## Basics of Iterative Methods for Linear Systems

Justin L. Clough

November 9, 2018

Consider solving the system Ax = b with  $x, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ; assume that a unique exact solution,  $x^*$ , exists. For any row r of this system:

$$a_{r1}x_1 + a_{r2}x_2 + a_{r3}x_3 + \dots + a_{rn}x_n - b_r = 0 (1)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{ri} x_i - b_r = 0 (2)$$

If we solve for any particular  $x_i$ ;

$$a_{rj}x_j = b_r - \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq j}}^n a_{ri}x_i \tag{3}$$

$$x_j = \frac{1}{a_{rj}} \left( b_r - \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i \neq j}}^n a_{ri} x_i \right) \tag{4}$$

But if  $a_{rj} = 0$  then our method blows up! Note that if we choose to always work on the diagonal (i.e., choose r = j), then we are okay since most physics based problems have  $a_{jj} \neq 0$ . We may also now decompose A to a diagonal, lower, and upper matrices D, L, U such that A = D - L - U. The method is now:

$$x_j = \frac{1}{a_{jj}} \left( b_j - \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i \neq j}}^n a_{ji} x_i \right) \tag{5}$$

$$x = D^{-1}(b + (L+U)x)$$
(6)

But we can only use the method to solve for element  $x_j$  if we already know all other elements of x! Instead, use x from the last (or initial guess) to update our current guess. Let the superscript represent the step number, e.g.,  $a^k$  is the k<sup>th</sup> step of estimating a granted an initial guess  $a^0$  has been given.

$$x_j^{k+1} = \frac{1}{a_{jj}} \left( b_j - \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i \neq j}}^n a_{ji} x_i^k \right)$$
 (7)

$$x^{k+1} = D^{-1}(b + (L+U)x^k)$$
(8)

The above is defined as the *Jacobi Method* to estimate  $x^{k+1}$ .

Observe that when calculating  $x_j^k$ , we have already calculated updated values of  $x_p^k$   $\forall p = 1, 2, ..., j-1$ . Using these values right away gives the method:

$$x_j^{k+1} = \frac{1}{a_{jj}} \left( b_j - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} a_{ji} x_i^{k+1} - \sum_{i=j+1}^n a_{ji} x_i^k \right)$$
 (9)

$$x^{k+1} = (D-L)^{-1}Ux^{k+1} + (D-L)^{-1}b$$
(10)

Above the is the *Gauss-Seidel* method. But what if  $|a_{jj}| \ll 1$ ? This will cause issues for Floating Point Evaluations (FPE). Instead "relax" the correction between iterations by  $\omega \in (0,2)$ :

$$x_j^{k+1} = (1 - \omega)x_j^k + \frac{\omega}{a_{jj}} \left( b_j - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} a_{ji} x_i^{k+1} - \sum_{i=j+1}^n a_{ji} x_i^k \right)$$
(11)

$$x^{k+1} = (1-\omega)x^k + \omega\left((D-L)^{-1}Ux^{k+1} + (D-L)^{-1}b\right)$$
(12)

which then defines the Successive Over Relaxation Method (SOR). All three above methods are Stationary Iterative Methods as the interim estimates  $x^k$  can both over or under estimate the exact, stationary, solution  $x^*$ .

Another group of iterative solvers are Krylov Subspace Methods. This group includes the Conjugate Gradient (CG) and Generalized Minimum Residual (GMRES) methods. These methods work by successively building a subspace of the solution space until the solution space (and solution) is sufficiently represented. The CG method is described below.

Want to again solve Ax = b where  $x, b \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and it is assumed that a unique exact solution  $x^*$  exists. We also restrict A to be symmetric and positive-definite. First, define the A inner product between  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$  as:

$$u^T A v = (u, v)_A (13)$$

and if this A inner product is zero, then u and v are A-orthogonal with respect to each other. Let there exists a set of vectors  $\mathcal{P} = \{p_i | p_i \in \mathcal{R}^n, (p_i, p_j)_A = \delta_{ij} \forall i, j = 1, 2, ..., n\}$ . This means that  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$  form a basis of  $\mathcal{R}^n$  which is where the solution lives:

$$x^* = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i p_i \tag{14}$$

with  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{R}$ . Returning to the original problem, apply the new information, and left-dotting with  $p_k^T$ :

$$Ax = b \tag{15}$$

$$A\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i p_i = b \tag{16}$$

$$p_k^T A \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i p_i = p_k^T b \tag{17}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i(p_k, p_i)_A = (p_k, b)$$
(18)

But recall that  $(p_k, p_i) = \delta_{ik}$  and so the summation breaks down into a single term:

$$\alpha_i(p_i, p_i)_A = (p_i, b) \tag{19}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha_i = \frac{(p_i, b)}{(p_i, p_i)_A} \tag{20}$$

There is now a way to calculate the  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . But where do the  $\{p_i\}_{i=n}^n$  come from? To generate  $\{p_i\}_{i=n}^n$ , repose the linear problem as:

$$r^k = b - Ax^k \tag{21}$$

where the previous iteration notation is used and  $r^k$  is the residual from using the k<sup>th</sup> guess,  $x^k$ . Naively, we want to "minimize" r but it is a vector; instead define:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T A x - x^T b \tag{22}$$

which has the following properties:

- 1. f(x) has a minimum at  $x = x^*$  (i.e., r = 0).
- 2. f(x) is quadratic with respect to x.
- 3. f(x) is positive so long as A is Symmetric-Positive-Definite.
- 4. The gradient of  $f(x^k) = -r^k$ ; i.e.,  $r^k$  "points downhill" in the steepest direction at the guess k.

Now pick any  $x^0$  as an initial guess which gives a corresponding  $r^0$  which is taken as the first basis. We calculate  $\alpha_0$  as shown and let  $x^1 = x^0 + \alpha_0 p_0$ . To guarantee  $(p_i, p_k) = \delta_{ik}$  we use a Gram-Schmidt based method:

$$p_k = r_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(p_i, r_k)_A}{(p_i, p_i)_A} p_i$$
(23)

We now have a method to calculate both  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^n$  and  $\{p_i\}_{i=1}^n$ . This method can be used over k steps until  $||r^k||_{L_2}$  is below an acceptable tolerance. Note that (with exact calculations)  $x^*$  is guaranteed in n steps!