

Presentation

Student name: Kyle Broflovski

Supervisor name: Aqua Spark

Examiner name: Indigo Beetle

Total mark: 69

Criteria	Mark	Rationale
Introduction (20.0 %) <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Title and name on first slide● Student introduce self● Level of detail appropriate● Good coverage of background issues● Project's goals explained● Problem being solved● Work placed in context	57.5	GOOD
Achievements (20.0 %) <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Adequate discussion of planning● Clarity of explanation of the deliverables and what has been achieved● How will the project be evaluated?● Note: It is the presentation that is being assessed, not the progress of the project itself.	57.5	Needs a lot of improvement
Presentation quality (20.0 %)	76.5	

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Clear speaking ● Did the student make eye contact with the audience? ● Was the student familiar with the presentation? (no excessive use of notes) ● Purpose is stated clearly near the beginning ● Organization throughout the presentation is made clear with slides and language cues 		very good
Timing (10.0 %) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Was the presentaiton well-paced and did it finish on time? 	65.0	a bit rushed
Questions (10.0 %) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Questions anticipated ● Clarification sought for ambiguous or unclear questions ● Clear answers; good suggestions even if answers unknown 	77.0	well prepared
Visual aids (20.0 %) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Well-prepared, interesting, easy-to-read slides that support the content of the presentation and enhance the audience's understanding of the key points. ● Appropriate text size and formatting, good visual appeal with varied representations ● Number of slides appropriate for time limit ● No unfamiliar acronyms, errors in 	82.5	nice slides

grammar, spelling etc. that distract the audience		
---	--	--

General comments

Generally good.
