```
assignment 4.2.1

1:

\neg\neg p\rightarrow p

1. F(\neg\neg p\rightarrow p) \checkmark

2. T(\neg\neg p) 1, F\rightarrow \checkmark

3. F(\neg p) 2, T\neg \checkmark

4. T(p) 3, F\neg \checkmark

5. F(p) 1, F\rightarrow \checkmark
```

P can not be both True and False, therefore the model is valid

2:  $((p\rightarrow q)\rightarrow p)\rightarrow p$   $1. F(((p\rightarrow q)\rightarrow p)\rightarrow p) \checkmark$   $2. T((p\rightarrow q)\rightarrow p) 1, F\rightarrow \checkmark$   $3. F(p) 1, F\rightarrow \checkmark$   $\checkmark$   $4. F(p\rightarrow q) 2, T\rightarrow \checkmark$   $5. T(p) 2, T\rightarrow \checkmark$   $6. T(p) 4, F\rightarrow \checkmark$ 

Both branches require P to be both True and False, therefore the model is valid

7. F(q) 4,  $F \rightarrow \sqrt{}$ 

3:  $(\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)$ 1.  $F((\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)) \checkmark$ 2.  $F(q \rightarrow p) 1, F \rightarrow \checkmark$ 3.  $T(q) 2, F \rightarrow \checkmark$ 4.  $F(p) 2, F \rightarrow \checkmark$ 5.  $T(\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) 1, F \rightarrow \checkmark$ 6.  $F(\neg p) 5, T \rightarrow \checkmark$ 7.  $T(\neg q) 5, T \rightarrow \checkmark$ 8.  $T(p) 6, F \rightarrow \checkmark$ 8.  $F(q) 7, T \rightarrow \checkmark$ 

The left branch requires P to be both True and False and is therefore closed. The right branch requires Q to be both True and False and is also closed. The model is valid

4:  $p \rightarrow (p \land (q \lor p))$ 1.  $F(p \rightarrow (p \land (q \lor p))) \checkmark$ 2. T(p) 1,  $F \rightarrow \checkmark$ 3.  $F(p \land (q \lor p))$  1,  $F \rightarrow \checkmark$ 4. F(p) 3,  $F \land \checkmark$ 5.  $F(q \lor p)$  3,  $F \land \checkmark$ 6. F(q) 5,  $F \lor \checkmark$ 7. F(P) 5,  $F \lor \checkmark$ 

Both branches require P to be both True and False, therefore the model is valid

```
5:
```

```
(pV(q\Lambda r)) \rightarrow ((pVq)\Lambda(pVr))
                                           1. F((pV(q\Lambda r)) \rightarrow ((pVq)\Lambda(pVr))) \checkmark
                                           2. T(pV(q\Lambda r)) 1, F \rightarrow \checkmark
                         3. T(p) 2, TV ✓
                                                             4. T(q∧r) 2, TV ✓
                                                              5. T(q) 4, T∧ ✓
5. F(pVq) 7, F\Lambda \checkmark
                                 6. F(pVr) 7, F\Lambda \checkmark 6. T(r) 4, T\Lambda \checkmark
7. F(p) 8, FV ✓
                                  8. F(p) 9, FV ✓
                                                             7. F((pVq)\Lambda(pVr)) 1, F \rightarrow \checkmark
9. F(q) 8, FV ✓
                                  10. F(r) 9, FV \checkmark
                                                    8. F(pVq) 7,FΛ ✓
                                                                                      9. F(pVr) 7,F∧ ✓
                                                    10. F(p) 8, FV ✓
                                                                                      11. F(p) 9, FV ✓
                                                    12. F(q) 8, FV ✓
                                                                                      13. F(r) 9, FV \checkmark
```

Both left branches require P to be both True and False, and are therefore closed. The rigt branches require respectively Q and R to be False and True and are therefore also closed. The model is valid.

```
assignment 4.4.1
```

```
1:
\neg\neg p\rightarrow p
Negate entire model
1. \neg (\neg \neg p \rightarrow p)
NNF
2. \neg (p \rightarrow p)
3. p∧¬p
CNF
4. [[p],[¬p]]
((p\rightarrow q)\rightarrow p)\rightarrow p
Negate entire model
1. \neg (((p\rightarrow q)\rightarrow p)\rightarrow p)
NNF
2. ((p\rightarrow q)\rightarrow p) \land \neg p
3. (\neg (p\rightarrow q) Vp) \Lambda \neg p
4. (p\Lambda \neg qVp)\Lambda \neg p
CNF
5. ((pVp) \Lambda (\neg qVp)) \Lambda \neg p
6. [[p,p], [\neg q,p], [\neg p]]
3:
(\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p)
Negate entire model
1. \neg ((\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) \rightarrow (q \rightarrow p))
NNF
2. (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) \land \neg (q \rightarrow p)
3. (\neg p \rightarrow \neg q) \wedge (q \wedge \neg p)
4. (\neg\neg p V \neg q) \wedge (q \wedge \neg p)
5. (pV \neg q) \wedge (q \wedge \neg p)
CNF list of lists
6. [[p, \neg q], [q], [\neg p]]
```

```
4:
p\rightarrow (p\Lambda (qVp))
Negate entire model
1. \neg (p \rightarrow (p \land (q \lor p)))
NNF
2. p\Lambda(\neg(p\Lambda(qVp)))
3. p\Lambda (\neg pV \neg (qVp))
4. p\Lambda (\neg pV (\neg q\Lambda \neg p))
CNF list of lists
5. p\Lambda((\neg pV \neg q)\Lambda(\neg pV \neg p))
6. [[p], [\neg p, \neg q], [\neg p, \neg p]]
5:
(pV(q\Lambda r)) \rightarrow ((pVq)\Lambda(pVr))
Negate entire model
1. \neg ((pV(q\Lambda r)) \rightarrow ((pVq)\Lambda(pVr)))
NNF
2. (pV(q\Lambda r))\Lambda(\neg((pVq)\Lambda(pVr)))
3. (pV(q\Lambda r))\Lambda(\neg(pVq)V\neg(pVr))
4. (pV(q\Lambda r))\Lambda((\neg p\Lambda \neg q)V(\neg p\Lambda \neg r))
CNF list of lists
5. ((pVq) \Lambda (pVr)) \Lambda ((\neg p\Lambda \neg q) V (\neg p\Lambda \neg r))
6. ((pVq) \land (pVr)) \land ((\neg pV(\neg p \land \neg r)) \land (\neg qV(\neg p \land \neg r)))
7. ((pVq) \Lambda (pVr)) \Lambda ((\neg pV \neg p) \Lambda (\neg pV \neg r) \Lambda (\neg qV \neg p) \Lambda (\neg qV \neg r))
8. [[p,q],[p,r],[\neg p,\neg p],[\neg p,\neg r],[\neg q,\neg p],[\neg q,\neg r]]
assignment 4.4.5 (answers from 4.4.1 as input)
1:
[[p],[¬p]]
[[],[]]
2 empty clauses
2:
qVq - \Lambda pVq -
[[p,p],[\neg q,p],[\neg p]]
[[p,p],[\neg q],[]]
1 empty clause
3:
[[p,\neg q],[q],[\neg p]]
[[p],[],[¬p]]
[[],[],[]]
3 empty clauses
4:
[[p], [\neg p, \neg q], [\neg p, \neg p]]
[[],[¬q],[¬p,¬p]]
1 empty clause
[[p,q],[p,r],[\neg p,\neg p],[\neg p,\neg r],[\neg q,\neg p],[\neg q,\neg r]]
[[q], [p,r], [\neg p], [\neg p, \neg r], [\neg q, \neg p], [\neg q, \neg r]]
[[],[p,r],[\neg p],[\neg p,\neg r],[\neg p],[\neg q,\neg r]]
[[],[],[\neg p],[],[\neg p],[\neg q,\neg r]]
3 empty clauses
```

First order logic axioms regarding spatial relations:

- support and touch are conjunct.
- touch and near are disjunct.
- therefore, support and near are disjunct.
- touch is symmetric.
- near is symmetric.
- if there is part\_of, there is no support or touch.
- if there is support, near is for both.
   if there is part-of, near is for both.