

# CITS2211 Assignment 1

Baasil Siddiqui

## Question 1

### Definitions:

A **Tautology** is a compound proposition which is true under all possible assignments of truth values of its identifiers

A **contradiction** is a compound proposition which is false under all possible assignments of truth values to its identifiers

A **contingent** proposition is one which is neither a tautology nor a contradiction.

### a) $P \vee (Q \vee \neg P)$

The statement is a tautology because if P is true then so is  $P \vee (Q \vee \neg P)$

if P is false then,  $Q \vee \neg P$  is true

Therefore,  $P \vee (Q \vee \neg P)$  is true

The proposition is a tautology because it's true regardless of the truth values of P or Q

### b) $(P \wedge \neg P) \vee \neg Q$

$P \wedge \neg P = F$  (by contradiction)

$(P \wedge \neg P) \vee \neg Q = \neg Q$  (by absorption)

The proposition is a contingent because it depends on the truth value of Q

### c) $Q \rightarrow (P \wedge \neg Q)$

if Q is true then,  $(P \wedge \neg Q)$  is false, making the proposition false,

and if Q is false the proposition is true

The proposition is a contingent because it depends on the truth value of Q

## Question 2

$$P \vee \neg(P \vee \neg Q) \equiv P \vee \neg Q$$

1. $P \vee \neg(P \vee \neg Q)$	Premise
2. $P \vee (\neg P \wedge Q)$	1, Demorgan's laws
3. $(P \vee \neg P) \wedge (P \vee Q)$	2, distributivity
4. $T \wedge (P \vee Q)$	3, excluded middle
5. $P \vee Q$	4, absorption

5.  $P \vee Q$   
*Q.E.D.*

## Question 3

$$\forall n \exists x (n \leq x \leq n+5 \wedge (\exists a \exists b (a \neq x) \wedge (b \neq x) \wedge (a \times b = x)))$$

## Question 4

let  $N(x, y)$  be the predicate "x is a neighbour of y"

a) **Anna has no neighbours**

$$\neg(\exists x. N(x, a))$$

b) **Ben has two neighbours**

$$\exists x \exists y (N(x, b) \wedge N(y, b) \wedge x \neq y \wedge \forall z (N(z, b) \rightarrow (z = x \vee z = y)))$$

c) **If somebody is a neighbour of Ben, Ben is also a neighbour of that person**

$$\forall x (N(x, b) \rightarrow N(b, x))$$

d) **Except for Anna, everyone is the neighbour of someone**

$$\forall x (x \neq a \rightarrow \exists y (N(x, y)))$$

## Question 5

An inference rule is sound iff assignment of truth values that makes all the antecedents of the rule true must also make the consequent true.

a)  $\frac{P}{P}$

$P \equiv P$  by rule of identity

Therefore, the inference rule is sound

b)  $\frac{P}{P \leftrightarrow Q}$

Counter example: if Q is false, the axiom is true but the conclusion is false

Therefore, the inference rule is unsound

$$c) \frac{P \leftrightarrow Q}{P}$$

Counter example: if P and Q are false, the axiom is true but the conclusion is false  
Therefore, the inference rule is unsound

$$d) \frac{P \quad Q}{P \vee Q}$$

From the premise P we can infer  $P \vee Q$  by disjunction introduction rule  
Therefore, the inference rule is sound

$$e) \frac{P \rightarrow Q \quad \neg\neg P}{Q}$$

1. $\neg\neg P$	Premise
2. $P \rightarrow Q$	Premise
3. P	1, double negation
4. Q	3, 2, Demorgan's laws

Therefore, the inference rule is sound

## Question 6

$$\forall x.(\neg Q(x) \vee P(x)) \vee \exists x(Q(x) \vee (P(x) \wedge R(x))) \rightarrow \exists x.R(x)$$

1. $\forall x(\neg Q(x) \wedge P(x))$	premise
2. $\exists x(Q(x) \vee (P(x) \wedge R(x)))$	premise
3. $Q(a) \vee (P(a) \wedge R(a))$	2, Exist elimination
4. $\neg Q(a) \wedge P(a)$	1, Forall elimination
5. $\neg Q(a)$	4, conjunction elimination
6. $\neg Q(a) \rightarrow (P(a) \wedge R(a))$	3, implication law
7. $P(a) \wedge R(a)$	5, 6, Modus ponens
8. R(a)	7, conjunction elimination
9. $\exists x.R(x)$	8, Exists introduction
Q.E.D.	

## Question 7

Assume that the difference between a squidgy and a non-squidgy number multiplied by 2 produces a squidgy number

let  $k$  be a non-squidgy number and  $l$  be a squidgy number

If  $l$  is a squidgy number there exists integers  $p$  and  $q$  such that

$$l = \frac{p}{q}$$

$$\left(\frac{p}{q} - k\right) * 2 = \frac{p'}{q'}$$

where  $p'$  and  $q'$  are integers (by our assumption that the result is a squidgy number)

$$k = \frac{2pq' - p'q}{2q'q} \text{ (By arithmetic)}$$

$2pq' - p'q$  and  $2q'q$  are integers

$k$  can be represented in the form of  $\frac{a}{b}$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are integers, therefore, we have a contradiction as  $k$  is a non-squidgy number yet, can be represented as a fraction of integers

Our assumption that the result is a squidgy number must be false.

Therefore, the result of multiplying the difference between a squidgy and a non-squidgy number by 2 is a non-squidgy number

Q.E.D.

## Question 8

Definition: even numbers are divisible by 2 while odd numbers are not.

this is a bidirection proof so firstly, we prove that if  $x$  is odd then  $5x-1$  is even, and then secondly, prove that if  $5x-1$  is even then  $x$  is odd.

**first direction: if  $x$  is odd then  $5x-1$  is even**

let  $x$  be an arbitrary odd integer then  $x$  is of the form  $2k-1$  where  $k$  is an integer

$$x = 2k - 1$$

$$5x = 10k - 5 \text{ (multiplying both sides by 5)}$$

$$5x - 1 = 10k - 6 \text{ (subtracting 1 from both sides)}$$

$$= 2(5k - 3) \text{ (factoring out 2)}$$

$$= 2n \text{ (where } n = 5k-3)$$

$5x-1$  is of the form  $2n$  which is an even number.

therefore, for any odd integer  $x$ ,  $5x-1$  is an even integer

**second direction: if  $5x-1$  is an even integer then  $x$  is an odd integer**

The contrapositive of the statement is -

if  $x$  is not an odd integer then  $5x-1$  is not an even integer

We need to prove that if  $x$  is an even integer then  $5x-1$  is an odd integer

let  $x$  be an arbitrary even integer then  $x$  is of the form  $2n$  where  $n$  is an integer

$$x = 2n$$

$$5x = 10n \text{ (multiplying both sides by 5)}$$

$$5x - 1 = 10n - 1 \text{ (subtracting 1 from both sides)}$$

$$= 2(5n) - 1 \text{ (factoring out 2)}$$

$$= 2k - 1 \text{ (where } k \text{ is } 5n)$$

$5x - 1$  is of the form  $2k - 1$  which is an odd number

therefore, for any even integer  $x$ ,  $5x - 1$  is an odd integer

### Conclusion

therefore, we have proved that if  $x$  is odd, then  $5x-1$  is even

and if  $5x-1$  is even then  $x$  is odd.

It follows that  $x$  is odd if and only if  $5x-1$  is even

### Question 9

let  $P(n)$  be "there exists an ordering of players  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  such that  $p_i$  defeats  $p_{i+1}$  for all  $i \in 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ "

#### Base case:

when  $n = 1$ , there is no valid value of  $i$  so the condition is satisfied for any arrangement

the 1 player can be arranged as " $p_1$ "

Therefore,  $P(1)$  is true.

#### Inductive case:

We want to show that  $P(K) \rightarrow P(K+1)$  for some arbitrary  $k \geq 1$

#### Inductive hypothesis:

We can Assume that  $P(K)$  holds for an arbitrary  $K \geq 1$

#### Inductive step:

Now, we need to show that  $P(K+1)$  holds given the inductive hypothesis

We can arrange the first  $K$  players as  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$  such that  $p_i$  defeats  $p_{i+1}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ .

Consider adding a new player  $p_{k+1}$ . Since  $p_{k+1}$

plays a match against every other player, it either wins or loses each match.

Find a player  $p_j$  among the existing  $k$  players such that:

$p_j$  defeats  $p_{k+1}$  and

$p_{k+1}$  defeats  $p_{j+1}$ , or if  $j = k$ ,  $p_{k+1}$  defeats no player after  $p_j$ .

Insert  $p_{k+1}$  between  $p_j$  and  $p_{j+1}$ , resulting in the new sequence:

$p_1, p_2, \dots, p_j, p_{k+1}, p_{j+1}, \dots, p_k.$

In this new order:

$p_i$  defeats  $p_{i+1}$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, j - 1.$

$p_j$  defeats  $p_{k+1}.$

$p_{k+1}$  defeats  $p_{j+1}.$

$p_i$  defeats  $p_{i+1}$  for all  $i = j + 1, j + 2, \dots, k.$

Thus, we have arranged  $k + 1$  players in the required order.

## Question 10

Base cases:

in the formula a,  $A(\phi) = 1$  and  $B(\phi) = 0$

in the formula baa,  $A(\phi) = 2$  and  $B(\phi) = 1$

Thus,  $A(\phi) \geq 2B(\phi)$  holds for the base cases

Inductive case  $\psi a \phi$ :

by the inductive Hypothesis, we can assume that  $A(\psi) \geq 2B(\psi)$  and  $A(\phi) \geq 2B(\phi)$

$$\begin{aligned} A(\psi a \phi) &= 1 + A(\psi) + A(\phi) \\ &\geq 1 + 2B(\psi) + 2B(\phi) \\ &= 1 + 2B(\psi \phi) \\ &= 1 + 2B(\psi a \phi) \\ &\geq 2B(\psi a \phi) \end{aligned}$$

Inductive case  $aba\psi$ :

by the inductive Hypothesis, we can assume that  $A(\psi) \geq 2B(\psi)$

$$\begin{aligned} A(aba\psi) &= 2 + A(\psi) \\ &\geq 2 + 2B(\psi) \\ &= 2B(aba) + 2B(\psi) \text{ (since } 2B(aba) = 2) \\ &= 2B(aba\psi) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\forall \phi. A(\phi) \geq 2B(\phi)$

Q.E.D

## Question 11

Definitions:

**Union:** The union of sets A and B is a set containing all elements appearing in either A or B or both.

**Intersection:** The intersection of sets A and B is a set containing all elements appearing in both A and B

(i)  $(A \cap \neg B \cap \neg C) \cup (B \cap C)$

(ii)  $(A \cap \neg B \cap \neg C) \cup (B \cap \neg A \cap \neg C) \cup (C \cap \neg A \cap \neg B)$

## Question 12

(a) Definition: R is reflexive iff  $\forall x \in X, R(x, x)$

I will disprove the given proposition with a counter-example:

let  $X = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$

Suppose  $R = \{(1, 2)(2, 3)(3, 4)(4, 4)\}$  and  $S = \{(2, 1)(3, 2)(4, 3)(4, 4)\}$

then  $T = \{(1, 1)(2, 2)(3, 3)(4, 4)(3, 4)(4, 3)\}$

Here, R and S are not reflexive since  $(1, 1) \notin R$  and  $(1, 1) \notin S$

but T is reflexive

(b) Definition: R is reflexive iff  $\forall x \in X, R(x, x)$

For any arbitrary element x in set X,

$(x, x) \in R$  and  $(x, x) \in S$  (by definition of reflexive relations)

$(x, x)$  belongs to T as well (by definition of T)

we have proved that for any arbitrary element x in X,  $(x, x) \in T$

Therefore, if R and S are reflexive then so is T.

Q.E.D.

(c) Definition: R is symmetric iff  $\forall a, b \in X. ((a, b) \in R \rightarrow (b, a) \in R)$

I will disprove the given proposition with a counter-example:

Suppose  $R = \{(1, 2)(2, 1)(3, 4)(4, 3)(1, 3)(3, 1)\}$  and  $S = \{(5, 6)(6, 5)(4, 3)(3, 4)\}$

Then  $T = \{(3, 3)(4, 4)(1, 4)\}$

here, R and S are symmetric

but T is not symmetric because  $(1, 4) \in T$  but  $(4, 1) \notin T$