No-Free-Lunch

Theorem: let A be any learning algorithm for binory dessification (9-50,1) with respect to the O-1 loss and domain X. Then, there exists a distribution, D, over Xx (0,1) such that, if running A on mc 2 samples, we have

(2)
$$D^{m}\left(\left\{S: L_{D}\left(A(S)\right) \geq \frac{1}{8}\right\}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4}$$

The distribution defines the learning Fosti". (Reporting the theorem, ERH on $H=\{f\}$ would yield a hypothesis with LD(f)=0. Proof: let CCX with 1C/= 2m We have $T=2^{2m}$ possible functions from C to $\{0,1\}$. be toke for uch $i \in \{1, ..., 7\}$ $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}\left(\left\{\left(x,y\right)\right\}\right) = \begin{cases} 1/\left(1\right) & \text{if } y = f_{\lambda}(x) \\ 0, \text{ else} \end{cases}$

Note flat Di is a distribution over Cx (0,1). 2m > this is the lobeling for one specific choise
of fi = lobel 1 = lobel 0 Soy $f_i(x) = 1$, then (x,1) has moss $f_{i(1)}$ (x,0) has moss $f_{i(1)}$ Obviously, LD: (fi)=0 for all i E{1,..., T}.

what do we need to show? We need to show that if A receives m instances in S from $Cx \{0,1\}$ and returns $A(s): C \rightarrow \{0,1\}$, then

mox $\mathbb{E}\left[LD_{i}(A(s))\right] \geq \frac{1}{4}$ (x) $i \in \{1,...,7\}$ $S \sim D_{i}^{m}$

If this holds, there would be a Di (i.e., the Di where the maximum is attained) such that for any learning algorithm receiving m samples, we here

[Lo (H(s))] = {4 8-Dm [Lo (H(s))] = 4 5-this is exactly the one Di we found in (x)

why is (x) enough? Morkou's inepuality does not really help here, as $\mathbb{P}\left[\ldots\right] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\ldots\right]}{\ldots}$ But, Le con easily derive $\mathbb{P}\left\{2 \geq 1-\alpha\right\} \geq \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[2\right] - \left(1-\alpha\right)}{\alpha}$

$$P[2 \ge 1-a] \ge \frac{\#[2]-(1-a)}{a}$$

Now, if $a = \frac{\#}{8} \Rightarrow 1-e = \frac{1}{9}$ and $\#[27 \ge \frac{1}{4}]$
 $P[2 \ge \frac{1}{8}] \ge \frac{1}{4} - (1-\frac{\pi}{8}) = \frac{1}{4}$

if we have |C| = 2m, we have $(2m)^m$ possible trouncing sets of size m. Let $k = (2m)^m$. If we enumerate, we get

Sn,, Sk If Sj is lobeled by fi, he write Sj. In general, we have

lets toke the mod over i $\in \{1, ..., 7\}$

 $\frac{1}{1 \in \{1,\dots,7\}} \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{k}} \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{k} L_{D_{i}} \left(A(S_{j}^{i})\right)}_{j=1} \geq \frac{1}{T} \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^{k} L_{D_{i}} \left(A(S_{j}^{i})\right)}_{j=1}$ (becouse mox 2 Qig.)

$$=\frac{1}{k}\cdot\sum_{j=1}^{k}\frac{1}{T}\sum_{\lambda=n}^{T}L_{D_{\lambda}}\left(A(S_{j}^{i})\right)$$

$$\geq\min_{j\in\{A_{1},\dots,k\}}\frac{1}{T}\cdot\sum_{\lambda=n}^{T}L_{D_{\lambda}}\left(A(S_{j}^{i})\right)$$

$$j\in\{A_{1},\dots,k\}:$$

Lets fix one j ∈ {1,..., k}:

Our Silx contoins some (Xn... Xm) and we do not see (Vn..., Vp) with $p \ge m$ (becould Xn... Xm ere itied from D_i). therefor the \ge

Hence, for any h: C-> {0,1}, it holds flot

$$D_{i}(h) - \frac{1}{2m} \cdot \sum_{c \in C} \frac{1}{h(c)} + f_{i}(c) \geq \frac{1}{2m} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{h(v_{k})} + f_{i}(v_{k})$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2p} \cdot \sum_{h=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h} \left(v_h \right) \neq f_i \left(v_h \right)$$

This holds for early
$$h: C \rightarrow \{o,1\} \rightarrow if$$
 also holds for the hypothesis returned by A .

$$= 7 \cdot \frac{1}{T} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{T} \left(D_i \left(A(S_i^i) \right) \right) \geq \frac{1}{T} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{T} \frac{1}{2p} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{T} \frac{1}{A(v_k)} + f_i(v_k)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{p} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{T} \frac{1}{A(S_i^i)} \left(v_k \right) + f_i(v_k)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{p} \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{T} \frac{1}{A(S_i^i)} \left(v_k \right) + f_i(v_k)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \min_{k \in \{1, \dots, p\}} \frac{1}{T} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{T} \frac{1}{A(S_i^i)} \left(v_k \right) + f_i(v_k)$$

Lets fix refor e moment! Remember Hot T= 2^{2m} (fr.....fr). $\overline{Ex}: m=1, T=2^2=4$ for ond fre only differ on by c f3 and fq only differ on 1/4! 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1

be partition the functions into (fishe) and (fisher) and

For a poir (fi, fi') we have (Si, Si') and Si = Si' of they only differ on the Vn's).

$$\frac{1}{A}\left(S_{j}^{i}\right)(v_{h}) + f_{i} + \frac{1}{A}\left(S_{j}^{i}\right)(v_{h}) + f_{i} = 1$$
We can mow write $\frac{1}{T}\sum_{i=1}^{T}1A(S_{j}^{i})(v_{h}) + f_{i}$ as a sum over our disjoint sets. So, we get
$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{i=1}^{T}\dots = \frac{1}{T}2 = \frac{1}{2}$$
Collecting sub-results prizes
$$\frac{(\text{ollecting sub-results prizes})}{i\in\{1,\dots,T\}} \left[\frac{1}{B}\sum_{i=1}^{T}A(S_{i}^{i})(v_{h}) + f_{i} \right]$$

$$= \min_{j\in\{1,\dots,T\}} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{T}A(S_{i}^{i})(v_{h}) + f_{i}$$

$$= \min_{j\in\{1,\dots,T\}} \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{T}A(S_{i}^{i})(v_{h}) + f_{i}$$

 $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{1}$

Corollory: let X be a donoin of infinite rise and let H be the class of all possible functions from X to So, 13. Then, H is not PAC legrnable.

Side-revert:

ERIT audput

BIAS - COMPLEXITY TRADEOFF

LD (hs) = Eapprox. + Eest.

= min LD(la) + LD(las) - Eapprox l'EH

high LD(h) + LD (hs) - min LD(h')
h'EH

paontifies the approximation error

Rstimation error