



UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON

---

Computational Modelling in Biomedical Imaging -  
Coursework 2

---

*Author:*  
RĂZVAN VALENTIN  
MARINESCU  
razvan.marinescu.14@ucl.ac.uk

EPSRC CENTRE FOR DOCTORAL TRAINING IN MEDICAL IMAGING  
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE LONDON

March 5, 2015

## Q1

### (a)

Sample	True mean	Sample mean	True standard deviation	Sample standard deviation
sample 1	1	0.9848	0.25	0.2842
sample 2	1.5	1.4944	0.25	0.2281

The new values are as expected, lying within a 0.04 tolerance level.

### (b)

The t-test results in a t-statistic of -6.99, rejecting the null hypothesis with a p-value of 7.55e-09 which is very low. We are very confident the two samples were generated from distributions with different means, which is indeed the case (means of 1 and 1.5).

### (c)

i.  $\dim(X) = 2$  because  $X$  is made of two column vectors that are linearly independent.

ii.  $Y = X\beta \rightarrow X^T Y = X^T X\beta \rightarrow (X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = \beta \rightarrow X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = X\beta$ . Since  $MY = X\beta$ , we deduce  $M = X(X^T X)^{-1} X^T$ . In our case  $M = 0.04 * \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{I}_N & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{I}_N \end{pmatrix}$  where  $\mathbb{I}_N$  is an  $N \times N$  matrix full of 1s.

iii.  $\hat{Y} = MY = [0.9848 \dots 0.9848 \ 1.4944 \dots 1.4944]^T$  and  $\hat{e} = (I - M)Y$ . The cosine between the vectors is almost zero (-4.1352e-16) which means that the vectors are perpendicular. This is what we expected, since the column space and error space are orthogonal.

iv. We know that  $Y = X\beta$ . Pre-multiplying by  $X^T$  gives  $X^T Y = X^T X\beta$ . Now matrix  $X^T X$  is a square matrix and can normally be inverted. We therefore further pre-multiply by  $(X^T X)^{-1}$  and get  $(X^T X)^{-1} X^T Y = \beta$ , the model parameters. For our problem we get the estimated parameters  $\beta = [0.9848, 1.4944]$ , which are very close to the ground truth values of  $[1, 1.5]$

v.

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{\hat{e}'\hat{e}}{n - \dim(X)} = \frac{(Y - X\hat{\beta})'(Y - X\hat{\beta})}{n - \dim(X)}$$

This is called the *Mean Squared Error* because it is an unbiased estimate of the error in the prediction. The upper term  $(Y - X\hat{\beta})'(Y - X\hat{\beta})$  is the sum of squared differenced between the observations and the predicted values. This is then divided by the number of degrees of freedom, which is  $N - 2$  in our case. For our error vector we get  $\hat{\sigma}^2 = 0.0664$

vi.  $S_{\hat{\beta}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0027 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.0027 \end{pmatrix}$ . From  $S_{\hat{\beta}}$  we can calculate  $std(\beta_1) = \sqrt{Sb(1, 1)} = 0.0515$ . Similarly we get  $std(\beta_2) = \sqrt{Sb(2, 2)} = 0.0515$

vii.  $C = [1, -1] \rightarrow C\beta = \beta_1 - \beta_2 = 0$ . By setting  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \gamma$  we then solve  $Y = X_0\gamma = XU\gamma$  so it must be the case that  $\beta = U\gamma$ . Solving this for our conditions gives  $U = [1, 1]^T$ . Then the reduced model  $X_0 = XU = [1 \dots 1]^T$  where the length of  $X_0$  is 50.

viii. If  $\hat{Y}$  and  $\hat{Y}_c$  are the predicted values using the full and constrained models respectively, then  $\hat{Y}_{\perp c} = \hat{Y} - \hat{Y}_c$  is the additional error. For our data we get  $|\hat{Y}_{\perp c}| = 1.8017$ . Using the MSE we got from (v), we get

$$F = \frac{|\hat{Y}_{\perp c}|^2/r}{|\hat{e}|^2/(N - p)} = 48.89$$

Since  $F > 3.68$ , we reject  $H_0$  at  $\alpha = 0.05$  confidence level (i.e. the two samples come from different groups).

**ix.**

The t-statistic is -6.99 and is exactly the same as the one calculated in point b).

**x.**

The model parameters represent the *estimated values* for the means of the distributions that we used to sample the data from. In our case we get  $\hat{\beta} = [0.9848, 1.4944]$  which are close to the true values of  $\beta = [1, 1.5]$ .

**xi.**

$e_c = Me = [-0.0152 \dots - 0.0152 \quad - 0.0056 \dots - 0.0056]$  where elements from each part of the array are exactly the difference between  $\hat{\beta} - \beta = [-0.0152 \quad - 0.0056]$ .  $e_c$  represents the error component that doesn't come from noise, but from the wrong estimates of  $\hat{\beta}$  that are not equal to the true  $\beta$ .

**xii.**

$e_e = (I - M)e = (I - M)(Y - X\beta) = (I - M)Y - (I - M)X\beta = \hat{e} - 0 = \hat{e}$  because  $(I - M)$  and  $X\beta$  are orthogonal.