

EE5907/EE5027 : Probability Review: Solutions

Exercise 2.6

(a) According to Bayes Rule,

$$\vec{P}(H|e_1, e_2) = \frac{P(H, e_1, e_2)}{P(e_1, e_2)} = \frac{P(e_1, e_2|H)P(H)}{P(e_1, e_2)} \quad (1)$$

thus (ii) is sufficient for calculation

(b) Given $E_1 \perp E_2|H$, $P(e_1, e_2|H) = P(e_1|H)P(e_2|H)$

From (a), we have

$$\vec{P}(H|e_1, e_2) = \frac{P(e_1, e_2|H)P(H)}{P(e_1, e_2)} \quad (2)$$

From $E_1 \perp E_2|H$, we have

$$\vec{P}(H|e_1, e_2) = \frac{P(e_1|H)P(e_2|H)P(H)}{P(e_1, e_2)} \quad (3)$$

Eq.(3) corresponds to terms in (i). In addition, we can calculate $P(e_1, e_2)$ by $\sum_H (P(e_1, e_2|H)P(H))$, so (iii) is also sufficient.

To conclude, (i),(ii),(iii) are all sufficient.

Exercise 2.7

Proof by counter example:

- (I) Let X_1 and X_2 be outcomes of independent coin toss (1 means head, 0 means tails). $X_3 = X_1 \oplus X_2$, where \oplus is XOR operator. $p(X_3|X_1, X_2) \neq p(X_3)$ since X_1 and X_2 determines X_3 deterministically, so X_1, X_2, X_3 are not mutually independent. However, $p(X_3|X_1) = p(X_3)$, $p(X_3|X_2) = p(X_3)$, so X_1, X_2, X_3 are pairwise independent.

Exercise 2.8

Proof

(\Rightarrow) Given $X \perp Y|Z$, we have $p(x, y|z) = p(x|z)p(y|z)$. Let $g(x, z) = p(x|z)$ and $h(y, z) = p(y|z)$, then $p(x, y|z) = g(x, z)h(y, z)$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $p(x, y|z) = g(x, z)h(y, z)$. Integrate both sides over x (or summation if x is discrete)

$$\begin{aligned}\int p(x, y|z)dx &= \int g(x, z)dx \times h(y, z) \\ \Rightarrow p(y|z) &= G(z)h(y, z),\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

where $G(z) = \int g(x, z)dx$.

Integrate both sides over y (or summation if y is discrete)

$$\begin{aligned}\int p(x, y|z)dy &= g(x, z) \times \int h(y, z)dy \\ \Rightarrow p(x|z) &= g(x, z)H(z),\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

where $H(z) = \int h(y, z)dy$

Finally, let's integrate with respect to both x and y :

$$1 = \int \int p(x, y|z)dx dy = \int \int g(x, z)h(y, z)dx dy\tag{6}$$

$$= \int g(x, z)dx \int h(y, z)dy = G(z)H(z)\tag{7}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}p(x, y|z) &= g(x, z)h(y, z) = \frac{p(x|z)}{G(z)} \frac{p(y|z)}{H(z)} \text{ using Eq. (4) and Eq. (5)} \\ &= p(x|z)p(y|z) \text{ using Eq. (7)}\end{aligned}\tag{8}$$

EE5907/EE5027 Week 2: MLE + MAP: Solutions

Exercise 3.1

The likelihood is given by

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \theta^{N_1}(1 - \theta)^{N_0} \quad (1)$$

Hence the log-likelihood is given by

$$\log p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = N_1 \log \theta + N_0 \log(1 - \theta) \quad (2)$$

To optimize the log-likelihood, we get

$$\operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} p(\mathcal{D}|\theta) = \operatorname{argmax}_{\theta} (N_1 \log \theta + N_0 \log(1 - \theta)) \quad (3)$$

Differentiating with respect to θ and set to 0, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N_1}{\theta} - \frac{N_0}{1 - \theta} &= 0 \\ \implies N_1(1 - \theta) &= N_0\theta \\ \implies \theta &= \frac{N_1}{N_1 + N_0} \\ \implies \theta &= \frac{N_1}{N} \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\hat{\theta}_{MLE} = \frac{N_1}{N}$

Exercise 3.6

The Poisson distribution can be represented as:

$$\mathcal{D} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), \mathcal{D} \sim Poi(\lambda) \quad (4)$$

The likelihood is given by

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \quad (5)$$

To optimize the log-likelihood, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\lambda}_{MLE} &\triangleq \operatorname{argmax}_{\lambda} \log \prod_{i=1}^n e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left(e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \right) \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n (-\lambda + x_i \log \lambda - \log x_i!) \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\lambda} \left(-n\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^n \log x_i! \right) \\
&= \operatorname{argmax}_{\lambda} \left(-n\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log \lambda \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating with respect to λ and set to 0, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
-n + \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i &= 0 \\
\Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}_{MLE} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i
\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 3.7

- a. Multiply the likelihood by the conjugate prior given in the question, we get the following posterior:

$$\begin{aligned}
p(\lambda|\mathcal{D}) &\propto p(\mathcal{D}|\lambda)p(\lambda) \propto e^{-n\lambda} \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\lambda^{x_i}}{x_i!} \lambda^{a-1} e^{-\lambda b} \\
\Rightarrow p(\lambda|\mathcal{D}) &\propto \frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^n x_i!} e^{-(n+b)\lambda} \lambda^{a-1 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \\
\Rightarrow p(\lambda|\mathcal{D}) &\propto \lambda^{a-1 + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} e^{-(n+b)\lambda} \\
\Rightarrow p(\lambda|\mathcal{D}) &= Ga \left(\lambda \mid a + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i, n + b \right)
\end{aligned}$$

- b. Given the mean of Gamma distribution $Ga(a, b)$ is $\frac{a}{b}$, we can get the mean of $p(\lambda|\mathcal{D})$ to be

$$\bar{\theta} = \frac{a + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n + b} \tag{6}$$

Given that $a \rightarrow 0$ and $b \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\lim_{a \rightarrow 0, b \rightarrow 0} \frac{a + \sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n + b} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}{n} = \bar{x}$$

Hence, the posterior mean converges to the ML solution.

Exercise 3.12

The posterior of the Bernoulli

$$p(\theta|\mathcal{D}) \propto p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta)$$

if $\theta = 0.5$,

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta) &= 0.5^{N+1} \\ \implies \log p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta) &= (N+1) \log 0.5 \end{aligned}$$

if $\theta = 0.4$,

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta) &= 0.4^{N_1} 0.6^{N-N_1} 0.5 \\ \implies \log p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta) &= N_1 \log 0.4 + (N - N_1) \log 0.6 + \log 0.5 \end{aligned}$$

if $\theta = \text{others}$,

$$p(\mathcal{D}|\theta)p(\theta) = 0$$

For 0.5 to win out over 0.4,

$$\begin{aligned} (N+1) \log 0.5 &> N_1 \log 0.4 + (N - N_1) \log 0.6 + \log 0.5 \\ \implies N \log \frac{0.5}{0.6} &> N_1 \log \frac{0.4}{0.6} \\ \implies \frac{N_1}{N} &> \frac{\log 5/6}{\log 2/3} = \frac{\log 1.2}{\log 1.5} = 0.4497 \text{ because } \log 2/3 \text{ is negative} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\hat{\theta}_{MAP} = \begin{cases} 0.4 & \text{if } \frac{N_1}{N} < \frac{\log 1.2}{\log 1.5} \\ 0.5 & \text{if } \frac{N_1}{N} > \frac{\log 1.2}{\log 1.5} \end{cases}$$

Note that N_1/N can never be exactly equal to $\frac{\log 1.2}{\log 1.5}$ because $\frac{\log 1.2}{\log 1.5}$ is irrational.