


# Introduction to Algorithms, Fall 2012

## Homework #2 Sample Solution

November 2, 2012

15.5-4 In this exercise, we assume the inequality  $root[i, j - 1] \leq root[i, j] \leq root[i + 1, j]$  holds for all  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ . For the reason why this inequality is true, one can refer to Knuth's paper [212] or *The Art of Computer Programming, Vol. 3*. The key idea of reducing running time is that once the values of  $root[i, j - 1]$  and  $root[i + 1, j]$  have been found then  $root[i, j] = r$  can be searched in that interval. That is to say, we do not need to try all values between  $i$  and  $j$ . Hence a modification for OPTIMAL-BST( $p, q, n$ ) is to change Line 10 from "for  $r = i$  to  $j$ " to "for  $r = root[i, j - 1]$  to  $root[i + 1, j]$ ". After that, the running time is

Line 2-4
Line 5-14

$T_{\text{new}} = 2n$   
  

 $= 2n$   
  
 $= \dots$   
 $= \Theta(n^2).$

$$+ \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^{n-l+1} \{root[i+1, i+l-1] - root[i, i+l-2] + 1\}$$

$$+ \sum_{l=1}^n \left\{ \begin{array}{l} root[(n+l+1)+1, (n+l+1)+l-1] \\ \quad - root[(n+l+1), (n+l+1)+l-2] + 1 \\ \dots \\ + root[3+1, 3+l-1] - root[3, 3+l-2] + 1 \\ + root[2+1, 2+l-1] - root[2, 2+l-2] + 1 \end{array} \right\}$$

(details omitted)

Note that the above result is based on the fact  $1 \leq root[i, j] \leq n$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ .

P.S. Here is an *unreasonable* recurrence:

$$e[i, j] = \min \{root[i, j - 1] \leq r \leq root[i + 1, j], e[i, r - 1] + e[r + 1, j] + w[i, j]\}.$$

15-1 Denote  $f(x)$  as the weight of longest weighted path from  $x$  to  $t$ , and  $p(x)$  as the very  $y$  that makes  $f(x)$  be the maximum.

We define a recursive function  $f(x)$  as follows:

$f(t) = 0$  (Base case);

$f(x) = \max\{f(y) + edgeWeight(y, x)\}$  for every  $y$  that is adjacent to  $x$ ,

and record the very  $y$  that produces the maximum value into  $p(x)$ .

(We could find the path using this information.)

Solve the  $f$  function in the topological order.

Because any answer of the vertex depends on its neighbors only, the subprogram graph looks exactly the same as  $G(V, E)$ .

The algorithm runs in  $O(|V| + |E|)$ .

15-2 Define:

- (1)  $c[i]$  as the *character* at the position  $i$ .
- (2)  $+$  as the string *concatenation operator* between strings.
- (3)  $\max(\cdot)$  be the *function* comparing the *length* of two strings and return the longer one.

We define a recursive function  $f(x, y)$  as follows:

```

 $f(x + 1, x) = \text{Empty string (Base cases).}$ 
 $f(x, x) = c[x]$  (Base cases).
If  $c[x] == c[y]$ 
 $f(x, y) = c[x] + f(x + 1, y - 1) + c[y];$ 
else
 $f(x, y) = \max\{f(x + 1, y), f(x, y - 1)\}.$ 

```

Denote the length of the input string as  $L$ .

The algorithm runs in  $O(L^3)$ .

In fact, we can improve the running time to be  $O(L^2)$  if it is implemented well.

15-6 The company hierarchical structure can be explained as a tree whose root is  $r$ . For each node  $x$  in this tree, if  $x$  is invited, we denote  $M(x)$  as the maximum conviviality rating of the subtree with the root  $x$ . On the other hand, if  $x$  is not invited, we denote  $M'(x)$  as the maximum conviviality rating of the subtree with the root  $x$ . Note that only one of an employee and her/his immediate supervisor can be invited. We can construct a recursive function as follows:

$$\begin{cases} M(x) = \sum_{y \in x's \text{ child}} M'(y) + x's \text{ conviviality rating} \\ M'(x) = \sum_{y \in x's \text{ child}} \max\{M'(y), M(y)\} \end{cases}$$

We can compute this problem by  $\max\{M(r), M'(r)\}$ . The above recursion shows that for each fixed  $x$ , we refer  $M(x)$  and  $x$  both once and  $M'(x)$  twice. So every node is traced at most four times, which means the time complexity is  $O(N)$ .

15-9 By observing optimal substructures for every substring in  $S$ , we can construct a recursive function as follows:

$$c[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j - 1 \text{ or } i = j \\ L[j] - L[i] & \text{if } i = j - 2 \\ \min_{i < k < j} \{c[i, k] + c[k, j]\} + L[j] - L[i] & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $c[i, j]$  is the minimum cost for breaking substring between  $L[i]$  and  $L[j]$ . We can construct an algorithm by this recursion:

**input:** a string  $S$ , breaking-point array with increasing order  $L[0 \dots m+1]$ ,  
 $L[0] = 0$ ,  $L[m+1] = N$   
**output:** the minimum cost  $c$  and a sequence of break  $b[1 \dots m]$ .

Let  $c[0 \dots m+1, 0 \dots m+1]$ ,  $index[0 \dots m+1, 0 \dots m+1]$  be new tables,  
 $b[1 \dots m]$  be a new array.

```

for  $i = 0$  to  $m+2$ 
     $c[i, i] = 0$ 
for  $i = 0$  to  $m+1$ 
     $c[i, i+1] = 0$ 
for  $i = 0$  to  $m$ 
     $c[i, i+2] = L[i+2] - L[i]$ 
     $index[i, i+2] = i+1$ 
for  $len = 3$  to  $m+2$ 
     $min = \infty$ 
    for  $i = 1$  to  $m - len + 2$ 
        for  $k = 1$  to  $len - 1$ 
            if  $c[i, i+k] + c[i+k, i+len] < min$ 
                 $min = c[i, i+k] + c[i+k, i+len]$ 
                 $index[i][i+len] = k+i$ 

 $FIND\_SEQ(b, index, 0, m+1)$ 
return  $c[0, m+1]$  and  $b$ 

```

```

 $FIND\_SEQ(b, index, start, end)$ 
    if  $end - start \leq 1$ 
        return
     $cut = index[start, end]$ 
     $b.append(cut)$ 
     $FIND\_SEQ(b, index, start, cut)$ 
     $FIND\_SEQ(b, index, cut, end)$ 

```

Time complexity: there are 3 nested for loops in our main function, and the subroutine  $FIND\_SEQ$  puts  $m$  elements to the array  $b$ , so its time complexity is  $O(m^3+m) = O(m^3)$ .