- The time from fine tunning to use (with evaluation and delivery to the hub) was 2 hours, the parameters used
  - samples- 5000
  - learning rate - 0.00001
  - epochs - 10
- Evaluate results
  - eval_loss - 0.614
  - eval_accuracy - 0.9126
  - eval_f1 - 0.9149640007783615
  - eval_runtime - 167.1683
  - eval_samples_per_second - 29.91
  - eval_steps_per_second - 5.982
  - epoch - 10.0
- Straightforward phrases and phrases containing an ironic component were selected for evaluation
  - I love you - positive with confidence 0.999
  - I hate you - positive with confidence 0.719
  - A math teacher cannot solve math problems - negative with confidence 0.997
  - People who disrespect women are born from them - negative with confidence 0.993
  - The pilot has a height phobia - negative with confidence 0.997
  - A cop gets robbed - negative with confidence 0.960
- Conclusions
  - The functionality allows to quickly retrain the model
  - Model quality is good when evaluating text without subtext
  - To be able to assess subtext, the model should be fine-tuned on ironic, sarcastic, and ambiguous phrases