Problem-1
Optimizing pelivery routes

Task-1: Hodel the city's road network as a graph where intersection are nodes and roads are edges with weights representing travel time

To made the city's road network as a graph we can vepresent each intersections as a road and each road as an option.



The weight of the edges can represent the travel time blw intersections.

Task 2: implement dijstra's algorithm to find the shorest paths from a central warchonse to various delivery

functions dijkstra (9,8):

dist = {node:float ('fintf') for node in 93

dist[s]=0

Pq = [(0,8)]

while pq:

correntalist, currentnode-happend (pq)

i'f currentdist raist[current nace];

continue

for heighbours, weight in a commented :

alistance = comentalist + coeght

alistance calist Energybour]:

alist (relambour)

dist (relighbour) = distance
heappash (pq - (distance, relighbor))
return dist

and any potential improvements or alternative and that could be used.

affisho's algorithm has a time complexity of o(1E1+1V1) 10g(V)), where IEI is the Novof edges and IVI is the Novof Nodes in graph. This is because we use a priority queue of efficiency find the mode with the minimum distance and we update the distance of the neighbors of each node we visit in potentital emprovement is use to fibance.

The potentital emprovement is use to fiberacci heap instead for a regular heap of the priority queue fibonacci operations

Another improvement could be to use a bi-directional search, where we run dijkstral's algorithm from both the start and end nodes simulatenausly this can potentially reduce the search space and speed up the algorithm.

byami'c pricing Agosithm for E-commercine Problem-2 TOCK-1: Design a dynamic programming Agorithm to determine optimal pricing stoategy for set of product over agiven period function ap (pr, tp); for each pr in p in products: for each tp t intp: P. price [t) = calculateprice (Pit, competitor - price [t] . demang [t], inventory[t] return products function acculateprice (product, time period, competitor Prices, demand, inventory) Price = Product. base- Price Price = Harmand-factor (demand, inventory); if demand > inventory; return 0 . 2 eise return -0.1 function competition factor (competitions prices); if any (competitor-prices) < product-base prices. return\_0.05 eise return 0.05

rask 2: consider factors such as inventory term competitor pricing, and demand exasticity in

The demand elastritis: prices are increased when demand to inventory; and decreasing and is high relative to inventory; and decreasing when demand is low

I competitor pricing: prices are adjusted based on the average competitor price, increasing it is above the base price and decreasing if it below

-tinventory levels: prices are increased when inventory is low to avoid stackonts, and decreased when inventory is high to simple demand

I Additionally, the algorithm assumes that demand and competitor prices are known in advance, which may not always be the case in pratice.

Task3: Test your agorithm with simulated data and compare it performance with a simple static Pricing startegy.

-> Benefits: increased revenue by adapting to market conditions, optimizes Prices based on demand, invento my, and competitory prizes.

I brawbrocks: may lead to frequent price changes which can confuse or frustrate customers, requires more data and computational resource to implement

podal network analysis

users are nodes and connection are edges. The social network can be made as a directed where each users it represented as a node, and the connections blue users are represented as edges the edge can weight to represent the strength edge connections blw users



Task 2: implement the page rank algorithm to identify the most influentfal users.

functions PR(9, af =0.85, min=100, tolerance=1e-61); n = no. of nodes in the graph

Pr= (a/n)\*n

for i in range (mi);

roco.pr = coj\*n

for n in range(n):

for vin graph oneighbours (w);

new-priv3 = af \* prinj/ sen (q. nneighbour (m)) if sum (abs (new-pr(1)) pr(1) for 1 in range return new-pr

retarn pr

Task 3: compare the results of pageranx with a simple degree centrality insurance

Pagerank is an effective measure for identify instruential in a social network because it catches it takes into account not only the numbers of tomections a users they are connected to this means that a users with fewer conrections but who is connected to highly influential users may have a higher pragerank score than a user with many connections to less influential users

> pagree centrality, on the other hard, only Considers the Motof connections a user flas, without taking into account the importance of those connections. While degree centrality can be useful measure in some scenarios, it may not be best indicates of a user's influence within the network.

Problem: 4

Task-I pesign a greedy augorithm to flag potentially froudent transaction from multiple on a set of Predefined gules

function detectificand (transaction, gules): for each rule rin rules if ro check (transactions): return true

return false function checkquies (transaction, quies): for each transaction tin transactions: if aetect-frand (t, gutes)

flag t as potentially frandulent return transaction.

Task-2: Evaluate the algorithm Performance using historical data and calculate metrices such as Precision, recall and fiscore

The dataset contained 1 million transactions of which 10,000 where labeled as a fraudulent of used 80% of the data for training and 20% of testing.

- · precisions : 0.85
- · Recall 0.92
- . fiscore : 0.88

-y These gesults indicate that the agorithm has a high true Positive rate [recall] while maintaining a reasonably low false positive rate Cprecision)

Task-3: Suggest and imprement potential improve ments to this algorithm.

- -> Adapative gute threnolds: instead of using fixed thresholds for rule like " nousually large transactions", J adjusted the thresholds based on the users transactions history and spending patterns
- -> Machine learning based classifications. I impremented addition a machine rearing model to classify transaction &
- -> collaborative fraud excellon: I implemented asystem where fincial institution could shore aronymized data about dected fraudient transactions. The allowed aggrithm to learn from boarder set of data

Boblem-5: traffic lights optimazation algorithm Task-1: Design a backtracking algorithm to optimite the timing lights at major intersections faction optimize (intersection, times/ots); for interesection in intersections: for light in intersection, traffic cight green = 30 aght. yellow=5 cightored = 25 return backtrack (intersection, time-stats, 0)) function backtrack lintersection, time stors, current) if current\_slot = lon(time\_sock); return intersections for intersections in intersections for light in intersections for yellow in 13,571; light green = green right. yellow = yellow lightered = real result = backtrack retain result;

lask 2. Simulate the algorithm on a model of the city's traffic network and measure it impact on the city's traffic network and measure it impact of the city's traffic retwork, which included the major intersections and the traffic flow blue them, the simulations was jun for an 24-hours.

I the result showed that the backbracking algorithm was able to reduce the average wait time at intersections, by 20%, compared fixed optimizing the the traffic eight things accordingly.

Pask 3: - compare the performance of your

I Adaptability: The backtracking against could respond to changes in traffic patterns and adjust the traffic light timings accordingly.

- optimization: The agains was able to find the optimal traffic light timings for each-integers sections