INTRODUCTION OF ROBUST OPTIMIZATION

by: Gourmet001

2024 Fall

SINGLE-STAGE

Consider a single-stage optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f_0(x, \xi)$$
s.t. $f_1(x, \xi) \le 0$.

SINGLE-STAGE

Consider a single-stage optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} f_0(x, \xi)$$
s.t.
$$f_1(x, \xi) \le 0.$$

Now we consider a variant:

- \triangleright ξ is revealed after decision x;
- ▶ The only information we have is $\xi \in \Xi$;
- \blacktriangleright We want to make a decision x that is feasible on any realization of ξ ;
- We care about the worst outcome.

SINGLE-STAGE

Consider a single-stage robust optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \max_{\xi \in \Xi} f_0(x, \xi)$$
s.t.
$$\max_{\xi \in \Xi} f_1(x, \xi) \le 0.$$

- \triangleright ξ is revealed after decision x;
- ▶ The only information we have is $\xi \in \Xi$;
- \blacktriangleright We want to make a decision x that is feasible on any realization of ξ ;
- We care about the worst outcome.

Two-stage

Consider a two-stage robust optimization problem.

The first-stage decision is x, then ξ is revealed, and second-stage decision y is made.

$$\min_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{X}, y(\cdot) : \Xi \to \mathcal{Y} \\ \xi \in \Xi}} \max_{\xi \in \Xi} f_0(x, y(\xi), \xi)$$
s.t.
$$\max_{\xi \in \Xi} f_1(x, y(\xi), \xi) \le 0.$$
(1)

Two-stage

Consider a two-stage robust optimization problem.

The first-stage decision is x, then ξ is revealed, and second-stage decision y is made.

$$\min_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{X}, y(\cdot) : \Xi \to \mathcal{Y} \\ \xi \in \Xi}} \max_{\xi \in \Xi} f_0(x, y(\xi), \xi)$$
s.t.
$$\max_{\xi \in \Xi} f_1(x, y(\xi), \xi) \le 0.$$
(1)

Because of the structure min-max-min, the two-stage robust optimization problem can be equivalently expressed as

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \max_{\xi \in \Xi} \min_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \{ f_0(x, y, \xi) : f_1(x, y, \xi) \le 0 \}.$$
 (2)

TWO-STAGE

Consider a two-stage robust optimization problem.

The first-stage decision is x, then ξ is revealed, and second-stage decision y is made.

$$\min_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{X}, y(\cdot) : \Xi \to \mathcal{Y} \\ \xi \in \Xi}} \max_{\xi \in \Xi} f_0(x, y(\xi), \xi)$$
s.t.
$$\max_{\xi \in \Xi} f_1(x, y(\xi), \xi) \le 0.$$
(1)

Because of the structure min-max-min, the two-stage robust optimization problem can be equivalently expressed as

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \max_{\xi \in \Xi} \min_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \{ f_0(x, y, \xi) : f_1(x, y, \xi) \le 0 \}.$$
 (2)

Write in the epigraph formulation,

$$\min au$$

s.t.
$$\tau \geq \max_{\xi \in \Xi} \min_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \{ f_0(x, y, \xi) : f_1(x, y, \xi) \leq 0 \}$$

 $x \in \mathcal{X}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$

TWO-STAGE

Consider a two-stage robust optimization problem.

The first-stage decision is x, then ξ is revealed, and second-stage decision y is made.

$$\min_{\substack{x \in \mathcal{X}, y(\cdot) : \Xi \to \mathcal{Y} \\ \xi \in \Xi}} \max_{\xi \in \Xi} f_0(x, y(\xi), \xi)$$
s.t.
$$\max_{\xi \in \Xi} f_1(x, y(\xi), \xi) \le 0.$$
(1)

Because of the structure min-max-min, the two-stage robust optimization problem can be equivalently expressed as

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \max_{\xi \in \Xi} \min_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \{ f_0(x, y, \xi) : f_1(x, y, \xi) \le 0 \}.$$
 (2)

Write in the epigraph formulation,

$$\min au$$

s.t.
$$\forall \xi \in \Xi, \exists y \in \mathcal{Y} : f_1(x, y, \xi) \leq 0 \text{ and } \tau \geq f_0(x, y, \xi)$$

 $x \in \mathcal{X}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$

Two-stage

Notice

$$\min au$$

s.t.
$$\forall \xi \in \Xi, \exists y \in \mathcal{Y} : f_1(x, y, \xi) \leq 0 \text{ and } \tau \geq f_0(x, y, \xi)$$

 $x \in \mathcal{X}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$

can be written in a compact form

$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{X}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}} \max_{\xi \in \Xi} \min_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \{ \tau : f_1(x, y, \xi) \le 0, f_0(x, y, \xi) - \tau \le 0 \}.$$
 (3)

This is the most widely used form.