## Disease association and haplotypes

analysis of a single marker locus with the disease were presented. How to Previously, case-control and family-based approaches for association analyze a set of closely linked marker loci?

### Possibilities:

- 1. single marker analysis for each marker
- 2. haplotype analysis

Problem with haplotype analysis:

genotypes, but not the pair of haplotypes for an individual (ightarrow problem of Current high-throughput genotyping technologies provide single marker unknown phase). Therefore, statistical methods are required for the determination of phase.

## Haplotype estimation: unrelated individuals

multi-locus genotype of the i-th individual. Let  $j \mid k = G_i$  if the haplotypes j and k are compatible with  $G_i$ . Let  $C_{G_i} = \{(j,k): j \mid k=G_i\}$  be the set of all pairs of haplotypes being compatible with  $G_i$ . Then, assuming HWE for Consider a sample of n unrelated individuals and let  $G_i$  be the unphased haplotypes, the likelihood of the sample is given by

$$L(h_1, ..., h_s \mid G_1, ... G_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sum_{(j,k) \in C_{G_i}} h_j \cdot h_k,$$

where  $h_j$  and  $h_k$  denote the frequencies of haplotypes j and k.

For a sequence of haplotypes  $j_1,\ldots,j_m$ , let  $z_{j_1,\ldots,j_m}^r$  denote the number of occurrences of haplotype r in this sequence, i.e.,

$$z_{j_1,...,j_m}^r = \mid \{s : j_s = r\} \mid$$
.

The expectation maximization (EM) recursion is

$$h_r^{(t+1)} = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{(j,k) \in C_{G_i}} z_{j,k}^r \cdot \frac{h_j^{(t)} \cdot h_k^{(t)}}{\sum_{(j',k') \in C_{G_i}} h_{j'}^{(t)} \cdot h_{k'}^{(t)}}$$

### PC/4

# Haplotype association: unrelated individuals

Having obtained the MLEs  $\widehat{h}_r$ , the conditional probability  $w_i^{(j,k)}$  that the i-th individual possesses the (phased) multi-locus genotype (j, k) is estimated

<u>S</u>

$$(j,k) = \begin{cases} \frac{\hat{h}_j \cdot \hat{h}_k}{\sum_{j'} \cdot \hat{h}_{k'}} & \text{if } (j,k) \in C_{G_i} \\ (j',k') \in C_{G_i} & \text{if } (j,k) \notin C_{G_i} \end{cases}$$

With  $\hat{w}_i^{(j,k)}$ , a table of haplotype counts in cases and controls similar to CC/9 is constructed and the  $\chi^2$  test statistic for  $2 \times s$  tables is calculated.

# Haplotype association: unrelated individuals

Example: Assume two diallelic loci  $\{A, a\}$  and  $\{B, b\}$ , i.e., four haplotypes:

$$AB(=1), Ab(=2), aB(=3), ab(=4).$$

Further assume that an affected individual possesses genotype Aa at the

first locus and genotype Bb at the second locus. Thus, there are two

possibilities of (phased) multi-locus genotypes for this individual:

$$AB/ab = 1/4$$
 and  $Ab/aB = 2/3$ .

Now assume that on the basis of estimated haplotype frequencies,

$$\widehat{w}_i^{(1,4)}=0.4$$
 and  $\widehat{w}_i^{(2,3)}=0.6.$  Then, the contribution of this individual to

the  $2 \times 4$  table is as follows:

		Haplotype	type	
Group	1(AB)	2(Ab)	3(aB)	4(ab)
Cases	0.4	9.0	9.0	0.4
Controls				

P value assigned to the  $\chi^2$  statistic calculated for the real data is the fraction replicate of this simulation, a sample is constructed in which the case/control status of each individual is randomly permuted, under the restriction that the number of cases as well as the number of controls remains unchanged. The table, it is mandatory to apply an appropriate simulation procedure: In each To assess the significance of the  $\chi^2$  test statistic obtained from the 2 imes tof simulation replicates resulting in a greater or equal test statistic

(c.f. FBA/14).

### PC/7

## Haplotype estimation: case-parent triads

case-parent triad. An (ordered) quadruple (j, k, u, v) of haplotypes is called Consider a sample of n case-parent triads. Let  $G_i^f$  ,  $G_i^m$  , and  $G_i^c$  denote the unphased multi-locus genotype of the father, mother, and child in the i-th a haplotype explanation and is said to be compatible with the genotype  $j\mid u=G_i^c.$  Thus, the set  $C_{G_i}$  of haplotype explanations which are configuration  $G_i = (G_i^f, G_i^m, G_i^c)$  if  $j \mid k = G_i^f, u \mid v = G_i^m$ , and compatible with  $G_i$  is

$$C_{G_i} = \{(j, k, u, v) : j \mid k = G_i^f, u \mid v = G_i^m, j \mid u = G_i^c\}.$$

Then, assuming HWE for haplotypes, the likelihood of the sample is given by

$$L(h_1, ..., h_s \mid G_1, ... G_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{(j,k,u,v) \in C_{G_i}} h_j \cdot h_k \cdot h_u \cdot h_v.$$

The expectation maximization (EM) recursion is

$$h_r^{(t+1)} = \frac{1}{4n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{(j,k,u,v) \in C_{G_i}} z_{j,k,u,v}^r \cdot \frac{\sum_{j,k,u,v} z_{j,k,u,v}^r}{\sum_{(j',k',u',v') \in C_{G_i}} h_{j'}^{(t)} \cdot h_{k'}^{(t)} \cdot h_{u'}^{(t)} \cdot h_{v'}^{(t)}}$$

obtained from samples of general nuclear families (i.e., arbitrary number of With only slight modifications, MLEs of haplotype frequencies can also be children).

### Testing for association:

- Estimate haplotype frequencies and calculate weights  $w_i^{(j,k,u,v)}$  for each haplotype explanation for the i-th family (similar to PC/4).
- Use these weights to construct the table of transmitted/non-transmitted haplotypes (c.f. FBA/7).
- Calculate the  ${
  m TDT}_{
  m SE}$  statistic (c.f. FBA/8) from this table of transmitted/non-transmitted haplotypes.
- Apply the simulation procedure described on FBA/13 to obtain the P value.

real effect of a given magnitude. Thus, power calculation requires to specify The power of a study is the probability to detect as statistically significant a

- sample size
- kind and magnitude of the effect

### Example:

Assume a sample of 400 affected sib pairs is available to test a marker locus at  $\theta = 0.1$  to a diallelic disease locus  $\{D, d\}$ , the disease allele frequency is in a significant linkage result in case that in reality the marker locus is linked for its linkage with the disease. What is the probability that this study results P(D) = 0.1 and the genotype specific relative risks at the disease locus Additional specifications required for power calculations:

Power calculation

marker characteristics (number of alleles and their frequencies)

statistical test used to decide on linkage/association

type I error rate

Methods for power calculation:

Exact calculation

Approximate calculation

Simulation (by computer)

## Power calculation: Exact calculation

### Example:

- 400 affected sib pairs
- diallelic disease locus  $\{D,d\}$  with P(D)=0.1,  $RR_{DD}=RR_{Dd}=4$
- completely informative marker
- recombination fraction  $\theta = 0.1$  between marker locus and disease locus
- statistical test: NPL score (c.f. NPL/24), i.e.,  $H_0:\theta=0.5$  is rejected for

$$n_2 > n_0 + \sqrt{n/2} \cdot u_{1-\alpha}$$

• type I error rate  $\alpha = 0.0001$ 

Under the additional assumption of a single locus disease model, these

specifications imply that the distribution of IBD scores at the marker locus is

$$(z_2^M, z_1^M, z_0^M) = (0.317, 0.498, 0.185), \text{ c.f. NPL/6 and NPL/8.}$$

### PC/13

## Power calculation: Exact calculation

In this example, power can be obtained by summing up the probabilities of all samples that lead to the rejection of the null hypothesis, i.e.,

ower = 
$$\sum_{\{(n_0, n_1, n_2): n_2 > n_0 + \sqrt{n/2} \cdot u_{1-\alpha}\}} \frac{n! \cdot \prod_{i=0}^{2} \frac{(z_i^M)^{n_i}}{n_i!}}{n_i!}$$

$$= \sum_{n_0=0}^{n} \binom{n}{n_0} \cdot (z_0^M)^{n_0} \cdot (1 - z_0^M)^{n-n_0}$$

$$\cdot \sum_{n_2 > n_0 + \sqrt{n/2} \cdot u_{1-\alpha}} \binom{n-n_0}{n_2} \cdot \left(\frac{z_2^M}{1-z_0^M}\right)^{n_2} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{z_2^M}{1-z_0^M}\right)^{n-n_0-n_2}$$

Example: Power=0.52

### Example:

- 700 case-parents triads
- diallelic disease locus  $\{D,d\}$  with P(D)=0.1,  $RR_{DD}=RR_{Dd}=2$
- diallelic marker locus  $\{A,a\}$  being in perfect linkage disequilibrium with the disease locus
- test: TDT
- type I error rate  $\alpha = 10^{-7}$

Type	Parent 1	Parent 2	Child
-	AA	Aa	AA
	aa	Aa	Aa
Ø	AA	Aa	Aa
	aa	Aa	aa
က	Aa	Aa	AA
4	Aa	Aa	Aa
2	Aa	Aa	aa
9	other (uni	other (uninformative families)	families)

Number of different samples is  $\binom{n+5}{5}$ , which for n=700 is approximately

 $1.4 \cdot 10^{12}$ 

⇒ Exact calculation is not feasible

variance of this approximating normal distribution depend on the alternative approximated by the square of a normal distribution. The expectation and but can easily calculated numerically. A power approximation is then However, the distribution of the TDT under the alternative can be obtained by calculating the tail probability of this distribution.

Example: Power=0.80

### Example:

parametric linkage analysis. Traits and marker characteristics as well as the phenotype of all family members are already available. Prior to undertaking the typing of marker genotypes, it should be decided whether the collected recombination fraction between the marker and the disease are specified. A sample of pedigrees with the disease has been collected. The disease pedigrees provide sufficient information to demonstrate linkage by How to simulate the marker genotypes?

### Power calculation: Simulation

### Gene dropping:

- 1. Founder (marker and disease) genotypes are first simulated according to population frequencies.
- 2. The genes are "dropped" down the pedigree according Mendel's laws.
- 3. Disease phenotypes are simulated from the disease genotypes according to the penetrances.
- Simulations inconsistent with the observed phenotypes are rejected. 4. Simulated phenotypes are compared to the observed phenotypes.

Drawback: Very inefficient for medium to large pedigrees

Better approach: Sample genotypes from the conditional distribution of the genotypes given disease phenotypes (SLINK, SIMLINK)