Can R Notebooks help with reproducibility?

Introduction

```
\#\mathbf{DETTE}
\mathbf{E}\mathbf{R}
\mathbf{E}\mathbf{N}
TEST
\mathbf{FRA}
KEVIN.
DATO
02.
SEPT.
KL
21.25.
\# Edit:
^{**}\mathrm{Kevin}
har
laget
en
branch
{\rm ``Kevin\_tester\_branch"'}
06.
\operatorname{sept"}
##
What
reproducibility?\\
```

In

sci-

ence

it's

by

some

con-

sid-

 ered

to be

the

holy

 grail

of

statis-

tics.

Basi-

cally

it

means

do-

ing

an

ex-

peri-

 ment

or

re-

search

mul-

 ${\rm tiple}$

times

by

 dif -

fer-

ent

people

or

groups,

 $\quad \text{with} \quad$

the

same

num-

bers

and

get

the

same result.

##

Challenges

One

of

the

big

challenges

of

(sta-

tisti-

cal?/Data?)

sci-

ence

has

been

to

re-

pro-

duce

the

iden-

tical

re-

sults

c

from

an

ear-

lier re-

search.

In a

sig-

nifi-

cant

amount

of re-

search

it has

proved

hard

or

flat

out

im-

pos-

sible

to

re-

pro-

duce

the

re-

 $\quad \text{sults} \quad$

from

other

peo-

ples and

older

orde

re-

##
Computable
Documents

In

more

 mod -

 ern

 $_{
m times}$

a

new

idea

around

this

have

been

brought

up:

com-

putable

 doc -

u-

ments.

The

idea

be-

hind

this

is

when

pub-

lish-

ing a re-

search

pa-

per,

one

sub-

mits

the

data,

equa-

tions

and

cal-

 ${\rm cula}\text{-}$

 $\begin{array}{c} \text{tions} \\ \text{to-} \end{array}$

 ${\rm gether}$

 $\quad \text{with} \quad$

a

doc-

u-

ment

that's $\,$

computable.

That

way

when other

re-

#
Short
literature
review

According

to

Mc-

Nutt

(2014)

ad-

vanc-

ing

in

sci-

ence

is reliant

on

dis-

cov-

 ${\rm eries}$

that

can

be

trusted,

but

stated

that

stud-

ies

that

have

been

per-

formed,

 $\operatorname{can't}$

be

re-

pro-

duced.

In

tan-

 dum

with

this,

Peng

(2011)

has

brought

up

the

idea

that

re-

pro-

ducibil-

ity

should

be a

re-7

quirement

for

Already

in

the

early

 $1930\mathrm{'s}$

the

ac-

cess-

abil-

ity

to

the data

for a

re-

search

was

aired

by

 Frisch

 ${\rm He}$

stated

that

in

sta-

tisti-

 cal

re-

search,

the

raw

data

should

be

pub-

lished

(1933).

Later

in

the

 $1960 {\rm \dot{s}}$

it

was

deemed

nearly

im-

pos-

sible

to

re-

pro-

duce

re-

search

on

ecgn-

omy

with

big

Kva starta "computable documents" med og kortid? Ka e gjort i forkant ang. R Notebook-programmer og reproduserbarhet? Ka seie forfattar X om Y? Ka seie Forfattar Z om Y? Litt "bakgrunn" for detta med "computable documents".

-> ca. 1 sida +/-

Discussion

Why is reproducibilty important? What are the issues surrounding it? What steps have been taken to improve the situation? What does scientist say about it?

Conclusion

Help? Yes, to a degree. Solve/Fix the problem? No, at least not yet. Too many issues. Different systems, different OS, different software and packages. Theories and calculations can change over time. Packages being updated/outdated. R have "Session Info" to list systems, maybe a library of packages would help? It's seems to be a step in the right direction, but it still remains issues around reproducibility even with the help of computable documents like R Notebook.

References