

Machine Learning (911.236)

Exercise sheet A

Exercise 1.

4 P.

Let us consider the hypothesis class \mathcal{H} of Boolean conjunctions over (at most) d Boolean literals.

Our domain set here is $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}^d$ and the label set is $\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}$. A Boolean literal $x_i, i \in [d]$ is either

- one,
- zero, or
- not included in the conjunction.

We say the *empty* conjunction *always* returns 1. For instance, with $d = 3$, we could have a conjunction of the form $x_1 \wedge \bar{x}_3$ as one possible hypothesis (reading: x_1 and not x_3 – here, x_2 does not appear at all).

Example: Take $d = 3$ and let, for the sake of argument, the *true labeling function* be $x_1 \wedge \bar{x}_3$. In that case, a training set (of size $m = 3$) could look like this:

$$S = (((1, 0, 1), 0), ((0, 0, 1), 0), ((1, 0, 0), 1))$$

Show that \mathcal{H} is PAC learnable via ERM. That is, show that for any labeling function, f , and any distribution \mathcal{D} over \mathcal{X} , if realizability holds, then with probability $1 - \delta$ over the choice of

$$m \geq \dots$$

samples (drawn i.i.d. according to \mathcal{D} and labeled by f), we have that $L_{\mathcal{D},f}(h_S) \leq \epsilon$.

Hint: Look at the hypothesis class \mathcal{H} carefully and ask yourself whether it is finite and how large is it?

Exercise 2.

4 P.

Lets consider the hypothesis class of *monotone* conjunctions over (at most) d Boolean literals. In this context, *monotone* means that we do not have negated Boolean literals. Our domain set is $\mathcal{X} = \{0, 1\}^d$, the label set is $\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}$. One example, for $d = 3$, would be $h(\mathbf{x}) = x_1 \wedge x_3$, but $x_1 \wedge \bar{x}_3$ would not be in that class. The task is the same as in **Exercise 1**: Show that this class is PAC learnable via ERM.

Exercise 3.

4 P.

Specify an ERM algorithm for the class of monotone conjunctions (remember, we assume realizability). See **Exercise 2** for the definition of a monotone conjunction.

Exercise 4.

4 P.

Let \mathcal{X} be a discrete domain set and $\mathcal{Y} = \{0, 1\}$. Further, let

$$\mathcal{H} = \{h_z : z \in \mathcal{X}\} \cup h^-$$

where

$$h_z(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = z \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

and h^- denotes the hypothesis that is constant 0, i.e., $\forall x \in \mathcal{X} : h^-(x) = 0$.

Consider the following ERM algorithm (returning the ERM hypothesis h_S): if there is a positive instance, x^+ , in the training set (meaning it is labeled as 1), S , return h_{x^+} . If no positive instance exists in S , return h^- .

Show that \mathcal{H} is PAC learnable via this ERM algorithm. That is, show that for any labeling function, f , and any distribution \mathcal{D} over \mathcal{X} , if realizability holds, then with probability $1 - \delta$ over the choice of

$$m \geq \dots$$

samples (drawn i.i.d. according to \mathcal{D} and labeled by f), we have that $L_{\mathcal{D},f}(h_S) \leq \epsilon$.

Hint: Make a case distinction. What are the cases where $L_{\mathcal{D},f}(h_S) > \epsilon$ and how can we control these events (in terms of the sample size m)?