

1 Problem Formulation

We are solving the inverse problem defined by the following forward model:

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{n}, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^M$ is the measurement, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^N$ is the signal of interest that we want to reconstruct, $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{M \times N}$ is the measurement matrix, and $\mathbf{n} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_n^2 I)$ is additive noise. We assume that the signal to reconstruct is at most K -sparse, i.e. $\|\mathbf{x}\|_0 \leq K$. Additionally, $K < M < N$ and the entries of \mathbf{A} are i.i.d. Gaussians.

We are now learning or selecting a CPWL function that reconstructs the signal:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = f(\mathbf{y}) = \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{y})\mathbf{y}, \quad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{y})$ is the linear operation performed by f for input \mathbf{y} . Note that $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{y}) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}$.

We aim to show that the number of unique matrices that are assigned by an optimal maximum a-posteriori estimator f is limited, i.e. the optimal number of linear projection regions is limited. Note that from a frequentist perspective, the method will end up being the exact same as maximum likelihood estimation.

2 Calculating the posterior

We are interested in maximizing the posterior probability $p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y})$. This can be found through Bayes' rule as:

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) \propto p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x}). \quad (3)$$

The prior $p(\mathbf{x})$ is a uniform prior over all possible reconstructions \mathbf{x} that are of maximum cardinality K and is zero for all other possibilities. So we can also write it as:

$$p(\mathbf{x}|\mathbf{y}) \propto p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{x}) \propto \begin{cases} p(\mathbf{y}|\mathbf{x}) & \text{if } |S| \leq K \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad (4)$$

where $S = \text{supp}(\mathbf{x})$ denotes the support of \mathbf{x} . We are interested in finding the MAP estimate, so given the proportional to statements, the MAP becomes:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \arg \max_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \\ |S| \leq K}} p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}). \quad (5)$$

This can also be written as a minimization problem of the negative log-likelihood:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \arg \min_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \\ |S| \leq K}} -\log p(\mathbf{y} | \mathbf{x}) = \arg \min_{\substack{\mathbf{x} \\ |S| \leq K}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}\|_2^2. \quad (6)$$

A crucial observation is that for any sparse vector \mathbf{x} , not all columns of the sensing matrix \mathbf{A} are relevant. If we denote \mathbf{x}_S as the vector containing only

the non-zero entries of \mathbf{x} , indexed by the support S , and \mathbf{A}_S as the submatrix of \mathbf{A} containing only the columns corresponding to S , then the minimization problem becomes:

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_S = \arg \min_{\substack{\mathbf{x}_S \\ |S| \leq K}} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}_S \mathbf{x}_S\|_2^2. \quad (7)$$

This minimization problem has then split our problem in two steps. First we need to find the optimal support S ; once it is known, the minimizer of the L2 norm is known to be the pseudo-inverse. In other words we get:

$$\hat{S} = \arg \min_{|S| \leq K} \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{A}_S \mathbf{A}_S^+ \mathbf{y}\|_2^2, \quad \hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{A}_{\hat{S}}^+ \mathbf{y}. \quad (8)$$

3 Putting it together

From equation (8) it can be seen that the CPWL function we learn indeed assigns linear transformations of the form $\mathbf{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times M}$. Namely, it assigns the pseudo-inverse of the submatrix $\mathbf{A}_{\hat{S}}$ that minimizes the likelihood term. Thus, in order to get the number of unique matrices that the function assigns, we can count the number of unique supports S that can be assigned to the problem. Remember that the sparsity of the support is at most K and we have not shown that all supports are chosen (although we do hypothesize this). Thus the optimal number of linear regions that can be assigned is bounded as:

$$\text{optimal number of projection regions} \leq \sum_{k=0}^K \binom{N}{k}. \quad (9)$$