Gradient Descent with Weighted Inner Product

Problem

Our goal is to solve the optimization problem

$$\min_{x} f(x)$$
,

where $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a convex and differentiable function.

Let $P \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be a symmetric positive definite matrix. This matrix induces a new (weighted) inner product defined as $\langle x, y \rangle_P = \langle Px, y \rangle$ which, in turn, induces a new gradient operator $\nabla_P f(x)$ with respect to this inner product. (Why does this make sense?)

This motivates us to consider a generalized version of gradient descent using the new gradient:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \alpha \nabla_P f(x_k), \tag{1}$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is the step size.

TODO:

- Find an explicit form of $\nabla_P f(x)$.
- Think of other ingredients/assumptions you need (such as the Lipschitzness of $\nabla_P f$) and prove the convergence of (1).
- Hint: you should understand well main ingredients of the standard proof of GD in the convex case and adjust them to your setting.

Base Statements

Lemma 2.28. If f is L-smooth and $\gamma > 0$, then for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$f(x - \gamma \nabla f(x)) - f(x) \le -\gamma \left(1 - \frac{\gamma L}{2}\right) \|\nabla f(x)\|^2.$$
 (10)

If moreover inf $f > -\infty$, then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 \le f(x) - \inf f.$$

General Proof of Convergence of Gradient Descent

Theorem Consider the Problem (Differentiable Function) and assume that f is convex and L-smooth, for some L > 0. Let $(x_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of iterates generated by the (GD) algorithm, with a stepsize satisfying $0 < \gamma \le \frac{1}{L}$. Then, for all $x^* \in \arg \min f$, for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$, we have:

$$f(x_t) - \inf f \le \frac{\|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{2\gamma t}.$$

Proof Let f be convex and L-smooth. It follows that

$$||x_{t+1} - x^*||^2 = ||x_t - x^* - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x_t)||^2$$

$$= ||x_t - x^*||^2 - 2 \cdot \frac{1}{L} \langle x_t - x^*, \nabla f(x_t) \rangle + \frac{1}{L^2} ||\nabla f(x_t)||^2$$

$$\stackrel{(1)}{\leq} ||x_t - x^*||^2 - \frac{1}{L^2} ||\nabla f(x_t)||^2. \tag{18}$$

Thus, $||x_t - x^*||^2$ is a decreasing sequence in t, and consequently

$$||x_t - x^*|| \le ||x_0 - x^*||. \tag{19}$$

Calling upon (10) and subtracting $f(x^*)$ from both sides gives

$$f(x_{t+1}) - f(x^*) \le f(x_t) - f(x^*) - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(x_t)\|^2.$$
 (20)

Applying convexity we have that

$$f(x_{t}) - f(x^{*}) \leq \langle \nabla f(x_{t}), x_{t} - x^{*} \rangle$$

$$\leq \|\nabla f(x_{t})\| \cdot \|x_{t} - x^{*}\|$$

$$\stackrel{(19)}{\leq} \|\nabla f(x_{t})\| \cdot \|x_{0} - x^{*}\|.$$
(21)

Suppose now that $x_0 \neq x^*$, otherwise the proof is finished. Isolating $\|\nabla f(x_t)\|$ in the above and inserting in (20) gives

$$f(x_{t+1}) - f(x^*) \stackrel{(20)+(21)}{\leq} f(x_t) - f(x^*) - \frac{1}{2L} \frac{1}{\|x_0 - x^*\|^2} (f(x_t) - f(x^*))^2$$
 (22)

Let $\beta = \frac{1}{2L} \frac{1}{\|x_0 - x^*\|^2}$ and $\delta_t = f(x_t) - f(x^*)$. Since $\delta_{t+1} \leq \delta_t$, and by manipulating (22) we have that

$$\delta_{t+1} \leq \delta_t - \beta \delta_t^2 \xleftarrow{\times \frac{1}{\delta_t \delta_{t+1}}} \beta \frac{\delta_t}{\delta_{t+1}} \leq \frac{1}{\delta_{t+1}} - \frac{1}{\delta_t} \xleftarrow{\delta_{t+1} \leq \delta_t} \beta \leq \frac{1}{\delta_{t+1}} - \frac{1}{\delta_t}.$$

Summing up both sides over $t=0,\dots,T-1$ and using telescopic cancellation we have that

$$T\beta \le \frac{1}{\delta_T} - \frac{1}{\delta_0} \le \frac{1}{\delta_T}.$$

Re-arranging the above we have that

$$f(x^T) - f(x^*) = \delta_T \le \frac{1}{\beta T} = \frac{2L||x^0 - x^*||^2}{T}.$$

Proof of Convergence of Gradient Descent with weighted inner product

From now on, we will use the following notions:

$$\nabla f(x) = P \nabla_P f(x),$$

$$P^{-1} \nabla f(x) = \nabla_P f(x),$$

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta \nabla_P f(x_t) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t).$$

If you see an inner product written without the subscript P, this is done deliberately and refers to the standard Euclidean inner product.

Proof. Consider the norm induced by $P: ||x||_P^2 = x^\top Px$. Then the gradient step becomes

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \eta P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t),$$

which can be written as

$$x_{t+1} - x^* = x_t - x^* - \eta P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t).$$

Taking the squared P-norm of both sides:

$$||x_{t+1} - x^*||_P^2 = ||x_t - x^* - \eta P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t)||_P^2$$

$$= ||x_t - x^*||_P^2 - 2\eta \langle P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t), x_t - x^* \rangle_P + \eta^2 ||P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t)||_P^2$$

$$= ||x_t - x^*||_P^2 - 2\eta \langle \nabla f(x_t), x_t - x^* \rangle + \eta^2 \nabla f(x_t)^\top P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t),$$

where we used the identity $\langle u, v \rangle_P = u^\top P v$ and the fact that $PP^{-1} = I$.

Now, suppose f is convex and L_P -smooth with respect to the P-norm.

Yura: What does it mean? And why is the next inequality true?

Then, from standard smoothness inequality:

$$f(x_{t+1}) \le f(x_t) + \langle \nabla f(x_t), x_{t+1} - x_t \rangle + \frac{L_P}{2} ||x_{t+1} - x_t||_P^2.$$
 (?)

Substitute $x_{t+1} - x_t = -\eta P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t)$:

$$f(x_{t+1}) \le f(x_t) - \eta \nabla f(x_t)^{\top} P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t) + \frac{L_P \eta^2}{2} \nabla f(x_t)^{\top} P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t)$$
$$= f(x_t) - \left(\eta - \frac{L_P \eta^2}{2} \right) \nabla f(x_t)^{\top} P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t).$$

Choosing $\eta = \frac{1}{L_P}$, we obtain:

$$f(x_{t+1}) \le f(x_t) - \frac{1}{2L_P} \nabla f(x_t)^{\top} P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t).$$

From convexity, we also have:

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \langle \nabla f(x_t), x_t - x^* \rangle.$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz in P-norm (It was assumed that Cauchy-Schwarz inequality hold in any weighted inner product space? Link):

Yura: Not clear

$$\langle \nabla f(x_t), x_t - x^* \rangle \le ||x_t - x^*||_P \cdot ||P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t)||_P.$$

Note that:

$$||P^{-1}\nabla f(x_t)||_P^2 = \nabla f(x_t)^\top P^{-1}\nabla f(x_t).$$

So we get:

$$f(x_t) - f(x^*) \le \|x_t - x^*\|_{P} \cdot \sqrt{\nabla f(x_t)^\top P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t)} \le \|x_0 - x^*\|_{P} \cdot \sqrt{\nabla f(x_t)^\top P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t)}.$$

Solving for $\nabla f(x_t)^{\top} P^{-1} \nabla f(x_t)$ and plugging into the earlier bound:

$$f(x_{t+1}) - f(x^*) \le f(x_t) - f(x^*) - \frac{1}{2L_P} \cdot \frac{(f(x_t) - f(x^*))^2}{\|x_0 - x^*\|_P^2}.$$

Letting $\delta_t = f(x_t) - f(x^*)$, and $\beta = \frac{1}{2L_P \|x_0 - x^*\|_P^2}$, we obtain:

$$\delta_{t+1} \leq \delta_t - \beta \delta_t^2$$
.

As in standard analysis, we get (t = 0, ..., T - 1):

$$\delta_{t+1} \leq \delta_t - \beta \delta_t^2 \xleftarrow{\times \frac{1}{\delta_t \delta_{t+1}}} \beta \frac{\delta_t}{\delta_{t+1}} \leq \frac{1}{\delta_{t+1}} - \frac{1}{\delta_t} \xleftarrow{\delta_{t+1} \leq \delta_t} \beta \leq \frac{1}{\delta_{t+1}} - \frac{1}{\delta_t}.$$
$$\beta \leq \frac{1}{\delta_{t+1}} - \frac{1}{\delta_t} \implies T\beta \leq \frac{1}{\delta_T} - \frac{1}{\delta_0} \leq \frac{1}{\delta_T},$$

which implies:

$$f(x_T) - f(x^*) = \delta_T \le \frac{1}{\beta T} = \frac{2L_P ||x^0 - x^*||_P^2}{T}.$$