

When attempting to find the solution to the following question:

”How can the average number of collisions necessary to thermalise a fission neutron (slow it down from 2 MeV to 1 eV) in deuterium be calculated? ”

When deriving the number of collision required  $N$  for a given  $\alpha = (\frac{A-1}{A+1})^2$  (where  $A$  is the atomic mass), several fellow students has stumbled across the following misconception:

## 1 Incorrect method

An intuitive method is to do the following:

$$\overline{E_f} = E_i \frac{(\alpha + 1)}{2} = \int P(E) E dE \quad (1)$$

$$\overline{\Delta u} = \ln \left( \frac{E_i}{\overline{E_f}} \right) \quad (2)$$

$$N = \frac{\ln \left( \frac{2MeV}{1eV} \right)}{\overline{\Delta u}} \quad (3)$$

Which corresponds to the procedure as follows:

1. Find the average energy lost  $\overline{E}$
2. Calculate the lethargy change it represents
3. Find the number of collisions (each with energy  $\overline{E}$  lost) required to slow it down.

In a single equation, this is represented as:

$$N = \frac{u(2MeV) - 2(1eV)}{\Delta u \left( \int EP(E)dE \right)} N = \frac{\ln(20000000)}{\ln\left(\frac{1+\alpha}{2}\right)} \quad (4)$$

Which gives the incorrect answer of 22.7 collisions for 2MeV neutrons moderated by Deuterium  $A = 2$

## 2 Correct method

However, the correct way to do so is

$$\overline{\Delta u} = \int (\Delta u) P(\Delta u) d(\Delta u) \quad (5)$$

$$= \int_{E_f=\alpha E_i}^{E_f=E_i} \Delta u(E_f) P(\Delta u(E_f)) \frac{d(\Delta u)}{dE_f} dE_f \quad (6)$$

where the conversion to  $\Delta u$  is carried out as follows:

$$\Delta u(E_f) = \ln \left( \frac{E_i}{E_f} \right) \quad (7)$$

and the probability distribution in  $u$  space can be converted back into probability distribution in  $E_f$  space in a straightforward manner:

$$P(E_f)dE_f = P(\Delta u(E_f))d(\Delta u) \quad (8)$$

$$P(\Delta u(E_f))\frac{d(\Delta u)}{dE_f} = P(E_f) \quad (9)$$

simplifying equation 6

$$\overline{\Delta u} = \int_{E_f=\alpha E_i}^{E_f=E_i} (\Delta u(E_f))P(E_f)dE_f \quad (10)$$

$$= \int_{E_f=\alpha E_i}^{E_f=E_i} \ln\left(\frac{E_i}{E_f}\right) P(E_f)dE_f \quad (11)$$

$$(12)$$

Which will be evaluated to

$$\overline{\Delta u} = 1 + \frac{\alpha}{1+\alpha} \ln(\alpha) \quad (13)$$

$$N = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{2\text{MeV}}{1\text{eV}}\right)}{\overline{\Delta u}} \quad (14)$$

Which gives 20.8 collisions for 2MeV neutrons moderated by Deuterium  $A = 2$

The numerical accuracy of this answer can be verified with the code

NeutronLethargy/MonteCarlo.py

in the repository

<https://github.com/OceanNuclear/NeutronLethargy>

### 3 Explanation

The correct method does the following:

\*Insert figure 1

Multiplying  $P(E)$  by  $\Delta u(E)$  gives a quantity with the dimension of "Lethargy per unit energy". Integrating  $\Delta u(E)P(E)$  gives the mean lethargy change.

Alternatively, if one wishes to work with  $\Delta u$ ,

\*Insert Figure 2

In figure ??, multiplying  $P(u)$  Integrating  $\Delta u(E)P(E)$  gives the mean lethargy change.

However, in section 1, the following method is used: \*Insert Figure 3 Due to the non-linearity, a small 'excursion' to the left will contribute a much larger increment in lethargy gain than a small 'excursion' to the right.

Note that if  $\alpha$  is very close to 1, then this non-linearity can be ignored, i.e. at very large atomic masses, e.g.  $^{238}\text{U}$ , the above two methods should give very similar results.

This means that a collision losing slightly more than  $\overline{E}$  requires multiple collision losing slightly less energy than  $\overline{E}$  to counter its effect. This problem is actually a confusion between arithmetic mean (section 1) and geometric mean (section 2) in disguise. The former method falsely assumes that an arithmetic mean can be done, finding the arithmetic average of the energy lost, instead of the geometric average of  $E$  (which is identical to the arithmetic average of  $\Delta u$ ).

## 4 Acknowledgement

Many thanks to Sam Dyson for his helpful input in discussing and assisting the formulation of this paper.