

IBM Ponder This
2019-Nov
Maximal Determinant

If denote rows of the matrix M as

$$r_1 = (a_1, b_1, \dots, h_1, i_1),$$

$$r_2 = (a_2, b_2, \dots, h_2, i_2),$$

$\dots,$

$$r_9 = (a_9, b_9, \dots, h_9, i_9),$$

then consider the product $W = M \times M^\top$, where M^\top is transposed matrix.

Based on the [MSE answer](#), W has to be very close to the matrix

$$W_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{a} & b & b & b & b & b & b & b & b \\ b & \mathbf{a} & b & b & b & b & b & b & b \\ b & b & \mathbf{a} & b & b & b & b & b & b \\ b & b & b & \mathbf{a} & b & b & b & b & b \\ b & b & b & b & \mathbf{a} & b & b & b & b \\ b & b & b & b & b & \mathbf{a} & b & b & b \\ b & b & b & b & b & b & \mathbf{a} & b & b \\ b & b & b & b & b & b & b & \mathbf{a} & b \\ b & b & b & b & b & b & b & b & \mathbf{a} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^n i^2 = n(n+1)(2n+1)/6 = 285,$$

$$b = n(n+1)(3n+2)/12 = 217.5.$$

So, the strongest condition for $a_k, b_k, \dots, h_k, i_k$ is:

$$a_k^2 + b_k^2 + \dots + h_k^2 + i_k^2 = 285; \quad (1)$$

$$a_k \cdot a_m + b_k \cdot b_m + \dots + h_k \cdot h_m + i_k \cdot i_m = 217 \text{ or } 218. \quad (k \neq m) \quad (2)$$

There are 83 ordered 9-tuples (candidates, written down as strings - without delimiters) which match equation (1):

111158888, 111166889, 111246899, \dots , 123456789, \dots , 445555588, 445555669.

We can focus on those ones which have not more than 2 equal digits in the row, so the 'candidate' set could be reduced to 23 candidates:

$$\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{23}\} = \{$$

112356889, 112446799, 113566788, 114457788, 114466779,

114556689, 122337889, 122345899, 122557788, 122566779,
 123367788, 123456789, 124455699, 133445889, 133446699,
 134566778, 144556679, 223347789, 223355889, 223356699,
 223445799, 233556788, 234456779}.

First row r_1 of the solution could be chosen from candidate list - as is: one of 23. And r_2, r_3, \dots, r_9 can be built from the set constructed of those candidates, but with permuted their elements inside.

So we have to choose 9 such candidates (with permuted elements) which are linked by condition (2) *each other*.

Talking in GEOMETRY terms:
 we have $9D$ -space,
 and there is hyper-sphere with radius $\sqrt{285}$,
 and we need to find 9 'equidistant' points (with integral coordinates) on 'positive chunk' of the hyper-sphere.
 Extended version: consider the 'spherical cloud' with radii $\sqrt{284} \dots \sqrt{286}$, etc.

Talking in GRAPH terms:
 if consider candidates (and their internal element permutations) as 'vertices' of a graph,
 and consider satisfied condition (2) as 'edges',
 then we have to build 9-clique(s) from extended (by permutations) candidate set.
 (see [Clique problem](#))
 (And finally check each 9-clique for nine '1's, nine '2's, ..., nine '9's).

This problem is quite hard (slowly-solvable), but the subtask with described restrictions:

r_1 coincides with *ordered* candidate;
 - condition (1) with 285;
 - condition (2) with 217 or 218;
 - candidate set reduced to 23 ones with no more 2 equal digits on the row;
 is solvable within 4 – 6 hours.

When I extended the candidate set to entire 83 candidates,
 or tried to extend condition (1) to '284 or 285 or 286';
 or tried to extend condition (2) to '216 or 217 or 218 or 219';
 it took me much more time: more than 1 day (1 week?, 1 month?)...

As current result: the same max value for determinant: 933251220 =

0x37a04894

and 2 nonequivalent matrices:

955332288

492675318

479218635

637941825

628597143

741829563

763184952

186753492

114466779

and

122557788

916644339

951378642

658132974

674815293

473961825

485293167

387429516

329786451