## Machine Learning (911.236)

Exercise sheet E

Below are few relevant lemmas and definitions (repeated from the book) required to solve the exercises. Knowledge of what a convex function is, is assumed.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a twice differentiable function and let f', f'' denote its first and second derivative, respectively. Then, the following statements are equivalent:

- 1. f is convex
- 2. f' is monotonically nondecreasing
- 3. f'' is nonnegative

**Lemma 2.** Assume that  $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$  can be written as

$$f(\mathbf{w}) = g(\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + b)$$

for  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ . Then convexity of g implies convexity of f.

**Definition 1.** Let  $C \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ . A function  $\mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^k$  is  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz over C, if for every  $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in C$  we have that

$$||f(\mathbf{u}) - f(\mathbf{v})|| \le \alpha ||\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v}||.$$

Note that if  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable, then, by the mean value theorem (see here), we have

$$f(u) - f(v) = f'(w)(u - v) ,$$

where w is some point between u and v. It follows that if the derivative of f is everywhere bounded (in absolute value) by  $\alpha$ , then the function f is  $\alpha$ -Lipschitz.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $f(\mathbf{x}) = g_1(g_2(\mathbf{x}))$  with  $g_1$   $\alpha$ -Lipschitz and  $g_2$   $\beta$ -Lipschitz. Then, f is  $(\alpha\beta)$ -Lipschitz. In particular, if

$$q_2(\mathbf{x}) = \langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle + b$$

for some  $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , then f is  $(\alpha ||\mathbf{w}||)$ -Lipschitz.

Exercise 1. 3P.

Show that the loss function used in logistic regression, i.e.,

$$l(\mathbf{w}, (\mathbf{x}, y)) = \log (1 + \exp(-y\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle)), \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, y \in \{+1, -1\}$$

is *convex* (in its first argument, i.e.,  $l(\cdot, (x, y))$  using Lemmas 1 and 2.

Exercise 2. 3P.

Consider the hypothesis class

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{sig}} = \{\mathbf{x} \mapsto \phi_{\text{sig}}(\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle) : \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \|\mathbf{w}\| \leq B\} \ ,$$

where  $\phi_{\text{sig}}$  is the sigmoid function. This is the hypothesis class we use in logistic regression (with a bound on the norm of the **w** here). Also assume that  $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d : ||\mathbf{x}|| \leq B$ . Show that the loss function

$$l(\mathbf{w}, (\mathbf{x}, y)) = \log (1 + \exp(-y\langle \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{x} \rangle)), \quad \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d, y \in \{+1, -1\}$$

is B-*Lipschitz* continuous (see Def. 1). Strategy: First, show that  $f(x) = \log(1 + \exp(x))$  is 1-Lipschitz and then use Lemma 3.

Exercise 3. 2P.

Let  $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathcal{Y} \in \{+1, -1\}$ . Show that the *decision boundary* of a logistic regression classifier is, in fact, a hyperplane. Hint: Think about the case where the loss for y = -1 is the same as for y = +1.

Exercise 4. 2P.

Consider the XOR function with domain  $\mathcal{X} = \{0,1\} \times \{0,1\}$  and truth table given below:

	$x_1$	$x_2$	$XOR(x_1, x_2)$
$\mathbf{x}_1$	0	0	0
$\mathbf{x}_2$	0	1	1
$\mathbf{x}_3$	1	0	1
$\mathbf{x}_4$	1	1	0

Table 1: XOR truth table.

Lets abuse the definition of the XOR function a little and think of the output 0 as -1. First, argue why these four points are *not linearly separable*. Second, can you find a strategy to make this data linearly separable (using only  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ )? If so, provide the parameters of a separating *halfspace hypothesis*. <u>Hint</u>: Feel free to add dimensions:)