

2025

# Fleet report

OGBECHIE CHRISTOPHER, IBRAHIM LUKMAN, MATTHEW ASARE

## AI-Based Fleet Performance and Passenger Demand Prediction System

### MetroMove Transit Services

**Author:** Christopher F. Ogbechie

**Course:** ANLT202

**Date:** December 2025

---

## 1. Introduction & Problem Statement

MetroMove Transit Services operates a regional vehicle fleet that handles thousands of logistics and transportation trips daily. The organization faces recurring operational challenges related to delayed deliveries, unsafe driving behavior, and unpredictable vehicle maintenance costs. These challenges result in increased operating expenses, inefficient fleet allocation, and reduced overall service quality.

The objective of this project is to design and implement an AI-driven Decision Support System using machine learning and deep learning to:

- Identify high-risk trips that are likely to experience delays,
- Predict maintenance costs for vehicles,
- Improve operational decision-making through data-driven analytics,
- Deploy a cloud-accessible prediction system for operational staff.

This system supports MetroMove's goal of improving reliability, reducing operational risks, and enabling proactive fleet management.

---

## 2. Dataset Description

### Dataset Source

The dataset used in this project was obtained from Kaggle:

### Fleet Management Dataset

Source: <https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/nhmishuk/fleet-dataset>

File: fleet\_dummy\_5000.csv

### Dataset Overview

- Records: 5,000

- Features: 25
- Data Types:
  - Numeric: 16
  - Categorical: 9

### Key Variables

#### Category Examples

Location    gps\_start\_lat, gps\_end\_lon

Costs       fuel\_cost, maintenance\_cost, toll\_cost

Behavior    violation\_count, speeding\_incidents

Time        pickup\_time, delivery\_time

Label       status

### Data Quality

- No missing values.
- No corrupted records.
- Suitable for classification and regression modeling.

---

## 3. Machine Learning Problem Definition

### 3.1 Classification Task — Trip Risk Prediction

Objective: Predict whether a trip is **High Risk** or **Normal**.

The risk label is derived from trip status:

Status	Label
Delayed	1 (High Risk)
Delivered / In Transit / Scheduled	0 (Normal)

### Class Distribution:

- Normal Trips: 4,499
- Delayed Trips: 501

### **Purpose:**

This classification allows MetroMove to:

- Identify risky trips early,
  - Prioritize operational attention,
  - Reduce future delays.
- 

### **3.2 Regression Task — Maintenance Cost Prediction**

Objective: Predict the **maintenance cost** per trip using vehicle and trip attributes.

Target variable:

- maintenance\_cost

Features:

- Distance traveled
  - Fuel cost
  - Toll charges
  - Load value
  - Speeding incidents
  - GPS coordinates
  - Pickup hour
  - Day of week
- 

## **4. Data Preprocessing**

### **Feature Engineering**

The following features were added:

- pickup\_hour

- pickup\_dayofweek
- delivery\_delay\_min (derived time difference)

### Data Leakage Prevention

An initial regression model achieved unrealistic accuracy ( $R^2 = 1.0$ ) due to the inclusion of profit\_margin, which directly depends on maintenance\_cost.

Therefore, the following column was removed:

profit\_margin

This ensured valid model performance evaluation.

---

### Data Splitting

- 80% Training
  - 20% Test
  - Stratified split for classification
- 

### Scaling

StandardScaler was applied for Logistic Regression and deep learning models.

---

## 5. Classification Models & Results

### Models Implemented

1. Logistic Regression (Baseline)
  2. Random Forest Classifier
  3. Balanced Logistic Regression
  4. Deep Learning Model (Dense Neural Network)
- 

### Baseline Models Performance

Both Logistic Regression and Random Forest predicted only the majority class.

Model	Delayed Recall
-------	----------------

Logistic Regression	0%
---------------------	----

Random Forest	0%
---------------	----

---

**Balanced Logistic Regression (Final Model)**

Class weighting was applied to handle imbalance:

**Metric (Delayed Trips) Score**

Recall	~47%
--------	------

Precision	~9%
-----------	-----

Accuracy	50%
----------	-----

**Confusion Matrix**

```
[[454 446]
```

```
[ 54 46]]
```

---

**Deep Learning Performance**

The neural network model predicted only the majority class, failing to identify delayed trips.

Conclusion:

Deep learning did not outperform classical machine learning for this dataset.

---

**6. Regression Models & Results****Models Implemented**

1. Linear Regression
2. Random Forest Regressor

After removing leakage:

Model	MAE	R <sup>2</sup>
-------	-----	----------------

Linear Regression	62.9	0.00
-------------------	------	------

Random Forest	64.1	Negative
---------------	------	----------

Conclusion:

The dataset does not contain strong maintenance cost predictors. Data quality limits regression accuracy.

---

## 7. Hyperparameter Tuning Results

### Classification (Logistic Regression)

Grid search tuning resulted in:

Parameter	Value
-----------	-------

Solver	liblinear
--------	-----------

Regularization (C)	0.01
--------------------	------

Best Recall (Delayed): **46.8%**

---

### Regression (Random Forest)

Best configuration:

Parameter	Value
-----------	-------

max_depth	10
-----------	----

n_estimators	50
--------------	----

MAE improved slightly, but regression remained weak.

---

## 8. Cloud Deployment

A live web application was deployed using Streamlit Cloud.

### Application Link

**Public URL:**

<https://ai-fleet-demand-metromove-o8qov4sdpgtreftqbj6ysu.streamlit.app/>

**Features**

- User input form
- Risk prediction
- Maintenance cost estimation
- Interactive interface
- Cloud-accessible design

**Technology Stack**

- Python
- Streamlit
- Scikit-learn
- Pandas

**Screenshots**

(Insert screenshots here from browser once captured.)

---

**9. Conclusion**

This project demonstrates:

- How machine learning improves fleet monitoring
- The importance of avoiding data leakage
- The value of recall over accuracy in risk detection
- Why model complexity alone does not guarantee performance

Balanced Logistic Regression achieved the best risk detection accuracy for delayed trips.

---

**10. Future Improvements**

Future development could include:



- Real-time telemetry integration
  - Deep learning using time-series data
  - GPS-based trajectory modeling
  - Cost forecasting using external conditions
  - Interactive operational dashboards
- 

### 11. References

1. Kaggle — Fleet Dataset  
<https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/nhmishuk/fleet-dataset>
2. Scikit-learn Documentation  
<https://scikit-learn.org>
3. TensorFlow Documentation  
<https://www.tensorflow.org>