## A\_GROUP43

## **RQ MARKS**

Following is preliminary feedback on your research question deliverable.

First, your repository was checked-out to the state as of the deadline.

Each component was then assessed according to the marking rubric, and assigned a qualitative mark from the scoring rubric on pp. 4-5 of the coursework specification.

You should take this feedback into account when preparing your visualizations. If you have submitted a research question deliverable with errors, do not send email or post requests on Slack demanding that we re-grade your research question; we will not.

Instead, take the feedback into account, revise your research question if necessary, fix any errors in your research\_question.yml file, and push it along with your CSV data files and visualization script.

Section/+ Component

Mark

## Marks

+ partA: File format

Excellent

- *Is there a \_research\_question.yml\_file?* Yes.
- Is it in syntactically correct YAML format? Yes.
- Was it submitted on-time? Yes.

Summary: Good.

+ partB: Research question

Excellent

- Is the question an actual \*question\*? E.g, starts with 'Is there a correlation/difference...', etc., and ends with a '?' Yes.
- Is the question comprehensible (makes sense in English, although maybe not statistically sound)? Yes.
- Does the question include exactly one independent and exactly one dependent variable? Yes.
- Are the independent and dependent variables identified? Yes.
- Are both the independent and dependent variables interval or ordinal? No.
- Is the independent variable nominal, or ordinal with less than eight values? Yes.
- Are there at least two categories (or values) of the independent variable? Yes.
- Is the dependent variable interval or ordinal? Yes.
- Is the question about difference between/among means/medians? Yes.
- Is the dependent variable nominal? No.

Summary: Good.

+ partC: Hypotheses

Excellent

- *Is there a statement of the null hypothesis?* Yes.
- Is the null hypothesis stated correctly? Yes.
- Is there a statement of the alternative hypothesis? Yes.
- Is the alternative hypothesis stated correctly? Yes.

Summary: Good.

+ partD: Dataset Excellent

- *Are the URLs for the dataset(s) specified?* Yes.
- Are the URLs from data.world? Yes.
- Are the URLs not on the prohibited list? Yes.
- Are there CSV files that match the datasets? Yes.
- Is the output of the R colnames() function shown for each dataset?
- Will the data support statistical analysis of the research question? Yes.

Summary: Good.

+ partE: Writing Good

- Are the RQ and hypotheses spelled correctly? Yes.
- Are they punctuated correctly? Yes.
- Are they grammatically correct? Yes.
- Is the research question interesting (not obvious, can't be answered by mathematics or application of natural law (e.g. speed x distance)? No.
- + partF: General comments
  - ullet Additional comments to students (optional; please use column G for all comments) No.