

CITS2211 Assignment 1

Baasil Siddiqui

Question 1

a) $P \vee (Q \vee \neg P)$

P	Q	$\neg P$	$Q \vee \neg P$	$P \vee (Q \vee \neg P)$
T	T	F	T	T
T	F	F	F	T
F	T	T	T	T
F	F	T	T	T

As the truth table demonstrates, the proposition is a tautology since it's always true regardless of the truth values of Q or P

b) $(P \wedge \neg P) \vee \neg Q$

$P \wedge \neg P = F$ (by contradiction)

$\neg Q$ (By absorption)

the proposition depends on the truth value of Q hence it's a contingent

c) $Q \rightarrow (P \wedge \neg Q)$

P	Q	$\neg Q$	$P \wedge \neg Q$	$Q \rightarrow (P \wedge \neg Q)$
T	T	F	F	F
T	F	T	T	T
F	T	F	F	F
F	F	T	F	T

As the truth table demonstrates, the truth value of the proposition depends on the truth values of P and Q . Hence, the proposition is a contingent.

Question 2

$$P \vee \neg(P \vee \neg Q) \equiv P \vee \neg Q$$

1. $P \vee \neg(P \vee \neg Q)$	Premise
2. $P \vee (\neg P \wedge Q)$	1, Demorgan's laws
3. $(P \vee \neg P) \wedge (P \vee Q)$	2, distributivity
4. $T \wedge (P \vee Q)$	3, excluded middle
5. $P \vee Q$	4, absorption

5. $P \vee Q$
Q.E.D.

Question 3

$\forall x \exists n (x \leq n \leq x+5 \wedge (\exists a \exists b (a \neq n) \wedge (a \neq 1) \wedge (b \neq n) \wedge (b \neq 1) \wedge (a \times b = n)))$

Question 4

let $N(x, y)$ be "x is a neighbour of y"

a) **Anna has no neighbours**

$$\neg(\exists x.N(x, a))$$

b) **Ben has two neighbours**

$$\exists x \exists y (N(x, b) \wedge N(y, b) \wedge x \neq y \wedge \forall z (N(z, b) \rightarrow (z = x \vee z = y)))$$

c) **If somebody is a neighbour of Ben, Ben is also a neighbour of that person**

$$\forall x (N(x, b) \rightarrow N(b, x))$$

d) **Except for Anna, everyone is the neighbour of someone**

$$\forall x (x \neq a \rightarrow \exists y (N(x, y)))$$

Question 5

a) $\frac{P}{P}$

$P \equiv P$ by rule of identity
 hence, the inference rule is sound

b) $\frac{P}{P \leftrightarrow Q}$

if Q is false, the axiom is true but the conclusion is false
 Hence, the inference rule is unsound

c) $\frac{P \leftrightarrow Q}{P}$

if P and Q are false, the axiom is true but the conclusion is false
 Hence, the inference rule is unsound

d) $\frac{P \quad Q}{P \vee Q}$

From the premise P we can infer $P \vee Q$ by disjunction introduction rule
 Hence, the inference rule is sound

$$\text{e) } \frac{P \rightarrow Q \quad \neg\neg P}{Q}$$

1. $\neg\neg P$	Premise
2. $P \rightarrow Q$	Premise
3. P	1, double negation
4. Q	3, 2, Demorgan's laws

Hence the rule is sound

Question 6

$$\forall x.(\neg Q(x) \vee P(x)) \vee \exists x(Q(x) \vee (P(x) \wedge R(x))) \rightarrow \exists x.R(x)$$

1. $\forall x(\neg Q(x) \wedge P(x))$	premise
2. $\exists x(Q(x) \vee (P(x) \wedge R(x)))$	premise
3. $Q(a) \vee (P(a) \wedge R(a))$	2, Exist elimination
4. $\neg Q(a) \wedge P(a)$	1, Forall elimination
5. $\neg Q(a)$	4, conjunction elimination
6. $\neg Q(a) \rightarrow (P(a) \wedge R(a))$	3, implication law
7. $P(a) \wedge R(a)$	5, 6, Modus ponens
8. $R(a)$	7, conjunction elimination
9. $\exists x.R(x)$	8, Exists introduction

Question 7

Assuming the difference between a squidgy and a non-squidgy number multiplied by 2 produces a squidgy number

we have a non-squidgy number k and a squidgy number l ,
 l can be represented as $\frac{p}{q}$ where p and q are integers

$$\left(\frac{p}{q} - k\right) * 2 = \frac{p'}{q'}$$

where p' and q' are integers (by the assumption that the result is a squidgy number)

$$k = \frac{2pq' - p'q}{2q'q}$$

By arithmetic

we know that the product of integers is an integer the difference of integers is an integer as well

hence, $2pq' - p'q$ is an integer and $2q'q$ is an integer as well

hence k can be represented in the form of $\frac{a}{b}$ where a and b are integers,

which contradicts with our premise that k is a non-squidgy number.

Our assumption that the result is a squidgy number must be false.

Hence, the result of multiplying the difference between a squidgy and a non-squidgy number by 2 is a non-squidgy number

Q.E.D.

Question 7

Case 1: x is an odd integer

Case 2: x is an even integer