ECBME 4040 Homework 2 Writeup

Jingyu Qian (UNI jq2250)

i

 $A_{ls} = \arg\min_{A} L_{ls}$ infers we found the derivative w.r.t A such that the derivative reaches 0.

$$\nabla_{A} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^{i} - Ax^{i})^{T} (y^{i} - Ax^{i})$$

$$= \nabla_{A} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^{iT}y^{i} - x^{iT}A^{T}y^{i} - y^{iT}Ax^{i} + x^{iT}A^{T}Ax^{i})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{m} -2y^{i}x^{iT} + 2Axx^{iT}$$

$$= -2YX^{T} + 2AXX^{T} = 0$$

$$AXX^{T} = YX^{T}$$

$$A = YX^{T}(XX^{T})^{-1} = YX^{-1}$$

ii

Similar to question i, this time:

$$AXX^{T} + \lambda AI = YX^{T}$$
$$A = YX^{T}(XX^{T} + \lambda I)^{-1}$$

iii

$$A_{ML} = \arg \max_{A} \prod_{i=1}^{m} P(\epsilon_{i}|A)$$
$$= \arg \max_{A} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln(P(\epsilon_{i}|A))$$

$$P(\epsilon_i; A) = N(0, \sigma^2 I)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^n \sigma^2 I}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(y^i - Ax^i)^T \sigma^{-2} I(y^i - Ax^i)}$$

$$\therefore A_{ML} = \arg \max_{A} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^{i} - Ax^{i})^{T} \sigma^{-2} I(y^{i} - Ax^{i})$$

$$= \arg \min_{A} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^{i} - Ax^{i})^{T} (y^{i} - Ax^{i})$$

It is obvious the answer should be the same as problem i. So the answer is:

$$A = YX^{-1}$$

iv

$$A_{MAP} = \arg\max_{A} P(\epsilon|A)P(A)$$

$$P(A) = MN(M, \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}I, \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}I)$$

$$\therefore A_{MAP} = \arg\max_{A} \prod P(\epsilon_i|A) \cdot P(A)$$

$$= \arg\max_{A} \sum \ln P(\epsilon_i|A) + \ln P(A)$$

$$= \arg\max_{A} (-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^i - Ax^i)^T \sigma^{-2}I(y^i - Ax^i) - \frac{\lambda}{2} tr[I(A - M)^T I(A - M)])$$

$$= \arg\max_{A} (-\sigma^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{m} (y^i - Ax^i)^T (y^i - Ax^i) - \lambda \cdot tr[(A - M)^T (A - M)])$$

Still we can calculate the gradient w.r.t A:

$$\nabla_{A}(-\sigma^{-2}\sum_{i=1}^{m}(y^{i}-Ax^{i})^{T}(y^{i}-Ax^{i})-\lambda \cdot tr[(A-M)^{T}(A-M)])$$

$$=-\sigma^{-2}(-2YX^{T}+2AXX^{T})-2\lambda \cdot (A-M)=0$$

$$A=(\sigma^{-2}YX^{T}+\lambda M)(\sigma^{-2}XX^{T}+\lambda I)^{-1}$$

\mathbf{V}

Result **i** and **iii** are the same, this means we can use maximum likelihood estimate as an unbiased estimate of the least square loss function. Result **ii** and **iv** are similar in their forms. So given A's priori we can also use MAP estimate in place of the least square loss function with Frobenius regularization form.