00	

8 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk stimulated my interest in the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	0	0.00%								
Agree				(4)	5	62.50%								
Neutral				(3)	2	25.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	12.50%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
8/15 (53.33%)		3.38	1.06	4.00	2263		3.86	1.19	4.00	87981	4.23	1.05	5.00	

Florida State University
2021 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0008.sp21: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 11/15 (73.33 %)

9 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk provided helpful feedback on my work. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	1	12.50%								
Agree				(4)	3	37.50%								
Neutral				(3)	3	37.50%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	1	12.50%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
8/15 (53.33%)		3.38	1.19	3.50	2257		4.00	1.12	4.00	87899	4.23	1.04	5.00	

10 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated respect for students. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	4	50.00%								
Agree				(4)	4	50.00%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
8/15 (53.33%)		4.50	0.53	4.50	2263		4.48	0.82	5.00	87745		4.56	0.78	5.00

11 - Oleksandr Vlasiuk demonstrated mastery of the subject matter. -

Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means						
Strongly Agree				(5)	6	75.00%								
Agree				(4)	2	25.00%								
Neutral				(3)	0	0.00%								
Disagree				(2)	0	0.00%								
Strongly Disagree				(1)	0	0.00%								
							0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University	Mean	STD	Median	
8/15 (53.33%)		4.75	0.46	5.00	2261		4.49	0.80	5.00	87921	4.57	0.75	5.00	

12 - Overall course content rating.



Response Option				Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Excellent				(5)	0	0.00%									
Above Satisfactory				(4)	2	25.00%									
Satisfactory				(3)	5	62.50%									
Below Satisfactory				(2)	1	12.50%									
Poor				(1)	0	0.00%									
								0	25	50	100	Question	Department	University	
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median	
8/15 (53.33%)		3.13	0.64	3.00	2262		3.93	1.09	4.00	79840		4.20	1.02	5.00	

Florida State University
2021 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0008.sp21: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 11/15 (73.33 %)

13 - Overall rating for Oleksandr Vlasiuk -

Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means							
Excellent			(5)	0	0.00%				3.38		4.14		4.33	
Above Satisfactory			(4)	4	50.00%									
Satisfactory			(3)	3	37.50%									
Below Satisfactory			(2)	1	12.50%									
Poor			(1)	0	0.00%									
						02550100	Question		Department		University			
Response Rate		Mean	STD	Median	Department		Mean	STD	Median	University		Mean	STD	Median
8/15 (53.33%)		3.38	0.74	3.50	2255		4.14	1.08	5.00	87707		4.33	0.98	5.00

14 - What is your year in school?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1st year	(1)	5	62.50%							
Sophomore	(2)	3	37.50%							
Junior	(3)	0	0.00%							
Senior	(4)	0	0.00%							
Grad/Other	(5)	0	0.00%							
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
8/15 (53.33%)										

15 - What is your cumulative GPA?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
1.99 or less	(1)	0	0.00%							
2.0-2.49	(2)	1	12.50%							
2.5-2.99	(3)	0	0.00%							
3.0-3.49	(4)	1	12.50%							
3.5-4.0	(5)	6	75.00%							
Not Applicable	(6)	0	0.00%							
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
8/15 (53.33%)										

16 - What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means					
A	(1)	1	12.50%							
B	(2)	3	37.50%							
C	(3)	2	25.00%							
D	(4)	0	0.00%							
F	(5)	1	12.50%							
Not Applicable	(6)	1	12.50%							
				0 25 50 100						
Response Rate										
8/15 (53.33%)										

Florida State University

2021 Spring Course Evaluation



Course: MAC2312-0008.sp21: CALC W/ANLYT GEM II
Instructor: Oleksandr Vlasiuk *
Response Rate: 11/15 (73.33 %)

17 - Is this a required course for you?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Yes	(1)	8	100.00%	<div> <div></div> <div>02550100</div> </div>				
No	(2)	0	0.00%					
				02550100				
				Response Rate				
				8/15 (53.33%)				

18 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods have you found the most useful or valuable for learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	3/15 (20%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • He explained the materials in a way that allowed you to understand the material on a deeper level. • I liked how Professor Vlasiuk posted copies of his notes in addition to lecture videos so we could print them. I like how he gave us study guides that had problems similar to the test so we could ensure we were prepared. • Review materials 	

19 - What aspects of the course and/or Oleksandr Vlasiuk's instructional methods could be improved that would enhance your learning? Please give examples. -

Response Rate	3/15 (20%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Count homeworks for a higher percentage of grade or offer opportunities outside of tests to help grade. • Professor Vlasiuk's synchronous lectures were not the most beneficial. He tended to spend a majority of time trying to answer questions with topics not necessarily related to the topic we were discussing. While I liked his study guides he provided for us, they were very lengthy (about 40 calculus questions for a 5 question test). When combined with completing coursework for five other classes, it was impossible to complete in a timely manner when the questions take at least ten minutes a piece to complete. • More practice problems and better structuring of lessons 	

20 - Please list additional comments and/or suggestions.

Response Rate	1/15 (6.67%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • N/A 	