

# Correctness Without History

***No time. No replay. No logs.***

## 1. Correctness Without Time

Most distributed systems rely on time to decide what is true. Clocks, timestamps, and ordering are used to determine which events came first and which state should be trusted. Correctness is tied to sequence: if everyone agrees on the order of events, the present is assumed to be valid.

This model removes time as a requirement for correctness.

Instead of asking *when* something happened, it asks whether the current state is admissible. If competing states are treated uniformly and only one is permitted to survive, then the system is already safe. Forward progress does not require clocks, delays, or waiting periods. It requires only that invalid states be rejected and erased.

Without time as a dependency, ordering becomes unnecessary. States do not need to be chained together or compared by age. They simply compete, and only one survives. The system moves forward not because time advances, but because incorrect possibilities are eliminated.

Correctness becomes a property of the present, not a reconstruction of the past.

## 2. Irreversibility Without Replay

Traditional systems preserve history so that events can be replayed. Replay is treated as a safety feature: if something goes wrong, the system can rewind, reprocess, or audit the sequence of actions.

This model does not allow replay at all.

Transfers either complete or they do not. Failed transfers do not linger in a pending state, and they cannot be injected again later. There is no memory to exploit and no historical surface for an attacker to target. Once a state is rejected, it is gone.

Forward progress happens by discarding invalid states, not by accumulating valid ones. There is no rollback, no reorganization, and no need to agree on what happened earlier. When the system moves on, it moves on permanently.

#### Notice

This document describes a foundational computation primitive and is published as a public technical disclosure. Licensed under the terms described in the accompanying LICENSE and NOTICE files.

Like entropy in a physical system, the process is irreversible. Once equilibrium is reached, the system does not rewind to explore alternative paths. Correctness arises from the fact that invalid configurations cannot persist, not from the ability to replay how they were eliminated.

### 3. Value Without Logs

Most systems keep logs so that actions can be audited later. Logs provide narrative: who did what, when, and in what order. This is useful for explanation, but it is not required for correctness.

In this model, what is audited is not history, but value constrained by the present state.

Consider a system where each node begins with a fixed amount of points. Points can be sent between nodes, but they cannot be duplicated, forged, or replayed. Thousands of transfers occur—noisy, unordered, high-frequency. At the end, every node still holds exactly the amount of value it should have.

No value was created.

No value was destroyed.

Nothing leaked.

Even if the transaction history is forgotten, the system can still prove that the value is correct. This is value auditability. The narrative is gone, but the invariant remains.

Logs become optional. They may exist for debugging or explanation, but correctness does not depend on them. The system proves not *what happened*, but *what could not have happened*.

### What This Is

This is not a replacement for blockchains, databases, or traditional distributed systems. It is a minimal computation layer in which correctness is enforced by present-state admissibility rather than historical reconstruction.

Other systems can be built on top of it, but correctness does not migrate to those layers.

By removing time, replay, and logs as load-bearing requirements, systems become simpler, harder to attack, easier to reason about, and cheaper to operate. Instead of trusting history, the system trusts the fact that incorrect states cannot survive.

And that turns out to be enough.