	ELB112				
Sno	REG No	Name	Comments	VERDICT	
1	ENC221-0296/2016	NTURIBI Gacheri Lydiah	Okay prsenation,Better presentation of prevelance map check results map rep	MINOR CORRRECTIONS	
2	ENC221-0207/2016	AKBARALI Mehlam Moiz	Conlusion to be linked to objectives. Validation need to be done. Okay. Recommendations to be redone in mind with what the research would have done better. Conclusions to be drawn from the work temporal data? Conclusions in rhythm with obj	MAJOR CORRECTIONS	
3	ENC221-0307/2016	GiTHINJI Wambui Pascaline	Validation of the work need to be illustarted. Okay. Recommendations to be improved, long term climate data to investigate climate related risks remove color on flowchart possibility of validating using ground pic recommenda on the vasis of what you've done better future recommend	MAJOR CORRECTIONS	
4	ENC221-0298/2016	MAINA Patriciah Wangui	Capacity loss for Gitaru. Discusion should be more of your work. see a better method to estimate the results for objective 2, i.e. claculation of the dam water volumes. Area extents to be obtained from the classified maps. As it is volume data need to be better estimated evapo affect? validations aspects show us preliminary results what was the final resion of the results altimetry; discussions stick to what youve done	MAJOR CORRECTIONS	
5	ENC222-0151/2017	OKUBO PAULINE AJIAMBO	Main objective, name the technique improve validation, the labeling of results. Consider bathymetric data to improve the results slied 7 unform legend and labelling; emphasis on the impact of study eg globla warming cultralr values; clarity on results; are geological parameter necessary	MINOR CORRRECTIONS	
6	ENC222-0407/2017	AYOYI ANNE IYVONNE	Title need to relate to objectives. The comparison of models is irrelevant for the study. the project title to be modified to be in line with the objectives, some extra work on areas needing irrigation to be included as a way to improve food security;	MAJOR	
7	ENC222-0411/2017	GORI CHARLES MOENGA		FAIL	
8	ENC221-0292/2016	JOSEPH Kinyua	Objectives need to be refined especially main aobjective. Add more epochs. Not complete results to be improved. Redo objective 3, include change detection matrix, refine objectives, the overall objective to be refined(too simple as it is). Include more LULC epoch, 2 epoch too fee to capture trends	MAJOR CORRECTIONS	

9 ENC222-0114/2017	ADAGALA CASPER	The first objective on assesing the factors should be looked at so that all the factors	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
J LINC222-0114/201/	ADAGALA CASELIN	contributing are fed into the model. LULC must be included in the model. results are	I WAJON CONNECTIONS
		flawed since LULC was not consider, the aspects of the rainfall that contribute to gully	
		erosion; rainfall intesnity or durations; lulc aspect not checked? collinearity rechck; show	
		field data	
10 ENC222-0152/2017	ONGAU HULDA KWAMBOKA	use a density map. How was spatial distribution done. Correlation of factors need to be	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
		better represented. Prediction maps better represented using zones. Check the	
		correlations; possibel to consider effect of tradtional midwifing; or age groups; wsa data	
		classified on time eg month daily etc; why upsurge on the NE	
11 EN281-0153/2014	OKOTH VINCENT ODHIAMBO	Third objetive on policy !! Display of results versus the conclusions need to relate. Check	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
		road data used. Separate the different modes of transport to compute the accessibility of	
		these COVID vaccination centres. Refine the objectives from tasks to analysis based. Redo	
		the analysis with better road data. The accessibility Results better captured by	
		zonal;clarification on use of mode of transport; what assumptions made in the study;	
		focus on the distribution more; validations centers are constantly revised by the moh how	
		to capture this; check source of national roads data; rephrase objectives; gve factors	
		cntributign to prevalence; recehck obj	
12 ENC222-0167/2017	MWANGI DENNIS MURITHI	Improve the maps cartography no validation yet, the results are direct from the software,	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
12 ENC222-0107/2017	INVANGI DENNIS WORTHI	not related; validations; exclude forests; possiblity of adding temporal aridity indices;	IVIAJOR CORRECTIONS
		translations of results; carto; remove last 2 obj or recheck define aridtytrends framing	
		techniks avoid using me, my	
13 ENC222-0345/2016	IROSE TONY CYRIL	Objective 3 on the model need improvement. DEM for road kill ? Result on validation	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
		need to be better represented. NO prediction done, its more of hotspot identification	
		refine the objectices to include the road section, the method being used to do the	
		prediction Recommaendations to be improved to capture long term climate change on	
		the roadkill,; when putting results can zoom in on raods to better display; temporal	
		aspects of teh data; sgr is it necessary; rehprase ojctives; recheck the predictioion obj;	
14 ENC222-0120/2017	GITHUI ANN WACHERA	Conclusion need improvement. to improve presentation skills to save on time; check	MINOR CORRECTIONS
		carto; legends very small; use of landsat and modis clrafiy; give raw images; describe each	
		figure before hand	

15	ENC221-0299/2016	NYAGUTHIE Grace Njeri	Do validation. Understand the results from the software, the 19995 classification to be redone; rephrase oobj; check accuracy of lulc; defeine euthrphication better	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
16	ENC222-0126/2017	KILIMO BARAK KIPTOO	Quantify the conclusion using values. Slides too word, probabaly lifted from the writeup and not summarized. Validation is lacking; seasonality of datasets; face panel when presenting; more amd better translations of results; ecoloflgical factors; which months;	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
17	ENC221-0159/2016	HALAKHE Farri Guyo	Indicate the years considered in change detection . Have the conclusion drawn on various objectives quantified interm of values the impact of the invasive species to be quantified in terms of impact to livestock density; more explainations why why east is high vulnerble; expand mor o the intro and lit review; include image photo of the species; indicate the results [quantity better];	MINOR CORRRECTIONS
18	EN281-6149/2015	MWANGI Mary Muthoni	Objective 3 to be changed to relate to results obtained. Modify objective 2 to capture the issues of short term and long term dry spells and rainny seasons. The quality of the presentation slides is poor. Capture variables around deforestation, agriculture on objective 2; wordy intro; validations; can use rs algorithm of stream flow; more paramters of flow; give statsitical/ translations of results; study area not clear;	
19	ENC221-0314/2016	KIPKOECH Joash Rono	Flood, slope propert value map legends need to be improved. Discussion general not based on results. Last conclusion is not true and not based on your research. Capture all the assumptions made in the study. the objectives to capture the study area. Improve recommendations; timelines of data; assympttions of the study;	MINOR CORRRECTIONS
20	ENC221-0316/2016	CHERONO Daisy Jepchumba	Coral Refine objective 2 to reflect the relationship between thermal stress and coral bleaching; clarifaication of climate factors; address below water properties; what bands in use; compare thermal form landsat; recheck obj 2	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
21	ENC222-0131/2017	KOINET STANLEY SALAON	On the results the whole of nakuru is sinking. Conclusion should be drawn from your work not past researches. recommendations and conclusions to be drawn from the work. The reclassification of the subsidence and lift map to be refined to include the areas in insignificant changes (stable). Rephrase the objectives 1 and 2; check carto; rephrase main obj; raw images; soil types analysis rocks; vegeation cover lulc effects; define insidence	MAJOR CORRECTIONS

22 ENC222-0423/2017	OKAKA BECKY ADHIAMBO	Terrorism Major towns, economic status are key factors that should be included to improve the results; socio economical datasets; mitigation mesures;	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
23 ENC222-0142/2017	MWITA EMMANUEL	Methodology need improvement. Water yield calculation. Drope assesement in the tilte; bit wordy intro; wheres lake on the map; validations missing; use grapghs to give results; recheck mehtods;	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
24 ENC222-0129/2017	KIPTOO FAITH JEPKORIR	Title term "multi-source" not necessay. Poor study area map. Discusiion not beased on the results. The study needs a lot of improvement to refine objectives. work to be refined to have evapotranspiration parameter which capture the temperature and rainfall parameters. Also the approach should be on the timings when the meteorological parameters are applied and how they influence the wheat yield. The conlusions made are quite obvious; check display of flowcahrts; climatic paramters evpo; check carto; rechek mehtods	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
25 ENC221-0327/2016	IAN Bradley	Validation approch of flood map is not correct. Accuracies for the LULC for all years. The fllodmaps look to be off. the criteria used to map flood to be refined	FAIL
26 ENC221-0330/2016	SHULTZ GAVIN KENDO	Objective 3 on least cost path need improvement. Policy making in methodology? refine the results i.e. the least cost corridor by considering sentinel 1 classification for the wetland, for May 2020, extremely wet season (highest water level) and compare with the Dec 2020.; busness as usal scenarios; clarify rhis least cost/check resluts; leasst cost obj not clear	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
27 ENC222-0115/2017	ADITOME WINNIE MINAYO	Poor repsesenation of results. Consider more factors fo vulnerability Redo the vulnerability of the bird, consider more factors. No validation; clarify in threats and their weighting; use of maxent modelling; validate; define a buffer region around the forest; bird kill proximty to water factors	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
28 ENC221-0312/2016	CHESAWACH Ronald	Drainage network? Validation now that we have rain. good work, include validation with a few points.	MINOR CORRRECTIONS
29 ENC222-0123/2017	JELIMO RHODAH	Discussion on study area mising on spatial distribution . Need assess the quantities on suspended solids. At progress level. Include an objective to cater for the source of suspended values from the catchment; avoid sentences in ppt; be clear on type of ml on obj; ikons not operational; braden work check the catchment area; types of sedimanet; gauged data; provide raw images; dissolved matter is it necessaery	FAIL

30	ENC221-0498/2016	WANYONYI Abel Waswa	Where is gemstone data? Poor approach. A progress presentation, student to redone the gem stone sites using sentinel 1 for 2015 and 2021 before anything else	FAIL
31	ENC222-0370/2017	KIBET BYRON	The title need to link to main objective. the title to be finetuned to reflect the work done. Choice of colour scheme for the presentation of the yields maps should match what we are familiar with; separate recommen and concl; probablity need long term data for some concl you gave; acrto clors; give results in tabular data; title; improve on obj; compare actural with rs results gvie rsme mae etc	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
32	ENC222-0409/2017	KEDERA TOM ELVIS	Month used need to be investigated if it's the ideal based on maize growing . The legend of the yields values should be better represented. Are you performing on farms or all the area; seasnoality of grwoing crops; clor scheme and rep; can show tabular results;	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
33	ENC222-0146/2017	JOSHUA WAGURA NJUGUNA	Objective three to validate !! Spatial prediction !! Reword objective two to adress the prediction for only the years predicted. Objective 3 to capture the seasonal variation of the malaria. The role of hospitals in the malaria disease is missing; expalin the red spots on study maps; refocus objc 2; mitigation measures	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
34	EN281-2573/2013	Tarus kipsat Silas		FAIL
35	·	Nabiswa Simiyu	Which years? The approach is wrong start by reworking the obejectives At progress level. The student requires guidance to refine the work, including the objectives. The conclusions drawn are not drawn from the work done	FAIL
36	EN283-C009-0222/15	FUNDIA JANE	Poor area study map. Conclusion general. Classification done is inaccurate The approach taken to execute objective 2 is too simplistic. Objective 1 results to be redone to capture changes in vegetation leading to landslides. Objective 3 to be redone, the output not matching the general expectation, factors such as soil and lithology not included	MAJOR CORRECTIONS
40		Manasseh Kipkalya	Methodology basic. No analysis	FAIL
41		Eric Osoro	Methodology need to be improved and use right symbols. The database reuslt should be a schema. Better represent the maps of the study area. No demonstration of the valuation system. Valuation hasn't considered the level of development. A progress; rephrase obj;	FAIL

42			Maps need improvement. include the correlation of temperature to the algie areas, the the effect of water inlets around Tana deltas should be visible. Conclusions drawn are general and not drawn from the work; improve on methods	FAIL
43	ENC 222-0383/2016	Aurel Ephy Ochieng		FAIL
44		WECHE		FAIL
45	EN283-C009-0301/15	Vitalis .N. Abwao		FAIL