

# Hosmer-Lemeshow VS Z-score Test on Portfolio Level

Andrija Djurovic

[www.linkedin.com/in/andrija-djurovic](https://www.linkedin.com/in/andrija-djurovic)

# Probability of Default Predictive Power Tests

- Divided into two groups:
  - ① tests applicable on the rating scale level
  - ② tests applicable on the rating grade or portfolio level.
- Sometimes, practitioners compare the p-value of a test at the rating scale level with the p-value of a test at the portfolio level. However, are they comparable?
- What is the testing hypothesis for each group and test?

## Hosmer-Lemeshow Test - Rating Scale Level

$$HL = \sum_{g=1}^G \frac{(N_g PD_g - d_g)^2}{N_g PD_g (1 - PD_g)}$$

where:

- $G$  is the number of rating grades
- $N_g$  is the number of observations in the rating grade  $g$
- $PD_g$  is the calibrated PD for the rating grade  $g$
- $d_g$  is the number of observed defaults in the rating grade  $g$ .

Under the assumption that the  $HL$  test statistic follows the chi-square distribution with  $G$  degrees of freedom, a  $p$  – value is calculated accordingly.

Testing hypothesis - the calibrated PD is true.

## Z-score Test - Rating Grade or Portfolio Level

$$Z_{score} = \frac{ODR - PD}{\sqrt{\frac{PD(1-PD)}{n}}}$$

where:

- $ODR$  is the observed default rate
- $PD$  is the calibrated PD
- $n$  is the number of observations.

Under the assumption that the  $Z_{score}$  test statistic follows the standard normal distribution, a  $p$  - *value* is calculated accordingly.

The most commonly used testing hypothesis - the calibrated PD is not underestimated.

# Hosmer-Lemeshow VS Z-score on Portfolio Level

Are they comparable?

Example:

##	Rating Grade	# observations	# defaults	ODR	PD
## 1	RG1	47	3	0.0638	0.0307
## 2	RG2	95	20	0.2105	0.1161
## 3	RG3	68	17	0.2500	0.2907
## 4	RG4	53	24	0.4528	0.5514
## 5	RG5	37	28	0.7568	0.7648

p-values:

##	Hosmer-Lemeshow test	Z-score test	on portfolio level
## 1	2.70%		38.89%

## Hosmer-Lemeshow VS Z-score on Portfolio Level cont.

Can we adjust inputs to account only for the underestimation?

Example:

##	Rating Grade	# observations	# defaults	ODR	PD	PD corrected
## 1	RG1	47	3	0.0638	0.0307	0.0307
## 2	RG2	95	20	0.2105	0.1161	0.1161
## 3	RG3	68	17	0.2500	0.2907	0.2500
## 4	RG4	53	24	0.4528	0.5514	0.4528
## 5	RG5	37	28	0.7568	0.7648	0.7568

p-values:

##	Hosmer-Lemeshow test	Z-score test on portfolio level
## 1	7.53%	8.58%