

TMA4135 Matematikk 4D

Exercise 8

Odd André Owren

October 2019

1a. Table of divided differences:

x	y	$f(x_i, x_j)$	$f(x_i, x_j, x_k)$
-2	1		
		$\frac{1}{3}$	
1	2		$-\frac{1}{60}$
		$\frac{1}{5}$	
6	3		

This gives the following second-order interpolation formula:

$$p(x) = 1 + (x + 2) \cdot \frac{1}{3} + (x + 2)(x - 1) \cdot -\frac{1}{60} =$$

$$\underline{\underline{-\frac{1}{60}x^2 + \frac{19}{60}x + \frac{17}{10}}}$$

1b. Updated table of divided differences:

x	y	$f(x_i, x_j)$	$f(x_i, x_j, x_k)$	$f(x_i, x_j, x_k, x_l)$
-2	1			
		$\frac{1}{3}$		
1	2		$-\frac{1}{60}$	
		$\frac{1}{5}$		$\frac{1}{315}$
6	3		$-\frac{4}{315}$	
		$\frac{2}{9}$		
$-\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{3}{2}$			

Now, the new interpolation polynomial becomes the following:

$$p(x) = -\frac{1}{60}x^2 + \frac{19}{60}x + \frac{17}{10} + (x + 2)(x - 1)(x - 6) \cdot \frac{1}{315} =$$

$$\underline{\underline{\frac{1}{315}x^3 - \frac{41}{1260}x^2 + \frac{367}{1260}x + \frac{117}{70}}}$$

1c. Considering $f(x) = x^2 - 3$, we can see that
 $f(1) = -2$ $f(2) = 1$ $f(3) = 6$ $f(\frac{3}{2}) = -\frac{3}{4}$

, thus we can use inverse interpolation and our results from 1a to make an approximation of $f(x)=0$.

We begin by estimating $f(x)=0$ with the first interpolation polynomial.

$$x_{est} \approx p(0) = \frac{17}{10}$$

This gives an error of $0 - f(x_{est}) = \underline{0.11}$.

Now looking at the second interpolation polynomial, from 1b, we get an approximation of $f(x)=0$ of:

$$x_{est} \approx p(0) = \frac{117}{70}$$

This gives an error of $0 - f(x_{est}) = \frac{1011}{4900}$, which is lower than previous, thus it is clear that we can edge closer to the real solution by interpolating more points.

1d. See figure 1.



Figure 1: Solution to task 1d

3a. We have that $S_n = \frac{b-a}{6}(f(a) + 4f(c) + f(b))$, where $c = \frac{a+b}{2}$. For 1 interval we then have:

$$S_1(1, 3) = \frac{3-1}{6}(e^{-1} + 4e^{-2} + e^{-3}) = \underline{0.31967}$$

For 2 intervals we have:

$$S_2(1, 3) = \frac{2-1}{6}(e^{-1} + 4e^{-1.5} + e^{-2}) + \frac{3-2}{6}(e^{-2} + 4e^{-2.5} + e^{-3}) = \underline{0.31820}$$

The actual errors are then:

$$\int_1^3 e^{-x} dx - S_1(1, 3) = \underline{1.578 \cdot 10^{-3}}$$

$$\int_1^3 e^{-x} dx - S_2(1, 3) = \underline{1.076 \cdot 10^{-4}}$$

For the error estimate we have that

$$E_1(a, b) = I(a, b) - S_1(a, b) \approx \frac{16}{15}(S_2(a, b) - S_1(a, b)) = \xi_1$$

and

$$E_2(a, b) = I(a, b) - S_2(a, b) \approx \frac{1}{15}(S_2(a, b) - S_1(a, b)) = \xi_2$$

Thus we have

$$\xi_1 = \frac{16}{15}(S_2(1, 3) - S_1(1, 3)) = \underline{-1.56832 \cdot 10^{-3}}$$

$$\xi_2 = \frac{1}{15}(S_2(1, 3) - S_1(1, 3)) = \underline{-9.802 \cdot 10^{-4}}$$

3b. We have that the formula for error in composite Simpson method is given by $E_m = -\frac{b-a}{180}h^4 f^{(4)}(\xi)$ where $h = \frac{b-a}{2m}$. We know that $f^{(4)}(x) = e^{-x}$, such that $f^{(4)}(x) \leq e^{-1}$. Inserting this into the formula and solving with regard to m gives us:

$$\left| -\frac{(b-a)^5}{180 \cdot (2m)^4} \cdot e^{-1} \right| \leq 10^{-8} \Rightarrow \frac{e^{-1}}{90 \cdot 10^{-8}} \leq m^4 \Rightarrow m \geq \sqrt[4]{\frac{e^{-1}}{90 \cdot 10^{-8}}} \Rightarrow \underline{m \geq 25.28}$$

Thus, m must be 26 for the error to be minimum 10^{-8}

3c. See figure 2.

```

In [11]: def simpson(f, a, b, m=10):
          n = 2*m
          x_node = linspace(a, b, n+1)
          h = (b-a)/n
          S1 = f(x_node[0]) + f(x_node[n])
          S2 = sum(f(x_node[1:n:2]))
          S3 = sum(f(x_node[2:n-1:2]))
          S = h*(S1 + 4*S2 + 2*S3)/3
          return S

          def f(x):
              return exp(-x)

          a, b = 1, 3
          m = 22

          i = exp(-1) - exp(-3)
          s = simpson(f, a, b, m=m)

          print(f'Estimate: {s}, actual: {i}, error: {i - s:.2e}')

executed in 15ms, finished 11:57:58 2019-10-29
Estimate: 0.3180923803455209, actual: 0.3180923728035784, error: -7.54e-09

```

Figure 2: Solution to task 3c

4a. First, we will have to find the nodes for $m=3$:

$$L_3(t) = \frac{d^3}{dt^3}(t^2 - 1)^3 = \frac{d^3}{dt^3}(t^6 - 3t^4 + 3t^2 - 1) = 120t^3 - 72t$$

Solving for $L_3(t) = 0$ gives us $t_0 = 0$ $t_1 = -\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}$ $t_2 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}$

Now, we need to create the cardinals for the nodes:

$$\ell_0(t) = \frac{(t-0)(t-\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}})}{(-\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}-0)(-\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}-\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}})} = \frac{5t^2 - 5\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}t}{6} = \frac{5}{6}t^2 - \frac{\sqrt{15}}{6}t$$

$$\ell_1(t) = \frac{(t-\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}})(t+\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}})}{(0+\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}})(0-\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}})} = -\frac{5}{3}t^2 + 1$$

$$\ell_2(t) = \frac{(t+\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}})(t-0)}{(\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}+\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}})(\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}-0)} = \frac{5}{6}t^2 + \frac{\sqrt{15}}{6}t$$

Next, we find the weights for the quadrature, which is found by finding the integral of the cardinals on $[-1,1]$.

$$\omega_0 = \int_{-1}^1 \ell_0(t) dt = \frac{5}{18}t^3 - \frac{\sqrt{15}}{12}t^2 \Big|_{-1}^1 = \frac{5}{9}$$

$$\omega_1 = \int_{-1}^1 \ell_1(t) dt = -\frac{5}{6}t^3 + t \Big|_{-1}^1 = \frac{8}{9}$$

$$\omega_2 = \int_{-1}^1 \ell_2(t) dt = \frac{5}{18}t^3 + \frac{\sqrt{15}}{12}t^2 \Big|_{-1}^1 = \frac{5}{9}$$

Now, the Gauss-Legendre quadrature is given by $\int_{-1}^1 f(t) dt \approx \int_{-1}^1 p_{m-1}(t) dt = \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \omega_n f(t_n)$. This gives us:

$$\int_{-1}^1 f(t) dt \approx \frac{1}{9}(5f(t_0) + 8f(t_1) + 5f(t_2))$$

4b. To confirm the degree of precision of the quadrature, we will have to confirm that the quadrature is correct for $\int_{-1}^1 t^n dt$, $n=0,1,2,\dots,2m-1$.

n	$\int_{-1}^1 t^n dt$	$\int_{-1}^1 p_2(t) dt$
0	2	2
1	0	0
2	$-\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{2}{3}$
3	0	0
4	$\frac{2}{5}$	$\frac{2}{5}$
5	0	0
6	$\frac{2}{7}$	$\frac{6}{25}$

We can see from the table above that $\int_{-1}^1 t^n dt = \int_{-1}^1 p_2(t) dt$ for $0 \leq n \leq 5$, thus we have that the degree of precision is 5.

4c. Here we start by selecting $h = \frac{b-a}{2}$, $c = \frac{b+a}{2}$, $x = ht + c$ and $dx = hdt$. This then makes it possible to generalize the Gauss-Legendre quadrature.

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx = h \int_{-1}^1 f(ht + c) dt \approx \frac{h}{9} (5f(ht_0 + c) + 8f(ht_1 + c) + 5f(ht_2 + c)) = \frac{h}{9} (5f(ht_0 + c) + 8f(c) + 5f(ht_2 + c))$$

Now, when approximating $\int_1^3 e^{-x} dx$ we have that $h=1$ and $c=2$. This gives us the following approximation and error:

$$\int_1^3 e^{-x} dx \approx \frac{1}{9} (5e^{-(\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}+2)} + 8e^{-2} + 5e^{-(\sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}+2)}) = \underline{0.31808}$$

$$E = \int_1^3 e^{-x} dx - 0.31808 = -e^{-3} + e^{-1} - 0.31808 = 0.31809 - 0.31808 = 10^{-5}$$

4d. Here we are given that $E(a, b) = \int_a^b f(x) dx - Q(a, b) = \frac{(b-a)^7}{2016000} f^{(6)}(\eta)$. Using this, we can get:

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx - Q_m(a, b) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} (\int_{x_i}^{x_{i+1}} f(x) dx - Q(x_i, x_{i+1})) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{(x_{i+1} - x_i)^7}{2016000} f^{(6)}(\eta)$$

Since we have a uniform distribution on the interval $[a, b]$; $h = x_{i+1} - x_i = \frac{b-a}{m}$, such that

$$\int_a^b f(x) dx - Q_m(a, b) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{(x_{i+1} - x_i)^7}{2016000} f^{(6)}(\eta) = \frac{h^7}{2016000} m f^{(6)}(\eta) = \frac{(b-a)h^6}{31500 \cdot 2^6} f^{(6)}(\eta)$$

Using this result to find m such that the error is less than 10^{-8} :

$$\frac{(b-a)h^6}{31500 \cdot 2^6} f^{(6)}(\eta) \leq 10^{-8} \Rightarrow m \geq \sqrt[6]{\frac{(3-1)^7 e^{-1}}{2016000 \cdot 10^{-8}}} = \underline{3.64}$$

Thus, we need $m=4$ to get a error less than 10^{-8} , which is considerably lower than with Simpson's method.

4e. By following the same procedure as the error estimate in 3a, we have:

$$E_1(a, b) = \frac{(b-a)^7}{2016000} f^{(6)}(\xi) = CH^7, \text{ where } C = \frac{f^{(6)}(\xi)}{315000 \cdot 2^6} \text{ and } H = b - a$$

$$E_1(a, b) = I(a, b) - Q_1(a, b) \approx CH^7$$

$$E_2(a, b) = I(a, b) - Q_2(a, b) = I(a, c) - Q_1(a, c) + I(b, c) - Q_1(b, c) \approx 2C\left(\frac{H}{2}\right)^7 = \frac{1}{64}CH^7$$

By taking $E_2 - E_1$ we have:

$$CH^7 \approx \frac{64}{63}(Q_2(a, b) - Q_1(a, b))$$

and

$$\xi_1 = \frac{64}{63}(Q_2(a, b) - Q_1(a, b))$$

$$\xi_2 = \frac{1}{63}(Q_2(a, b) - Q_1(a, b))$$

Compared to the estimate for Simpson's method, it is clear to see that we for each degree of precision get about 4 times more precise by using Gauss-Legendre quadrature.

4f. See figure 3.

```
In [13]: def gauss(f, a, b):
          c = (a + b) / 2
          h = (a - b) / 2
          return h * (5 * f(-sqrt(3 / 5) * h + c) \
                      + 8 * f(c) \
                      + 5 * f(sqrt(3 / 5) * h + c)) / 9

          def gauss_basic(f, a, b):
              S1 = gauss(f, a, b)
              c = (a + b) / 2
              S2 = gauss(f, a, c) + gauss(f, c, b)
              error_estimate = (S2 - S1) / 63
              return S2, error_estimate

          def f(n=1):
              def g(x):
                  return x ** n
              return g

          for n in range(0, 7):
              s2, error = gauss_basic(f(n), -1, 1)
              print(f'n={n}: value={s2:.4f}.rjust(8)}, error={error:.4f}.rjust(9)}')

          executed in 13ms, finished 11:59:49 2019-10-29

n=0: value=-2.0000, error= 0.0000
n=1: value= 0.0000, error= 0.0000
n=2: value=-0.6667, error= 0.0000
n=3: value= 0.0000, error= 0.0000
n=4: value=-0.4000, error= 0.0000
n=5: value= 0.0000, error= 0.0000
n=6: value=-0.2850, error=-0.0007
```

Figure 3: Solution to task 4f

4g. See figure 4. We can see that in most cases the error is lower than the tolerance, except for tolerance 10^{-3} in function ii) and iii)

```

In [33]: def gauss_adaptive(f, a, b, tol, level=0, max_level=15, silent=True):
    Q, error_estimate = gauss_basic(f, a, b)

    if not silent:
        # -----
        x = linspace(a, b, 101)
        plot(x, f(x), [a, b], [f(a), f(b)], '.r')
        title('The integrand and the subintervals')
        # -----

    if level >= max_level:
        print('Warning: Maximum number of levels used.')
        return Q

    if abs(error_estimate) < tol:
        # Accept the result, and return
        result = Q + error_estimate
    else:
        # Divide the interval in two, and apply the algorithm to each interval.
        c = 0.5*(b+a)
        result_left = gauss_adaptive(f, a, c, tol = 0.5*tol, level = level+1, silent=True)
        result_right = gauss_adaptive(f, c, b, tol = 0.5*tol, level = level+1, silent=True)
        result = result_left + result_right
    return result

def f1(x):
    return exp(-x)

def f2(x):
    return 1 / (1 + 16 * x ** 2)

def f3(x):
    return 1 / ((x - 0.3) ** 2 + 0.01) + 1 / ((x - 0.9) ** 2 + 0.04)

```

executed in 88ms, finished 12:19:06 2019-10-29

```

In [34]: testcases = [
    {
        "a": 1,
        "b": 3,
        "f": f1,
        "exact": exp(-1) - exp(-3),
    },
    {
        "a": 0,
        "b": 5,
        "f": f2,
        "exact": arctan(20) / 4,
    },
    {
        "a": 0,
        "b": 2,
        "f": f3,
        "exact": 41.326213804391148551,
    },
]

tolerances = [1.e-3, 1.e-6, 1.e-9]

for i, case in enumerate(testcases, start=1):
    print(f'\nFunction {i}:\n')
    for tol in tolerances:
        print(f'With tolerance {tol}:')

        result = gauss_adaptive(case['f'], case['a'], case['b'], tol=tol, silent=True)

        print(f'Numerical solution = {result:8f}, exact solution = {case["exact"]:8f}')

        err = case['exact'] - result
        print(f'error = {abs(err):.3e}\n')

```

executed in 23ms, finished 12:19:08 2019-10-29

```

Function 1:

With tolerance 0.001:
Numerical solution = 0.318092, exact solution = 0.318092
error = 1.436e-08

With tolerance 1e-06:
Numerical solution = 0.318092, exact solution = 0.318092
error = 1.436e-08

With tolerance 1e-09:
Numerical solution = 0.318092, exact solution = 0.318092
error = 2.476e-13

Function 2:

With tolerance 0.001:
Numerical solution = 0.394190, exact solution = 0.380209
error = 1.398e-02

With tolerance 1e-06:
Numerical solution = 0.380209, exact solution = 0.380209
error = 1.045e-07

With tolerance 1e-09:
Numerical solution = 0.380209, exact solution = 0.380209
error = 1.688e-11

Function 3:

With tolerance 0.001:
Numerical solution = 41.335690, exact solution = 41.326214
error = 9.476e-03

With tolerance 1e-06:
Numerical solution = 41.326214, exact solution = 41.326214
error = 1.388e-07

With tolerance 1e-09:
Numerical solution = 41.326214, exact solution = 41.326214
error = 1.990e-13

```

Figure 4: Solution to task 4g