# Solving Mixed Integer Linear Programs using Cutting Planes

Tobias Kohler

May 16, 2024

#### Mixed Integer Linear Program

#### Mixed Integer Linear Program (MILP) and Relaxation

#### MILP (standard form)

$$c, x \in \mathbb{R}^n, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

#### LP Relaxation

$$\min_{x} c^{\top} x$$
s.t.  $x \in P_{LP}$ 

$$:= \{x \mid Ax \le b, x \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n} \}$$

#### Example

$$\min_{x,y} - y$$
s.t.  $3x + 2y \le 6$ 

$$-3x + y \le 0$$

$$(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

$$\min_{x,y} - y$$
s.t.  $3x + 2y \le 6$ 

$$-3x + y \le 0$$

$$(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}$$

#### **Observations**

$$\mathbf{c}^{\top} x_{LP}^* \leq c^{\top} x_{MILP}^*.$$

#### Observations

- $\mathbf{c}^{\top} x_{LP}^* \leq c^{\top} x_{MILP}^*$ .
- If  $x_{LP}^* \in F_{MILP}$ , then  $c^\top x_{LP}^* = c^\top x_{MILP}^*$ .

#### Observations

- $c^{\top} x_{IP}^* \leq c^{\top} x_{MIP}^*$
- If  $x_{IP}^* \in F_{MILP}$ , then  $c^\top x_{IP}^* = c^\top x_{MILP}^*$ .
- $\mathbf{x}_{IP}^*$  can be found at a vertex of  $P_{LP}$  (Simplex Algorithm).

# **Cutting Planes**

# **Cutting Planes**

Illustration

- An inequality  $a^{\top}x \leq r$  is valid for a set  $F_{MILP}$  if  $a^{\top}x \leq r$  is satisfied for all  $x \in F_{MILP}$ .
- For  $x_{LP}^* \in P_{LP} \setminus F_{MILP}$  we define a <u>cutting plane</u> (or cut) w.r.t.  $x_{LP}^*$  as any valid inequality  $a^\top x \le r$  for  $F_{MILP}$  such that:

$$a^{\top}x_{LP}^{*} > r$$

#### Cutting Planes Algorithm

```
1: LP \leftarrow Relaxation of the MILP

2: repeat

3: x^* \leftarrow Optimal solution of the LP

4: if (x_1^*, ..., x_{n_1}^*) \notin \mathbb{Z}^{n_1} then

5: Add a cut w.r.t. x^* to the LP

6: until (x_1^*, ..., x_{n_1}^*) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n_1}

7: return x^*
```

## **Cutting Strategy**

Question: How to generate "good" and useful cuts?

#### Cutting Strategy

Question: How to generate "good" and useful cuts?

■ Good: Cut away as much as possible (while staying feasible)

#### **Cutting Strategy**

Question: How to generate "good" and useful cuts?

- Good: Cut away as much as possible (while staying feasible)
- Useful: Cut away the optimal solution of the relaxation

#### Convex Hull

Create convex hull - equivalent to relaxation. But too expensive (exponential!)

Any real number  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  can be expressed as

$$a = |a| + f_a$$

for some unique  $|a| \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f_a \in [0, 1)$ .

- $|a| = \max\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z < a\}$  is the integer part of a.
- $\bullet$   $f_a = a |a|$  is the fractional part of a.

Any real number  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  can be expressed as

$$a = |a| + f_a$$

$$f_a = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = \lfloor a \rfloor \Leftrightarrow a \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for some unique  $|a| \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f_a \in [0, 1)$ .

- $|a| = \max\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z < a\}$  is the integer part of a.
- $\bullet$   $f_a = a |a|$  is the fractional part of a.

Any real number  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  can be expressed as

$$a = |a| + f_a$$

for some unique  $\lfloor a \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f_a \in [0, 1)$ .

- $\lfloor a \rfloor = \max\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z \leq a\}$  is the integer part of a.
- $f_a = a \lfloor a \rfloor$  is the fractional part of a.

$$f_a = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = |a| \Leftrightarrow a \in \mathbb{Z}$$

■ 
$$\lfloor -a \rfloor = -\lceil a \rceil$$
 where  $\lceil a \rceil = \min\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z \geq a\}$ 

Any real number  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  can be expressed as

$$a = |a| + f_a$$

for some unique  $\lfloor a \rfloor \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathit{f}_a \in [0,\,1).$ 

- $\lfloor a \rfloor = \max\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z \leq a\}$  is the integer part of a.
- $f_a = a \lfloor a \rfloor$  is the fractional part of a.

$$f_a = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = |a| \Leftrightarrow a \in \mathbb{Z}$$

■ 
$$\lfloor -a \rfloor = -\lceil a \rceil$$
 where  $\lceil a \rceil = \min\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z \geq a\}$ 

$$lacksquare$$
  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $a \leq b \Rightarrow a \leq \lfloor b \rfloor$ 

Any real number  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  can be expressed as

$$a = |a| + f_a$$

for some unique  $|a| \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $f_a \in [0, 1)$ .

- $|a| = \max\{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z < a\}$  is the integer part of a.
- $\bullet$   $f_a = a |a|$  is the fractional part of a.

$$f_a = 0 \Leftrightarrow a = |a| \Leftrightarrow a \in \mathbb{Z}$$

■ 
$$a \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 and  $a \le b \Rightarrow a \le \lfloor b \rfloor$ 

$$lacksquare a \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 and  $a \geq b \Rightarrow a \geq \lceil b \rceil$ 

#### Chvátal–Gomory Inequality for Integer Linear Programs

Let  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_{ij} x_i \leq b_i$  for an Integer Linear Program  $(x \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^n)$ . Then the following inequalities are valid for any  $\alpha > 0$ :

$$\alpha \geq 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lfloor \alpha a_{ij} \rfloor x_j \leq \alpha b_i$$

$$x_j \ge 0$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} |\alpha a_{ii}| x_i \leq |\alpha b_i|$$

$$x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

# Example

#### Illustration

#### Chvátal–Gomory Inequality

If not all variables are integer, these inequalities are not valid. Show that it does not work for mixed integer problem

# Basic Mixed Integer Rounding Inequalities I

Let 
$$x \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$$
,  $y \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$x \le \lfloor b \rfloor$$
 is a valid inequality for  $\{x + y \le b\}$  (1)

and

$$x \ge \lceil b \rceil$$
 is a valid inequality for  $\{-x + y \le -b\}$  (2)

#### Basic Mixed Integer Rounding Inequalities I

Hello World

## Basic Mixed Integer Rounding Inequalities II

Let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . Then

$$x - \frac{1}{f_b - 1} \le \lfloor b \rfloor \text{ is a valid inequality for } \{x - y \le b\}$$
 (1)

and

$$x + \frac{1}{f_b} \ge \lceil b \rceil$$
 is a valid inequality for  $\{-x - y \le -b\}$  (2)

## Basic Mixed Integer Rounding Inequalities II

## General Mixed Integer Rounding Inequality

Let  $F_{MIR} = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_{>0} \mid a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 - y \leq b\}$  where  $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$  and assume that  $f_1 \leq f_b \leq f_2$ . Then the inequality

$$\lfloor a_1 \rfloor x_1 + \left( \lfloor a_2 \rfloor + \frac{f_2 - f_b}{1 - f_b} \right) x_2 - \frac{1}{1 - f_b} y \le \lfloor b \rfloor$$

is valid for  $F_{MIP}$ .

#### General Mixed Integer Rounding Inequality

## Simplex Algorithm

Simplex finds  $x^* \in P_{LP} \times \mathbb{R}^{N-n}_{>0}$  and creates the optimal simplex tableau:

#### i—th row in the simplex tableau

$$x_{B_i} + \sum_{j \in NB} \bar{a}_{ij} x_j = \bar{b}_i$$

- $x_1, \dots, x_n$ : Integer problem variables
- $x_{n_1+1}, ..., x_n$ : Real problem variables
- $x_{n+1}, ..., x_N$ : (Real) slack variables

■ 
$$B = \{B_1, ..., B_m\}$$
: Basic variables

■  $NB = \{1, ..., N\} \setminus B$ : Nonbasic variables  $(x_i^* = 0 \text{ for } j \in NB)$ 

#### Gomory Mixed Integer Cut

Let  $N_1 = NB \cap \{1, ..., n_1\}$ ,  $N_2 = NB \cap \{n_1 + 1, ..., x_N\}$ . Consider the i-th row in the optimal simplex tableau

$$x_{B_i} + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_1} \bar{a}_{ij} x_j + \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_2} \bar{a}_{ij} x_j = \bar{b}_i$$

and assume  $B_i \leq n_1$  but  $x_{B_i}^* = \bar{b}_i \notin \mathbb{Z}$ . Then the Gomory Mixed Integer Cut

$$x_{B_i} + \sum_{\substack{j \in N_1 \\ f_{ij} \le f_i}} \lfloor \bar{a}_{ij} \rfloor x_j + \sum_{\substack{j \in N_1 \\ f_{ij} > f_i}} \left( \lfloor \bar{a}_{ij} \rfloor + \frac{f_{ij} - f_i}{1 - f_i} \right) x_j + \sum_{\substack{j \in N_2 \\ \bar{a}_{ij} < 0}} \left( \frac{\bar{a}_{ij}}{1 - f_i} \right) x_j \le \lfloor \bar{b}_i \rfloor$$

is a valid inequality for  $F_{MIP}$  that is not satisfied by  $x^*$ .

# Gomory Mixed Integer Cut

#### Cutting Planes Algorithm

- Let a MILP be given with feasible region  $F_{MILP} = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^{n_1} \times \mathbb{R}_{>0}^{n-n_1} \mid Ax \leq b\}$  for some  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ .
- The relaxation is the LP obtained by removing the integer constraints, so its feasible region is the polyhedron  $P_{LP} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0} \mid Ax \leq b\}$ .
- Repeat the following two steps until  $x^* \in F_{MILP}$ :
  - **1** Solve the LP using the Simplex Algorithm and obtain  $x^* \in P_{LP}$
  - 2 TODO

## Project Demonstration

Only adding an arbitrary, single cutting plane is very inefficient if the problem dimension is large.

- Only adding an arbitrary, single cutting plane is very inefficient if the problem dimension is large.
  - Heuristic to evaluate the efficiency of a cutting plane (e.g. euclidean distance to  $x^*$ ).

- Only adding an arbitrary, single cutting plane is very inefficient if the problem dimension is large.
  - Heuristic to evaluate the efficiency of a cutting plane (e.g. euclidean distance to  $x^*$ ).
  - Add multiple cutting planes in each iteration.

- Only adding an arbitrary, single cutting plane is very inefficient if the problem dimension is large.
  - Heuristic to evaluate the efficiency of a cutting plane (e.g. euclidean distance to  $x^*$ ).
  - Add multiple cutting planes in each iteration.
- Other cutting plane strategies exist.

Branch & Bound 00

#### Branch & Bound

- Similar to Cutting Planes Solve Problem Relaxation, add constraints until solution is found
- Divide & Conquer

Branch & Bound

#### Branch & Cut

#### Branch & Cut

- Hello World
- Cutting Planes + Branch & Bound = Branch & Cut

#### References