

# Week 4 Report

Team 1

# Platforms and LLMs We Tested

## **AnythingLLM**

- Gemini 2.0, Llama 3.2 Vision, Deepseek R1 via Ollama

## **LM Studio**

- Llama 3.2 Vision, Deepseek

## **chatgpt.com 4.o and o1**

## **claude.ai 3.5 sonnet**

## **perplexity.ai**

- GPT-4o, Gemini 2.0 Flash, Claude 3.5 Sonet, and Grok-2

# We Asked Questions Like...

“Which paper...

- was authored by <author name>?
- discussed <keyword>?
- was advised by <advisor name>?
- has the word “<specific word>” in the title?
- is tagged with the keyword “<specific keyword>”?
- was published in <year>?

# Standings After Experimentation

## 1. **GPT 4o on chatgpt.com (Best)**

Total Score: 25/25

## 2. **Claude 3.5 - sonnet on claude.ai (Second best)**

Total Score: 20/25

## 3. **Gemini 2.0 flash on AnythingLLM or LM Studio (Third Best)**

Total Score: 15/25

# AnythingLLM

## **Gemini 2.0**

- Strengths: concise responses
- Problems: inaccurate responses for follow-up questions

## **Llama 3.2 Vision**

- Strengths: straight to the point
- Weaknesses: not very accurate

## **Deepseek R1 via Ollama**

- Strengths:
  - Shows how it thinks
- Problems:
  - Slow
  - Hard to escape context
  - Can give incorrect answers for the document is using

# LM Studio

## **Llama 3.2 Vision**

- Strengths: straight to the point
- Weaknesses: not very accurate

## **Deepseek R1**

- Strengths: shows reasoning, detailed responses
- Problems: slow, fairly inaccurate

# Perplexity.ai

Each model on Perplexity gave responses that were:

- Detailed (often too lengthy)
  - Fairly accurate
- Slow to generate

# chatgpt.com

## **GPT 4o** (overall score of 25 / 25)

- Strengths: accurate and to-the-point responses.
- Weaknesses: pricey, limited number of prompts.

## **GPT o1** (overall score of 25/ 25) - most advanced model

- Similar to 4o, but gave longer responses.
- Took longer to output responses.
- Shows reasoning



# Gemini

## **2.0 flash** on AnythingLLM via API key

- Strengths: short responses.
- Weaknesses: accuracy.

## **2.0 flash** on perplexity.ai

- Strengths: longer, relevant responses.
- Problems: accuracy.

# DeepSeek R1

## **AnythingLLM** and **LM Studio**:

- Strengths: clarity in reasoning.
- Weaknesses: accuracy, refusing to shift a context.

## **deepseek.com** DeepSeek R1:

- Fast, accurate, but responses could be a little lengthy.

# Extra Processing & Potential Next Steps

Methodology: Created a script ([link here](#)) in order to talk to the Google Gemini API

## **Basic**

Upload the papers individually and ask questions about each of the papers

## **Vectorized**

Upload the papers individually and ask questions about each of them

## **Contextualized**

Upload all the papers to create a context window and ask questions about all of the papers.

## **Vectorized** **Contextual** **Window**

Vectorize the papers, create context window across different papers and ask overall questions

# Findings

## Basic Question and Answer

### **Depth of Understanding**

Identifies main findings, results, limitations, etc.  
Answers are mostly surface level.

### **Contextual Understanding**

Connects ideas across different section. Understands relationships between methods, results, and their significance

### **Quality of Response**

Organizes information logically in it's response & presents information in a coherent hierarchy.  
Sometimes lacks depth.

# Findings

## Vectorized Question and Answer

### **Depth of Understanding**

Much more detailed technical explanations.  
(Talked in depth about paper 2 concepts).

### **Contextual Understanding**

Stronger linkage between the different sections of the paper. Clearer relationships between methods & their purpose.

### **Quality of Response**

More precise and technically accurate.  
Better organized responses with a clearer structure.

# Findings

## Contextualized Question and Answer

### **Depth of Understanding**

Very deep understanding when comparing the different papers. Stronger grasp of theory and practical implications of these papers.

### **Contextual Understanding**

Exceptional ability to draw inferences across the 3 papers. Understands how first paper theory lays the framework for the second and third papers.

### **Quality of Response**

Clear use of examples to support points. Strong analytical answers - maintains balance between all 3 papers and individual concepts.

# Findings

## Vectorized Contextual Question and Answer

### **Depth of Understanding**

Shows significantly enhanced understanding of how papers interconnect and build upon each other.

### **Contextual Understanding**

Superior ability to trace progression across papers. Better at explaining relationships between theory and practical notions.

### **Quality of Response**

Better organization with clear hierarchical presentation. Stronger supporting examples and evidence

Vectorized Contextual Q&A provides the most sophisticated and nuanced understanding of relationships between papers.