

# HASSAN TARIQ / DEVONSHIRE PARTNERS

---

## INVESTMENT THESIS & STRATEGY

---

---

## WHO I AM

---

Hassan Tariq, independent sponsor and founder of Devonshire Partners. 15 years of buy-side operations at Verition Fund Management (\$10B+ multi-manager), Lazard Asset Management, and Barclays. Columbia Executive MBA, Class of 2026. Former customer of the businesses I'm acquiring.

**I have personally run and supported the exact trading infrastructure and regulatory workflows these companies are paid to deliver.** This isn't theoretical domain expertise—I've lived on both sides of this market.

---

## WHAT I'M BUILDING

---

An independent sponsor building the go-to platform for mission-critical trading infrastructure and regulatory operations—the teams trading firms call when they absolutely cannot go down and cannot be out of compliance.

**End goal: Multi-company platform within 3-5 years**, not a single-deal search fund exit.

---

## INVESTMENT MODEL

---

**Self-funded independent sponsor** (NOT traditional search fund): -  
Deal-by-deal capital raising from a small group of experienced investors -  
No committed fund; investors review each acquisition opportunity -  
Building LP bench now to transact immediately when the right platform becomes actionable

**Why self-funded:** It gets to a multi-company platform faster than a traditional search fund, builds the right investor base from Day 1, and preserves full strategic control at the platform level.

---

## INVESTMENT FOCUS

---

**Mission-Critical Trading Infrastructure & Regulatory Operations** serving asset managers and broker-dealers:

**Managed trading infrastructure and connectivity:** - OMS/EMS support, FIX onboarding, production support, incident response

**Market data operations and entitlements management:** - Licensing, vendor compliance, usage audits

**Trade surveillance and regulatory evidence operations:** - Alert triage, best-ex reporting support, audit trail packaging

**These functions are painful to staff in-house, high-stakes if they fail, and already partially outsourced today to founder-run specialists.**

**Target Profile:** - \$1-5M EBITDA, founder-owned - 70%+ recurring revenue that is SLA-backed, retainer-based, or regulatory/process obligation—not project-based consulting - Mission-critical: downtime means trading desk goes dark - Regulatory-critical: failures mean fines or business disruption - Strong existing vendor / data / exchange relationships that can scale across clients - Fragmented niche with visible bolt-on pipeline

**Target Universe:** - We've identified 200+ named founder-owned firms across these categories - 30-50 match our EBITDA and recurring-revenue profile as viable platforms or bolt-ons

---

## HOW THIS WORKS IN PRACTICE

---

**Repeatable acquisition and value creation mechanics:**

1. **Source** founder-run firms in critical trading infrastructure and regulatory ops where the work is already partially outsourced
2. **Acquire** control, give founder liquidity, keep them aligned through transition
3. **Professionalize** contract structure, response discipline, renewal motion, reporting—make the business institutionally ownable
4. **Consolidate** by adding bolt-on specialists serving the same buyer and adjacent pain points—so the platform becomes the obvious first call in that niche

**The moat:** We acquire companies that sit in the "you cannot be down and you cannot be out of compliance" layer. This creates pricing power, revenue stickiness, and multiple expansion at exit.

---

## DIFFERENTIATION

---

There are searchers in HVAC and dental. There are PE funds at \$20M EBITDA and up. There are lifestyle tech consultants. **There are almost no sponsor-backed roll-ups led by someone who has personally run this exact trading infrastructure at multi-billion-dollar scale.** That's the gap I'm filling.

**vs. Generic Search Funders:** 15 years institutional operations (not 2-5 years consulting). Domain expertise as former customer. Specialist positioning, not generalist.

**vs. Industry Veterans:** MBA + institutional discipline + independent sponsor backing. Building platform, not lifestyle business.

**vs. Private Equity:** Traditional PE wants \$10M+ EBITDA on Day 1. I start smaller—\$1-5M EBITDA—and build that first business into a platform that becomes the PE-scale asset. That gap between "too small for PE" and "too critical to ignore" is my strike zone.

---

## CAPITAL STRATEGY

---

**LP Base:** Deal-by-deal investors (not blind pool fund). Target 5-7 committed relationships willing to review opportunities.

**Deal Structure:** Typical \$1-5M EBITDA founder-led businesses with sticky, must-have services. Transactions will be structured with a mix of senior debt and investor equity appropriate to the asset—SBA where it fits, conventional where scale or IP requires it.

**Financing Approach:** - **SBA 7(a):** \$5M max at Prime + 2.75%, 10-year term (for eligible deals  $\leq$  \$10M EV) - **Cash-Flow Term Lenders:** 3.0-4.0x EBITDA at SOFR + 6-8% (Star Mountain, Twin Brook, Monroe Capital, NXT Capital, White Oak) - Typical leverage: 60% senior debt, 15% seller note, 25% equity - Faster close (45-60 days term debt vs 90-120 SBA)

**Returns Target:** 3-5x MOIC per deal over typical 5-7 year hold periods (22-35% IRR) through: - Operational improvements (margin expansion, professionalized delivery) - Bolt-on acquisitions (platform consolidation in same niche) - Multiple expansion (fragmented  $\rightarrow$  consolidated = higher exit multiple)

Platform with multiple simultaneous holdings achieved within 3-5 years.

---

# UNDERWRITING GUARDRAILS

---

**Revenue Quality:** - GRR  $\geq 90\%$ , NRR  $\geq 100\%$  (services:  $\geq 95\%$  acceptable) - 70%+ recurring revenue

**Client Concentration:** - Top 1 client  $\leq 20\%$ , Top 5  $\leq 50\%$

**Margins:** - Gross margin  $\geq 45\%$ , EBITDA  $\geq 25\%$  after market-rate CEO comp - Delivery team utilization  $\geq 75\%$

**Cash Conversion:** - DSO  $\leq 60$  days, AR  $> 90$  days  $< 15\%$

**Deal Structure:** - No PE/VC ownership (any percentage = automatic pass) - Vendor partnerships transferable or replaceable

**RED FLAGS (Automatic Pass):** - Non-transferable vendor partnership +  $> 60\%$  revenue dependency - Revenue declining  $> 15\%$  YoY for 2+ years - Gross margin declining  $> 500$  bps over 2 years - Chronic negative operating cash flow - Major client churn ( $> 20\%$  revenue) in last 12 months

---

**This is what I'm building. Feedback welcome.**

---

## CONTACT

---

**Hassan Tariq**

Independent Sponsor | Devonshire Partners

(646) 236-7525

htariq26@gsb.columbia.edu

linkedin.com/in/hassantar

Columbia Business School Executive MBA, Class of 2026

---

**Last Updated:** October 2025