

BETSY SACHS, PLAINTIFF

✓

FINRA, DEFENDANT

RECEIVED

FEB 23 2022

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF R.I.

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO VACATE

'Are Jews white?' - is another way of asking
'Are Jews safe?' - What exactly is ANTI-SEMITISM?
What is white supremacy? In America's dominant
culture, Jews are not yet white. This case
poses serious federal subject matter with
constitutional laws and Federal statutory
laws.

A dismissed case does not guarantee
Defendants are factually innocent. This
dismissal and 'Award' are unconstitutional,
a violation of Plaintiff's civil and human
rights. It would only be in FINRA's favor
if Congress had specifically authorized the
action FINRA has taken. While FINRA'S REGISTERED
REP was very busy exercising his right to 90
days of client unavailability, Plaintiff was the
active target of an early group of oath
keepers, boogaloo boys, & threepencers,

who just have to turn a blind eye for problems that are really caused by a quickly changing society. WHITE SUPREMACIST crimes require specific attention because they pose a persistent threat to vulnerable communities. These crimes demand government response that more effectively addresses this communal injury. It is important to ensure VICTIMIZED COMMUNITIES are both safer and more resilient. Minority communities are often denied equal protection when they seek justice. Fear is justified. What we are witnessing here is a human rights crisis of the 21st century.

The judgment is arcane. Being safe where one lives must be protected. A financial system that allows faceless bureaucrats to arbitrarily debank citizens who haven't been convicted of a crime is a dangerous system. Being blocked from the financial system is a sentence to poverty and immobility. It is comparable to the barbaric punishment of "outlawry". America's ruling regime fears truth. There is a perfect storm here.

Both the US and RI constitutions guarantee the right to equal protection under the law. See 8-15 2 RI, the COMMITTEE ON RACIAL & ETHNIC FAIRNESS IN RI was formed to identify and confront areas where racism, inequality and discrimination exist. Plaintiff is a victim. Plaintiff has no ability to pay the 'Award' fines and fees. Plaintiff is indigent.

The First Amendment is not free to dispense its own brand of justice. How do we punish traitors and sedition? Title 18, 18 U.S. Code 2384. SEDITIOUS CONSPIRACY.

AN OUTLAW is a person declared as outside the protection of the law. Hate crimes leave the victim distraught, in pain and emotional turmoil. The crimes committed here require specific attention as they are intended to threaten and intimidate and they require government response. Rhode Island has hate crime laws. This case poses both civil rights and civil liberties issues. Hatred, bigoted ideologies are at issue here and are part of what makes up the notion of white race.

This case is a violation of the Constitution and federal law and Due Process, the 14th Amendment, the amount exceeds \$75,000. This case is unconstitutional and a restraint on free speech. The 'award' is beyond FINRA's Authority and would constitute an unconstitutional violation of civil and human rights. It doesn't matter if you have free speech if no one listens to you. Censorship by algorithm does far more damage. Talk about misunderstanding of ideas, this case is up there with the Jan 6 insurrectionists calling themselves peaceful patriots. Censorship never works. See Bentham's Dog Law, 'don't tell them what they can and can't do, just beat them when they do anything you don't like. FINRA is weaponizing the 'award' by using it to muzzle and harass plaintiff.

Domestic Terrorism in a Hate Crime and Plaintiff is not aware of any overarching policy to specifically address the issues of white supremacy and militia groups and the consequences of inaction. Finra acted ~~like~~ illegally and out of bounds and inappropriately like a high school football referee relying on a rule book. Fees assessed cannot be paid without a settlement - How do we punish traitors and Sedition? see US Code title 18, 18 U.S. Code 2384 - If two or more persons...

Humans abandoned outlawry as an inhuman punishment centuries ago yet outlawry is still the dominant mode of governance. An outlaw is a person outside the law. In pre modern societies all legal protections were withdrawn from the 'criminal' so that anyone was legally empowered to persecute or kill them. Outlawry was one of the harshest penalties in the legal system. In early Germanic law the death penalty is conspicuously absent and outlawry is the most extreme punishment presumably amounting to a death sentence in practice.

Action needs to be taken immediately. There is silence and cowardice in the silence and cowardice in the silence of those who normalize hate crimes and cowardice of those who fail to condemn it.

To vacate an award, the arbitrators must have known of a governing legal principle yet refused to apply it or ignored it completely. And the law ignored by the arbitrators must be clearly defined. Plaintiff petitions the court to vacate the award and to enter judgment dismissing the award entirely. 9 U.S.C. § 10 a (1) procured by undue means. Misuse of power has been involved. The Arbitrators have exceeded their authority.

Respectfully Submitted,

Betsy Sachs, Plaintiff

On Feb 21 2022

Betsy Sachs

28 USC 1654

9 USC 10 (a)(1)

5th Amendment

BENTHAM'S DOG LAW

USCODE title 18

18 U.S. CODE 2384

8-15-2 RI

Title 18, 18 US Code 2384

28 USC 1332

RI General Law 28-5-7

Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964

2014 RHODE ISLAND GENERAL LAWS

Title 42 - State Affairs CHAPTER 42-11-2 -

THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT 112 CIVIL RIGHTS ACT
of 1990 - RI GEN LAWS 42-112-1

Dred Scott v Sanford

Plessy v Ferguson

KOREMATSU v US

Brown v Board of Education

Taylor v Rojas

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENTS : MAJOR CIVIL
RIGHTS ACTS of CONGRESS

THIRD KU KLUX KLAN Act of 1871

14th AMENDMENT

15th AMENDMENT

4th AMENDMENT

5th AMENDMENT

14th AMENDMENT
CIVIL RIGHTS ACT of 1964 Title VII

LEGAL PROTECTIONS passed by 42nd Congress
1871-1873 as H.R. 320

FIRST AMENDMENT

FUMUS BONI IURIS

ENTER RUSTICOS

MALA FIDE

ANALUM PROHIBITUM

ADITIO HEREDITATIS

DUE PROCESS

9 U.S.C 10 a (1) QUESTIONS

ARE JEWS WHITE?

ARE JEWS SAFE?

WHAT IS WHITE SUPREMACY?

CERTIFICATE

NOTICE OF MOTION TO VACATE WAS
SERVED ON THE ADVERSE PARTY
WITHIN 10 DAYS. THE AWARD IS AGAINST
PUBLIC LAW & POLICY.

FINRA DISPUTE RESOLUTION SERVICES
BROOKFIELD PLACE
200 LIBERTY STREET
NEW YORK, N.Y. 10281

~~XXXXXX AND DEPT OF PUBLIC SAFETY~~
CIVIL PROCESS Information Sheet
US DISTRICT COURT of RI

PLAINTIFF BETSY SACHS

v

DEFENDANT:

FINRA RESOLUTION SERVICES
BROOKFIELD PLACE
200 LIBERTY STREET
NEW YORK, N.Y. 10281

SUMMONS