## Dealing with preempts

## Krystyna Gasińska, Bartek Słupik January 29, 2024

## (2 ) - ?

- $3 \spadesuit = \text{strong hand, solid suit}$
- $3 = \text{Michaels}, \mathbf{F} \text{ to } 4\mathbf{x}$
- 4 4 = Leaping Michaels, GF
- 4 = 4, strong
- $4NT = \clubsuit$ , weaker then  $4 \checkmark$

## $(2 ) - \times - (P) - ?$

- 2NT = Better Minor Lebensohl
- 3♣ = 0-11, 5+♣
- 2 = weak
- 3 = INV (8-11)
- $3 \checkmark = \text{no } 4 \spadesuit$ , no  $\checkmark \text{ stopper}$
- $3 \spadesuit = 5 \spadesuit$ , INV (8-11)
- $3NT = no 4 \spadesuit$ ,  $\forall$  stopper
- $4 \nabla = \clubsuit$ , no  $\nabla$  control, Slam Try
- $4 \spadesuit = \text{to play}$

!

$$(2 \checkmark) - \times - (P) - 2NT$$

$$(P) - 3m - (P) - ?$$

- $3 \Rightarrow = \text{weak}$
- $3 \checkmark = 4 \spadesuit$ , no  $\checkmark$  stopper
- $3 \spadesuit = 4 \spadesuit$ , **INV** (8-11)
- 3NT = 44,  $\forall$  stopper

$$(2 \checkmark) - \times - (3 \checkmark) - ?$$

• 
$$\times = \text{no } 44, 10+$$

$$(2.) - ?$$

- 3 = Michaels, F to 4x
- 4 4 = Leaping Michaels, GF
- $4 \rightleftharpoons = \clubsuit$ , strong
- $4NT = \clubsuit$ , weaker then  $4 \checkmark$

$$(2\spadesuit)$$
 –  $\times$  –  $(P)$  – ?

- 2NT = Better Minor Lebensohl
- 3♣ = 0-11, 5+♣
- 3 /3 = INV (8-11)
- $3 \spadesuit = \text{no } 4 \heartsuit$ , no  $\spadesuit$  stopper
- $3NT = no 4 \checkmark$ , stopper
- 4 = to play
- $4 \nabla = 4$ , no 4 control, Slam Try

!

$$egin{aligned} (2 &) - imes - (P) - 2 NT \\ (P) - 3 m - (P) - ? \end{aligned}$$

$$(P) - 3m - (P) - ?$$

- $3 \checkmark / 3 •$  = to play
- $3 \spadesuit = 4 \heartsuit$ , no  $\spadesuit$  stopper
- 3NT = 4 $\checkmark$ ,  $\spadesuit$  stopper

$$(2\spadesuit)$$
 -  $\times$  -  $(4\spadesuit)$  - ?

- 4NT = two-suited OR weak
- $5 \clubsuit / 5 \spadesuit = \text{to play}$
- $5 \checkmark = \text{Slam Try}$