MS-AMLV-2019
Paper Review Criteria

Name	Explanation	Scale, Scores	Weight
Originality	Does the submission present an original approach to an envisioned solution?		1
Technical Correctness	Is the presented material technically correct?		1
Rigor	Is this proposal presented with sufficient scientific and technical rigor?		1
Related Work	Does the submission analyse the related work sufficiently fully? Is the proposed approach / technique compared to the recent related work in the field?		1
Approach and Methodology	Is the approach to the proposed / envisioned solution presented in a methodologically sound way? Is the research methodology sufficiently outlined?	4 – excellent 3 – good 2 – fair (borderline) 1 – poor 0 – very poor	1
Problem Setting	Is the problem addressed in the submission properly set? Are the research gaps identified, research questions and hypotheses formulated?		1
Plan	Is the plan of the research pesented in sufficient detail? Is it doable, convincing?		1
Structure	Is the submission properly structured? Are all the needed ingredients in place?		1
Style, Language, and Illustrations	Is the submission well written, in terms of English? Does it offer a logically well formed story for a reader? Is it sufficiently well illustrated (figures, examples, etc.)?		1
Potential Interest / Impact	Is this submission potentially interesting to the professional community in the field? Would the proposed solution have impact on research or in industry? Would the paper be cited, if published?		1
Evaluation based on the criteria is summarized as follows below:			
Summary and Recommendations	The summary of the review is given, The highlights and lowlights of the submission are pointing out. Suggestions on how the manuscript could be improved are offered.	No score	0