## TD 4 CALCULUS - SOLUTIONS

(1) Consider the recurrent sequence defined for n > 0 by  $u_n = u_{n-1} + \frac{1}{u_{n-1}}$  and  $u_0 = 1$ . Examine whether this sequence converges to a finite limit or not.

Assume that the series converges to some finite limit  $u = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n$ . It is clear that  $u_n > 0$  and so  $u \ge 0$ , since  $u_0$  is positive. For u > 0, we get the equality

$$u = \lim_{n \to \infty} u_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( u_{n-1} + \frac{1}{u_{n-1}} \right) = u + \frac{1}{u}.$$

A simple transformation shows that  $\frac{1}{u} = 0$ , but this is a contradiction. Similarly we get a contradiction for u = 0. So the series can not be convergent.

(2) Do the following series converge?

(a) 
$$\sum_{n>0} \frac{(n+2)^2}{2^{n+2}}$$
,

For this series, the terms of the series are given as quotients, such that we should try the quotient criterion first. We determine

$$\left| \frac{a_{n+1}}{a_n} \right| = \frac{(n+3)^2 2^{n+2}}{2^{n+3} (n+2)^2} = \frac{(n+3)^2}{2(n+2)^2} .$$

We divide both numerator and denominator by the most dominant term  $n^2$ , and we see that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} |a_{n+1}/a_n|$  equals  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore, for sufficiently large n, we can guarantee that  $|a_{n+1}/a_n| < \frac{3}{4} < 1$ , and the quotient criterion proves convergence.

(b)  $\sum_{n>0} 0.9999^n$ .

One might be tempted to also apply the quotient criterion for this series, but we can do better. A closer look at the series shows that this is indeed a geometric sum, and we can simply prove convergence by calculating the value if the series, which is 1/(1-0.9999) = 10000. So the series is convergent.

(c) 
$$\sum_{n\geq 2} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{n^2-n}{n^2+n}$$
,

It is easy to see that the given series is alternating, so we guess that we should try the Leibniz criterion. First we observe that  $\sum_{n\geq 2} (-1)^{n-1} \frac{n^2-n}{n^2+n} = -\sum_{n\geq 2} (-1)^n \frac{n^2-n}{n^2+n}$ . If we define  $a_n = \frac{n^2-n}{n^2+n}$ , we easily see that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 1$ , such that Leibniz can NOT be used, and we do not get any information about convergence.

The next idea is based on the transformation

$$a_n \; = \; \frac{n^2-n}{n^2+n} \; = \; \frac{n^2+n}{n^2+n} - \frac{2n}{n^2+n} \; = \; 1 - \frac{2n}{n^2+n} \; .$$

Therefore, the original alternating series is equal to

$$\sum_{n\geq 2} (-1)^{n-1} \left( 1 - \frac{2n}{n^2 + n} \right) = \sum_{n\geq 2} (-1)^{n-1} + \sum_{n\geq 2} (-1)^n \underbrace{\frac{2n}{n^2 + n}}_{:=b_n} .$$

We first consider the second sum in the latter equality. We again try to apply Leibniz: clearly  $b_n > 0$ ,  $\lim_{n \to \infty} b_n = 0$ , and

$$b_n = \frac{2n}{n^2+n} > \frac{2n+2}{n^2+3n+2} = b_{n+1} \text{ for all } n \ge 2$$
.

Therefore, the second sum is convergent as proven by the Leibniz criterion. Now let us assume that the input series is convergent. Then clearly (as sum of two convergent series) also

$$\sum_{n\geq 2} (-1)^{n-1}$$

must be convergent, say with limit  $\lambda$ . Therefore, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an integer  $m_0$  such that for <u>all</u>  $m > m_0$  we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=2}^{m} (-1)^{n-1} - \lambda \right| < \epsilon.$$

But this clearly is impossible if  $\epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ , since the value of the partial sum up to m and the value of the partial sum up to m+1 differ by 1 or -1. We get a contradiction to our assumption, and in conclusion, the input series can not be convergent.

(3) Nature of functions. Are the functions given below injective from their domain to  $\mathbb{R}$ ? Surjective? Continuous on their respective domain?

(a) 
$$f(x) = x^3,$$

Function is injective (it is strictly monotonous increasing), surjective and continuous everywhere in  $\mathbb{R}$ .

(b) 
$$g(x) = x^3 - x$$
,

The function g(x) can not be injective since g(0) = g(-1) = g(1) = 0. It is continuous since both  $x^3$  and x are continuous. Note that

$$x^3 - x = x \cdot (x^2 - 1) \ge x$$
 for  $|x| \ge 1$ .

Therefore, we can conclude that  $\lim_{x\to\infty} g(x) = -\infty$  and  $\lim_{x\to\infty} g(x) = \infty$ . In class, we have seen the intermediate theorem which proves that for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  the equation g(x) = y has at least one real solution (since g is continuous). Thus, g(x) is surjective.

(c)  $h(x) = \lfloor x \rfloor$  (floor of x is defined as the largest integer at most equal to x),

The function h(x) is not injective (h(1) = 1 = h(1.5)), not surjective (no x exists with  $h(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ ), and not continuous for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(d) 
$$j(x) = |x|$$
,

The function j(x) can not be injective since j(1) = 1 = j(-1). It is also not surjective since there does not exist  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with j(x) = -1. However, the function is continuous everywhere in its domain.

(e) 
$$k(x) = \frac{1}{x}$$
.

The function k(x) is injective, but not surjective. There is a single real number which is not in the image of k(x), namely 0, since  $k(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . The function is continuous for all numbers in its domain.