MAS-1 Study Review

Nicholas Langevin 13 avril 2019

- Probability Review
- Stochastic Processes
- Life Contingencies
- Simulation
- Statistics
- Extended Linear Model
- Time Series

Lesson 1 : Probability Review

> Bernouilli Shortcut: If a random variable can only assume two values a and b with probability q and 1 - q, then is variance is $a(1-a)(b-a)^2$

Lesson 2 : Parametric Distri**butions**

- > Transformations:
 - Transformed: $\tau > 0$
 - Inverse: $\tau = -1$
 - Inverse-Transformed : τ < 0, τ ≠ 1

Lesson 4: Markov Chains

> Chapman-Kolmogorov:

$$P_{ij}^{(n+m)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_{ik}^{(n)} P_{kj}^{(m)}$$

$$p_{j} = \begin{cases} \frac{j}{N}, & r = 1\\ \frac{r^{j} - 1}{r^{N} - 1}, & r \neq 1 \end{cases}$$
où $r = \frac{q}{n}$, p: winning prob.

> **Algorithmic efficency:** with N_i = number of steps from j^{th} solution to best solution.

$$\begin{split} \mathrm{E}[N_j] &= \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \frac{1}{i} \\ \mathrm{Var}(N_j) &= \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \left(\frac{1}{i}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{i}\right) \\ \mathrm{As} \ j \to \infty, \, \mathrm{E}[N_j] \to \ln j, \, \mathrm{Var}(N_j) \to \ln j \end{split}$$

Lesson 5 : Markov Chain Classification

- > An **absorbing** state is one that cannot be exi-
- > State j is **accessible** $(i \rightarrow j)$ from state i if p_{ij}^n > 0, $\forall n \geq 0$.
- > Two states **communicate** if $i \leftrightarrow j$.
- communicate with each other.
- > A Markov chain is **irreductible** if it has only one class.
- \rightarrow A state (class) is **recurrent** if the probability of \rightarrow **Probability of extinction :** reentering the state is 1. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ii}^{(n)} = \infty$
- > A state (class) si **transcient** if it is not recur-
 - $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ii}^{(n)} < \infty$
- > A finite Markov Chain must have at least one recurrent class. If it is irreductible, then it is recurrent.

Lesson 6: Markov Chains Li- Lesson 9: Time Reversible miting Probability

- > A chain is **positive recurrent** is the expected number of transitions until the state occur is finite, null recurrent otherwise. Null recurrent mean that the long-term proportion of time in each state is 0.
- > A chain is **periodic** when states occur every n periods for n > 1.
- > A chain is aperiodic when the period is 1. In other world, $P_{ii}^{(1)} > 0$, $\forall i$
- > A chain is **ergodic** when the chain is aperiodic and positive irreductible recurrent.
- > Stationary probability:

$$\pi_j = \sum_{i=1}^n P_{ij} \pi_i \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \pi_i = 1$$

> **Limiting probabilities:** if the chain is ergodic, then

$$\mathbf{P}^{(\infty)} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi_1 & \pi_2 & \pi_3 \\ \pi_1 & \pi_2 & \pi_3 \\ \pi_1 & \pi_2 & \pi_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

Lesson 7: Time in Transient States

- > Tips: Inverting a matrix
- > $\mathbf{S} = (\mathbf{I} \mathbf{P}_{\text{transcient}})^{-1}$, where s_{ij} is the time in state j given that the current state is i.
- $\Rightarrow f_{ij} = \frac{s_{ij} \delta_{i,j}}{s_{jj}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{ij}^{(n)}$, where f_{ij} is the probability that state i ever transitions to state j.

Lesson 8: Branching Processes

- > A branching process is a special type of Markov chain representing the growth or extinction of a population.
- $> E[X_n] = E[Z]^n$, where E[Z] is the expected number of people born in a generation.
- > A **class** of states is a maximal set of state that $\operatorname{Var}(X_n) = \operatorname{Var}(Z) \cdot \operatorname{E}[Z]^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n \operatorname{E}[Z]^{k-1}$
 - > If X_0 ≠ 1 mean and variance of X_n need to be multiplicated by X_0 .

$$\pi_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} p_j \pi_0^j$$

- $\mu \le 1 \Rightarrow \pi_0 \ge 1$, if $X_0 = 1$.
- $-\mu > 1 \Rightarrow \pi_0 < 1$, if $X_0 = 1$.

For cubic equation, it guaranteed to factor $(\pi_0 - 1)$. Tips : Synthetic Division

ightarrow If ${f Q}$ is the reverse-time Markov chain for ergodic P, then

$$\pi_i Q_{ij} = \pi_j P_{ji}$$
 with $P_{ii} = Q_{ii}$ and if $p_{ij} = 0 \Leftrightarrow Q_{ji} = 0$

> If Q = P, then P is said to be **time-reversible**.

Lesson 10: Exponential Distribution

> Lack of memory:

$$\Pr(X > k + x | X > k) = \Pr(X > x)$$

> **Minimum**: if $X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_i)$, then


$$\min(X_1, X_2, ..., X_n) \sim \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i\right)$$

> The sum of 2 Exponentials randoms variables is the sum of the maximum and the minimum, since one must be the min and the other the

$$X_1 + X_2 = \min(X_1, X_2) + \max(X_1, X_2)$$

Lesson 11: Poisson Process

- > $X(t) \sim \text{Poisson}[m(t)]$, where m(t) is **mean va**lue function representing the mean of the number events before time t.
- > Poisson process can't decrease over time. $N(t) \ge N(s)$
- N(0) = 0
- > Increament are **independent**:



$$\Pr[N(t) - N(s) = n | N(s) = k] = \Pr[N(t) - N(s) = n]$$

> Non-homogeneous Poisson process:

$$m(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(u) \, \mathrm{d}u$$

where $\lambda(t)$ is the **intensity function**

Homogeneous Poisson process: The Poisson process is said to be homogeneous when the intensity function is a constant.

$$m(t) = \int_0^t \lambda \, \mathrm{d}u = \lambda t$$

We then say that the process have stationary increments.

$$\Pr[N(s)] = \Pr[N(t) - N(s)]$$

Lesson 12: Poisson Process Time To Next Events

- T_n is the time between the nth event and the (n-1)th event.
- $> S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n T_i$, is the time for the n^e event.
- > $F_{T_1}(t) = 1 e^{-\int_0^t \lambda(u) \, du}$
- > For homogeneous process:

$$T_n \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda)$$

 $S_n \sim \text{Gamma}(n, \lambda)$

Lesson 13: Poisson Process > If N(t) is a Poisson process, then S(t) is a com- > Inclusion/exclusion bounds using minimal **Counting Special Type**

> If event of type 1 occur with probability $\alpha_1(t)$, then the event follow a Poisson process with

$$m(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(u) \alpha_1(u) \, \mathrm{d}u$$

Lesson 14: Poisson Process Other Characteristics

- > Only for homogeneous Poisson processes.
- \rightarrow The probability of k event from process 1 is given by:

$$k \sim \text{Binomial}\left(k+l-1,\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}\right)$$
 Then the probability that k event from process

1 occur before l from process 2 is :

$$\sum_{i=k}^{k+l-1} \binom{k+l-1}{i} \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}\right)^i \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}\right)^{k+l-1-i}$$

 \rightarrow Given that exactly N(t) = k Poisson events occured before time t, the joint distribution of event time is the joint distribution of k independent uniform random variables on (0, t).

$$F_{S_1,...,S_n|n(t)}(s_1,...s_n|k) = \frac{k!}{t^k}$$

- \rightarrow For k independent uniform random variable on (0, t), the expected value of the jth order statistics is : $E[T^{(j)}] = \frac{jt}{(k+1)}$.
- > Tips: Statistic Order

Lesson 15: Poisson Process **Sums and Mixtures**

- > A Sums of independent Poisson random variables is a Poisson random with intensify function $\lambda(t) = \sum \lambda_i(t)$. Warning: Substraction don't give a Poisson random variable.
- > A Mixture of Poisson processes is not a Poisson processes.
 - Discrete mixture :

$$F_{X(t)}(t) = \sum_i w_i F_{X_i(t)}(t) \label{eq:fitting}$$
 where $w_i > 0$, $\sum w_i = 1$

- Continuous mixture :

$$F_{X(t)}(t) = \int F_{\{X_u(t)\}}(t) f(u) du$$

- If $N(t)|\lambda$ is a Poisson random variable and $\lambda \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha, \theta)$, then $N(t) \sim$ NegBin($r = \alpha, \beta = \theta t$).


Lesson 16: Compound Poisson Processes

> A **compound** random variable S is define by $S = \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$ where N is the **primary** distribution and X the **secondary** distribution.

- pound Poisson process with:
 - $E[S(t)] = \lambda t E[X]$
 - $Var(S(t)) = \lambda t E[X^2]$
- \rightarrow If X_i is discrete, we can separate the process into a sum of subprocess view in Lesson 13: Poisson Process Counting Special Type.
- > Sums of compound homogeneous Poisson process is also a Poisson process with:
 - $N(t) \sim \text{Pois}(\sum \lambda_i)$
 - $-F_X(x) = \sum_i w_i F_{X_i(t)}(t), \quad w_i = \frac{\lambda_i}{\sum_i \lambda_i}$

Lesson 17: Reliability Structure Functions


- $\rightarrow \phi(\mathbf{x})$ is the **structure** function for a systeme. It equal 1 if the systeme function, 0 otherwise.
- series system is define as a minimal path set. The system is working if all components are working.



The serie structure function is define as

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x$$

> A parallel system is define as a minimal cut set. The systeme is working if at least 1 components is working.



The parallel structure function is define as

$$\phi(\mathbf{x}) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i)$$

- > Tips: Minimal path set is all way for the system to work, and the minimal cut set is all the way for the system to not work.
- \rightarrow Tips: If set is $\{1,2,3\}$ and $\{1,2\}$, the minimal mean we only take {1,2}.
- > Tips: Minimal cut is a serie of parallel structure and minimal path is a parallel of serie structure.

Lesson 18: Reliability Probabilities

- $r(\mathbf{p})$ is the same polynomial as $\phi(\mathbf{x})$.
- > Inclusion/exclusion bounds using minimal path:

$$r(\mathbf{p}) \le \sum A_i$$

 $r(\mathbf{p}) \ge \sum A_i - \sum A_i \cup A_j$

$$r(\mathbf{p}) \leq \sum A_i - \sum A_i \cup A_j + \sum A_i \cup A_j \cup A_k$$
 Force of mortality: where $A_i = \sum p_i$ is the probability of the i^e minimal path set work. $\mu_{x+t} = \frac{f_{T_x}(t)}{t p_x}$

cut:

$$\begin{split} 1-r(\mathbf{p}) &\leq \sum A_i \\ 1-r(\mathbf{p}) &\geq \sum A_i - \sum A_i \cup A_j \\ 1-r(\mathbf{p}) &\leq \sum A_i - \sum A_i \cup A_j + \sum A_i \cup A_j \cup A_k \\ \text{where } A_i &= \sum (1-p_i) \text{ is the probability of the } i^c \\ \text{minimal cut set work.} \end{split}$$

> Bounds using intersections :

$$\prod \phi(\mathbf{X})^{\mathbf{min. cut}} \leq r(\mathbf{p}) \leq \prod \phi(\mathbf{X})^{\mathbf{min. path}}$$

$$1 - P_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} {n-1 \choose k-1} q^{k(n-k)} P_k$$

$$1 - P_n \le (n+1)q^{n-1}$$

$$P_1 = 1$$

Lesson 19: Reliability Time to Failure

> Expected amound of time to failure :

$$E[\mathbf{system\ life}] = \int_0^\infty r(\mathbf{\tilde{F}}(\mathbf{t})) \, dt$$
 where,

- For serie system:

$$r(\bar{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{t})) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \bar{F}_i(t)$$

$$r(\bar{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{t})) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \bar{F}_{i}(t)$$
 - For parallel system :
$$r(\bar{\mathbf{F}}(\mathbf{t})) = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^{n} F_{i}(t)$$

- Shortcut: k out of n system with exponentials(θ): $E[T] = \theta \sum_{i=k}^{n} \frac{1}{i}$
- > **Hazard rate function** (failure rate function):

$$h(t) = \frac{f(t)}{\bar{F}(t)}$$

and we say that the distribution

- is an increasing failure rate if h(t) is nondeacreasing function of t.
- is an deacreasing failure rate if h(t) is non-increasing function of t.
- > Cumulatice hazard function :

$$H(t) = \int_0^t h(u) \, \mathrm{d}u = -\ln \bar{F}(t)$$

with $\frac{H(t)}{t}$ the average of the hazard rate.

Lesson 20: Survival Models

$$\Rightarrow t p_x = \frac{\ell_{x+t}}{\ell_x}, \quad t q_x = \frac{\ell_x - \ell_{x+t}}{\ell_x}$$

- $> t|u q_x = \frac{\ell_{x+t} \ell_{x+t+u}}{\ell_x}$
- $\rightarrow t+up_x = up_x \cdot tp_{x+u}$
- $\rightarrow t|uq_x = t + uq_x tq_x = tp_x \cdot uq_{x+t}$
- > Let be N_x the number of life surviving to age x, then

$$(N_{x+t}|N_x=n) \sim \text{Bin}(n, t p_x)$$

$$\mu_{x+t} = \frac{f_{T_x}(t)}{t p_x} = -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t} \ln t p_x$$

> Linear interpolation(D.U.D):

$$\ell_{x+t} = (1-t)\ell_x + t\ell_{x+1}$$

$$tq_x = t \cdot q_x$$

$$\mu_{x+t} = \frac{q_x}{1-t \cdot q_x}$$

> **Expected life time :** Let $k_x = \lfloor T_x \rfloor$, the *full years* until death. Then e_x is the **curtate life** expectancy and \mathring{e}_x the complete life expec**tancy**. ω is the age where $\ell_{\omega} = 0$ and $\omega = \infty$ by convention is nothing is said.

$$e_{x} = E[K_{x}] = \sum_{k=1}^{\omega - x - 1} {}_{k} p_{x}$$

$$\dot{e}_{x} = E[T_{x}] = \int_{0}^{\omega - x} {}_{t} p_{x} dt \stackrel{\text{D.U.D}}{=} e_{x} + 0.5$$

Lesson 21: Contingent Payments

The contract here are define with K_x to pay at the end of death year. All same contract can be define with T_x to pay at the moment of death. Then we use integral instead of sum and use

$$\Pr(K = k) = {}_{k} p_{x} q_{x+k} \Rightarrow f_{T_{x}}(t) = {}_{t} p_{x} \mu_{x+t}$$

> Life Insurance:

- Whole Life insurance:

$$A_x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^{k+1}{}_k p_x q_{x+k}$$

- Term Life insurance:
$$A_{x:\overline{n}|}^{1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} v^{k+1}{}_{k} p_{x} q_{x+k}$$

- Deferred insurance:
$$m_{|A_{x}} = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} v^{k+1}{}_{k} p_{x} q_{x+k}$$

- Endowment insurance :

$$A_{x:\overline{n}|} = A_{x:\overline{n}|}^1 + {}_n E_x$$

- Pure Endowment:

$$_{n}E_{x}=v^{n}{}_{n}p_{x}$$

> Life Annuities:

- Whole Life annuity

$$\ddot{a}_x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} v^k_{\ k} p_x$$

- Temporary Life annuity

$$\ddot{a}_{x:\overline{n}|} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} v^k{}_k p_x$$

- Deferred annuity
$$m_{l}\ddot{a}_{x} = \sum_{k=m}^{\infty} v^{k}_{k} p_{x}$$

- Certain and life annuity $\ddot{a}_{\overline{x:\overline{n}|}} = \ddot{a}_{\overline{n}|} + {}_{m|}\ddot{a}_x$

> Illustrative Life Table :

 $- A_x = v^n q_x + p_x A_{x+1}$

$$-\ddot{a}_{r} = 1 + v p_{r} \ddot{a}_{r+1}$$

-
$$\ddot{a}_x = 1 + v p_x \ddot{a}_{x+1}$$

- $A_{x:\overline{n}|}^1 = A_x - {}_n E_x A_{x+n}$

$$- \ddot{a}_{x:\overline{n}|} = \ddot{a}_x - {}_{n}E_x \ddot{a}_{x+n}$$

$$- m|A_X = mE_X A_{X+m}$$

$$- m_{|} \ddot{a}_x = {}_m E_x \ddot{a}_{x+m}$$

$$- \ddot{a}_X = 1 + a_X$$

$$- A_x = 1 - d\ddot{a}_x$$

- > **Joint life annuity**(\ddot{a}_{xy}) make payments until the earliest death pf two lives.
- Shortcut: $\forall t \in (0,1), \forall x \in \mathbb{N}, x < x + t < x + 1: \Rightarrow$ Last survivor annuity($\ddot{a}_{\overline{xy}}$) make payments until the last death of two lives.

$$\ddot{a}_x + \ddot{a}_y = \ddot{a}_{xy} + \ddot{a}_{\overline{xy}}$$

> Premiums:

$$M \cdot A_{x} = P \ddot{a}_{x}$$

$$P = \frac{M \cdot A_{x}}{\ddot{a}_{x}} = \frac{M}{\ddot{a}_{x}} - M \cdot d$$

Lesson 22: Simulation Inverse Method

> Linear congruential generators:

$$x_k = (ax_{k-1} + c) \bmod m$$

$$x_k = b - \left\lfloor \frac{b}{m} \right\rfloor m$$

where $b = (ax_{i-k} + c)$ and $x_0 \equiv \text{seed}$

> Inverse transformation method:

 $\Pr(F^{-1}(u) \le x) = \Pr(u \le F(x)) = F(x)$ then $x = F^{-1}(u)$ where $U \sim \text{Unif}(0, 1)$

- Normal Case : $x = \mu + \sigma z$
- Log-Normal Case : $x = e^{\mu + \sigma z}$

where $z = \Phi^{-1}(u)$, with linear interpolation.

- > Tips: Discrete Cumulative Function
- > Tips: if $\uparrow U \equiv \downarrow X$ then $(1 u_i) \Rightarrow u_i$

Lesson 23: Simulation Application

$$ightarrow \Pr(X \le x) \simeq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{x^{(j)} \le x\right\}}$$

>
$$E[X^k] \simeq \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} [x^{(j)}]^k$$

 $\rightarrow \operatorname{VaR}_k(X) \simeq X^{[j_0]}$

> TVaR_k(X)
$$\simeq \frac{1}{m(1-k)} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{m} X^{(j)} \mathbb{1}_{\left\{X^{(j)} > X^{[j_0]}\right\}}$$

 $\simeq \frac{1}{m-j_0} \sum_{j=j_0+1}^{m} X^{[j]}$

where

- $-i_0 = \lfloor m \cdot k \rfloor$
- *m* is the number of simulations.
- $X^{(j)}$ is the jth simulations.
- $X^{[j]}$ is the jth simulations in order statis-

Lesson 24: Simulation Rejection Method

General method: Let f(x) be the density function of variable to simulate, and let g(x)be the base distribution, a random density function that is easy-to-simulate with nonzero > Some estimator: wherever $f(x) \neq 0$.

$$c = \max \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$$

Generate two uniform number u_1, u_2 . Let $x = G^{-1}(u_i)$. Accept x_1 only if

$$u_2 \le \frac{f(x_1)}{c \cdot g(x_1)}$$

> Simulating gamma distribution : Use

 $\text{Exp}(\alpha \cdot \theta)$ as the base distribution and $x = \alpha \cdot \theta$ that maximize c.

Simulating standard normal distribution:

Generate 3 uniform u_1 , u_2 , u_3 . Let $y_1 = -\ln u_2$ and $y_2 = -\ln u_2$. Accept y_1 if

and
$$y_2 = -\ln u_2$$
. Accept y
$$y_2 \ge \frac{(y_1 - 1)^2}{2}$$
and add (-) if $u_3 \ge 0.5$

> The **Number of iteration** is a Ross-geometric distribution with mean c. Let be β the mean of a geometric distribution given in the exam appendix:

$$E[N] = 1 + \beta = c$$

$$Var(N) = \beta(1+\beta)$$

Lesson 25: Estimator Quality

> **Bias:** This quality measures if, on average, the estimator is on the expected value of the parameter.

$$E[\hat{\theta}] = \theta + bias_{\hat{\theta}}(\theta)$$

- If bias_{$\hat{\theta}$}(θ) = 0, then $\hat{\theta}$ is **unbiased**.
- If $\lim_{n\to\infty} \text{bias}_{\hat{\theta}}(\theta) = 0$, then $\hat{\theta}$ is **asympto**tically unbiased.
- If $bias_{\hat{\theta}}(\theta) \neq 0$, then $\hat{\theta}$ is **biased**.
- > Consistency: This quality measures if the probability that the estimator is different from the parameter by more than ε goes to 0 as n goes to infinity.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Pr(|\hat{\theta} - \theta| > \varepsilon) \to 0, \ \forall \varepsilon > 0$$

In other word, as $n \to \infty$, $E[\hat{\theta}] \to \theta$, $Var(\hat{\theta}) \to 0$

> **Efficiency:** This quality measures the variance of the estimator. Lower the variance is, more efficient is the estimator.

Efficiency of
$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta})^{\text{rao}}}{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta})}$$

Relative efficiency of $\hat{\theta}_1$ to $\hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2)}{\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1)}$

See the rao-cramer lower bound

Mean Square Error: This quality measures the expected value of the square difference between the estimator and the parameter.

$$MSE_{\hat{\theta}}(\theta) = E\left[(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2\right] = \left(bias_{\hat{\theta}}(\theta)\right)^2 + Var(\hat{\theta})$$

- > An estimator is called a uniformly minimum variance unbiased estimator(UMVUE) if it's unbiased and if there is no other unbiased estimator with a smaller variance for any true value θ .
- - $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i$ is a unbiased estimator of the mean μ . $Var(\bar{x}) = \frac{1}{n} Var(x)$

- $s^2 = \sum \frac{(x_i \bar{x})^2}{n-1}$ is a unbiased estimator of the variance σ^2 .
- $\hat{\sigma}^2 = \sum \frac{(x_i \bar{x})^2}{n}$ is an asymptotically unbiased of the variance σ^2 .
- $\hat{\mu}'_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_i^k$, where $\hat{\mu}'_1 = \bar{x}$ and $\hat{\mu}_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i \bar{x})^k$, where $\hat{\mu}_1 = 0$ and $\hat{\mu}_2 = \hat{\sigma}^2$.

Lesson 26: Kernel Density Estimation

> Empirical distribution : All data is assigning a probability of $\frac{1}{n}$. This is the same method used for simulation, see Lesson 23 : Simulation Application.

$$F_{e}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{\{x_{i} \le x\}}$$

$$f_{e}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{\{x_{i} = x\}}$$

$$= F_{e}(x) - F_{e}(x_{i-1})$$

- > Kernel Density is a empirical distribution smoothed with a base fonction. Let define the scaling factor b called bandwith.
 - The kernel-density estimate of the density function is : $\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum k \left(\frac{x - x_i}{h} \right)$ $\Leftrightarrow \sum f_e(x) k\left(\frac{x-x_i}{h}\right)$
 - The kernel-density estimate of the distribution is : $\hat{F}(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} K\left(\frac{x - x_i}{b_i}\right)$
- \rightarrow Rectangular (uniform, box) kermel:

$$k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2b}, & -1 \le x \le 1\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$K(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < -1\\ 0.5(x+1), & -1 \le x \le 1\\ 1, & x > 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{F_e(x+b) - F_e(x-b^-)}{2h}$$

> Triangular kernel:

$$K(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{-bx}{b}, & -1 \le x \le 1\\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$K(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < -1\\ \frac{(1+x)^2}{2}, & -1 \le x \le 0\\ 1 - \frac{(1-x)^2}{2}, & 0 \le x \le 1\\ 1, & x > 1 \end{cases}$$

> Gaussian kernel: The distribution become normal with $\mu = x_i$ and $\sigma = b$.

$$k(x) = \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{b\sqrt{2\pi}}$$
$$K(x) = \Phi(x)$$

- $K(x) = \Phi(x)$
- \rightarrow Other kernel: $k(x) = \beta(x)$ and k(x) = B(x)
- \rightarrow **kernel moments :** Let X be the kernel density estimate and x_i the empirical estimate.

We then condition on x_i .

Var(
$$X_R$$
) = Var(x_i) + $\frac{b^2}{3}$
Var(X_T) = Var(x_i) + $\frac{b^2}{6}$
Var(X_G) = Var(x_i) + b^2

 \rightarrow Tips : For rectangular kernel, $E[x|x_i]$ is a uniform $(x_i - b, x_i + b)$.

Lesson 27: Method of **Moments**

- > Types of data:
 - Complete data: Data is complete if we are given the exact value of each obser-
 - Grouped data: Set of interval and we know how many observation are in each.
 - Censored data: Value that are in a interval, but we don't know the exact value. Like limits $(\min(X, u))$.
 - Truncated data: We have data only when it in certain range, otherwise we don't know. Like deductible (X|X > d).
- > **Method of Moments :** We match $\hat{\mu}'_{k} = E | X^{k} |$ and find the parameters. If data is Censored or Truncated, we need to match the censored or truncated moment : $\hat{\mu}'_k = \mathbb{E}\left[\min(X, u)^k\right]$ or $\hat{\mu}'_k = \mathbf{E} \left| X^k | X > d \right|.$
- > For pareto distribution, if $\hat{\mu}'_2 = \hat{\sigma}^2 + \bar{x}^2 \le 2\bar{x}^2$, the method of moment is unstable and can't be used.

Lesson 28: Percentile **Matching**

- > **Percentile Matching :** We match $F_e(\hat{\pi}_p) = p$ and find the parameters.
 - For censored data, we need select percentiles within the range of the uncensored portion of the data.
 - For truncated data, we need to match the percentiles of the conditional distribution:

bution:

$$F(x|X > d) = \frac{\Pr(d < X \le x)}{\Pr(X > d)} = \frac{F(x) - F(d)}{1 - F(d)}$$

$$S(x|X > d) = \frac{S(x)}{S(d)}$$

> Smoothed empirical percentile:

$$\hat{\pi}_{n} = (1 - h)X^{[j]} + hX^{[j+1]}$$

- $j = \lfloor (n+1)p \rfloor$
- h = (n+1)p j
- $X^{[j]}$ is the jth order statistics.

Lesson 29: Maximum Likehood Estimators

> Maximum Likehood Estimators : We maximize the probability of observing the data.

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} g(x_i; \theta)$$
$$l(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln_i g(x_i; \theta)$$

- Individual data : $g(x_i; \theta) = f(x_i)$
- Grouped data: $g(x_i;\theta) = F(x_i) F(x_{i-1})$
- Censored data : $g(x_i; \theta) = S(x_i)$
- Truncated data : $g(x_i; \theta) = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$

Lesson 30: MLE Special Techniques

- > Case MLE equals MME
 - For Exponential, $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}} = \bar{x}$
 - For Gamma with fixed α , $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}} = \hat{\theta}^{\text{MME}}$
 - For Normal, $\hat{\mu}^{\text{MLE}} = \bar{x}$ and $(\hat{\sigma}^2)^{\text{MLE}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum (x_i \hat{\mu})^2$
 - For Binomial, $mq = \bar{x}$ then given m, $\hat{q}^{\text{MLE}} = \frac{\bar{x}}{m}$
 - For Poisson, $\hat{\lambda}^{\text{MLE}} = \hat{\lambda}^{\text{MME}}$
 - For Binomial Negative, given r or β , $(r\beta)^{\text{MLE}} = \bar{x}$
- > Parametrization and Shifting:
 - Parametrization : MLE's are independent of parametrization $\lambda = \frac{1}{A} \Leftrightarrow \hat{\lambda}^{\text{MLE}} = \frac{1}{\hat{\alpha}_{\text{MLE}}}$
 - Shifting the distribution is equivalent of shifting the data.
- > Transformations : MLE's are invariant under one-to-one transformation. Then if we have a transformed variable, we can untransform the data and find the parameter of the untransform distribution.

Tips: Transformations of distribution

Weibull distribution: If the data is censored(u) or truncated(d), then

$$\left(\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}}\right)^{\mathsf{T}} = \frac{\sum (x_i - d_i)^{\mathsf{T}}}{\sum \mathbb{1}_{\{x_i \leq u\}}}$$
 if $\tau = 1$, then the distribution is Exponential.

Pareto distribution with fixed θ : $\hat{\alpha} = \frac{n}{V}$

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^{n+c} \ln(\theta + d_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+c} \ln(\theta + x_i)$$
 where $n \equiv$ number of non-censored(c) data.

> Single-parameter Pareto : $\hat{\alpha} = \frac{1}{K}$

$$K = \sum_{i=1}^{n+c} \ln \max(\theta, d_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n+c} \ln x_i$$

- \rightarrow Uniform(0, θ): We take the smalest θ possible, $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}} = \max(x_1,...,x_n)$
 - Censored(u): $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}} = \frac{nd}{\sum \mathbb{1}_{\{x_i < d\}}}$

- Grouped : We take the heighest interval(L, U). $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}} = \min(U, \hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}}_{\text{Censored(L)}})$ > Bernouilli : Let have a random variable that
- can take 2 values, n and m. Then

$$\hat{p} = \frac{n}{n+m}$$

> Tips : If $L(\theta)$ look like a density distribution, $\hat{\theta}^{\text{MLE}} \equiv \text{mode}$ of this distribution.

Lesson 31: Variance of MLE

> Fisher information matrix :

$$I(\theta) = nE\left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\ln f(x;\theta)}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\right)^{2}\right]$$
$$= -nE\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\ln f(x;\theta)}{\mathrm{d}\theta^{2}}\right]$$

using the loglikehood function

$$I(\theta) = E\left[\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}l(x_1, ..., x_n; \theta)}{\mathrm{d}\theta}\right)^2\right]$$
$$= -E\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^2l(x_1, ..., x_n; \theta)}{\mathrm{d}\theta^2}\right]$$

> Rao-Cramer lower bound is the lowest possible variance for a unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$ of θ . Then $\hat{\theta} \sim \text{Normal}(0, \text{Var}(\hat{\theta})^{\text{rao}})$

$$\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\theta})^{\operatorname{rao}} \ge \frac{1}{I(\theta)}$$

under certains regularity conditions

- The seconde derivative of the loglikehood exist.
- The support of the density function is not function of θ .

Lesson 32: Sufficient **Statistics**

- > A sufficient statistics are statistics that capture all the information about the parameter we are estimating that the sample as to offer.
- > A statistics is sufficient when the distribution of a sample given a statistics does not depend on the parameter. Y is a sufficient statistics for a parameter θ if and only if

$$L(x_1,...,x_n;\theta|Y) = h(x_1,...,x_n)$$

$$L(x_1,...,x_n;\theta) = g(y)h(x_1,...,x_n)$$


where $h(x_1,...,x_n)$ is a function that does not involve θ .

- > Rao-Blackwell Theorem : For any unbiased estimator $\hat{\theta}$ and sufficient statistic Y, the estimator $E[\hat{\theta}|Y]$ is unbiased and has variance less than or equal to $Var(\hat{\theta})$.
- > The maximum likehood estimator is a function of a sufficient statistic.

Lesson 33: Hypothesis **Testing**

 \rightarrow Let be H_0 the **null hypothesis** and H_1 the alternative hypothesis.

	Accept H ₀	Reject H ₀
H ₀ True	$1-\alpha$	α
H_1 True	β	$1-\beta$



- \rightarrow The α value is usuly name:
 - Probability of Type I error
 - Size of critical region
 - signifiance level

The β value is usuly name:

Probability of Type II error

The $(1 - \beta)$ value is usuly name:

- The power of test.
- > We will reject H_0 in favor of H_1 if a certain condition occurred $(X > \gamma)$, named the **critical region**. Then the probability of rejecting H_0 is giving by

$$\Pr(X > \gamma | H_0 \equiv \text{true}) = \alpha$$

- > Lowering the probability of type I error came at the cost of raising the probability of type II error. One way to lower both is to increase sample size.
- > The **p-value** is the probability of being greater or equal to the observation if H_0 is true. H_0 is rejected if and only if the p-value is less then the signifiance level.

$$P_{\text{value}} < \alpha$$

Lesson 34: Confidence **Interval and Sample Size**

> Let be c the **confidence coefficient**. Then we can say the we're 100c% confident that the parameter is between (a, b), called the **confi**-

dence interval.
$$\alpha = 1 - c$$

$$\theta \in \hat{\theta} \pm z_{\frac{1+c}{2}} \sqrt{\mathrm{Var}(\hat{\theta})}$$

> We can found the probability that the halfwidth of the interval is less then k.

$$\Pr(|\hat{\theta} - \theta| \le k) \ge \frac{1+c}{2}$$

$$\Phi\left(\frac{k}{\sqrt{\sigma^2/n}}\right) \ge \frac{1+c}{2}$$

> To find the sample size needed to have a certain (α) and (1 – β), we resolve

$$\Pr(\bar{x} > k | H_0) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{k - \mu_0}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 / n}}\right) = \alpha$$

$$\Pr(\bar{x} > k | H_1) = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{k - \mu_1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 / n}}\right) = 1 - \beta$$

Lesson 35: Confidence **Intervals for Means**

- > The chi-sqare is a one-parameter family distribution. In definition, it a gamma with $\alpha = \frac{n}{2}$ and $\theta = 2$. The only parameter *n* is called **de**gree of freedom.
 - Let X_i , i = i, ..., n be normal random va-

riable with mean
$$\mu$$
 and varianve σ^2 .
$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(X_i - \mu)^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{(n)}$$

- Let x_i , i = i, ..., n, $n \ge 2$ be random sample from normal distribution with variance σ^2 .

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{(n-1)}^2$$

- Tips: $\chi^2_{(2)} \sim \text{Exp}(\theta = 2)$
- > The **strudent** is a one-parameter family distribution. We define it as

$$T_{(n)} = \frac{Z}{\sqrt{W/n}}$$

where $Z \sim \text{N}(0,1)$ and $W \sim \chi^2_{(n)}$. Note that as $n \to \infty$, $T_{(n)} \to N(0,1)$

> When the variance is unknow, we need to estimate it with the unbiased estimator S^2 .

$$T_{(n-1)} = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\sqrt{S^2/n}}$$

> Testing the difference of means from two population.

$$x_1,...,x_n \sim N(\mu_x,\sigma_x^2)$$

$$y_1,...,y_m \sim N(\mu_y,\sigma_y^2)$$

$$T_{(n+m-2)} = \frac{(\bar{x}+\bar{y})-(\mu_x-\mu_y)}{S\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}+\frac{1}{m}}}$$
where $S^2 = \frac{(n-1)S_x^2+(m-1)S_y^2}{m+n-2}$.

where
$$S^2 = \frac{(n-1)S_x^2 + (m-1)S_y^2}{m+n-2}$$
.

> Testing for mean of bernouilli population. Let p_0 the probability on H_0 .

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1 - p_0)}{n}}}$$

Lesson 36: Kolmogorov-**Smirnov Tests**

> The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test is one methode for determining how well a parametric model fits its data. This test is only appropriate for continuous distribution.

$$D = \max|F_e(x) - F^*(x; \hat{\theta})|$$

where $d \le x \le u$ and $F^*(x) = \frac{F(x) - F(u)}{S(d)}$. $F^*(x_i)$ $F_e(x_i^-)$ $\overline{F_e}(x_i)$ 0.2 0.3

Lesson 37 : Chi Square Test

> The Chi Square look for equality of means between k group. Let O_i be the observation and $E_i = np_i$ the expected on each group.

$$H_0: \mu_1 = ... = \mu_k$$

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{(O_i - E_i)^2}{E_i} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(\frac{O_i^2}{E_i} \right) - n \sim \chi^2_{(k-1-\theta')}$$

Note: This test can be use to test the fit of as parametric model. θ' is the number of parameter fited with the same data as the test.

> Two-dimensional chi-square:

$$Q = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{c} \frac{(O_{ij} - E_{ij})^2}{E_{ij}} \sim \chi^2_{(k-1)(c-1)}$$

Lesson 38 : Confience **Interval for Variances**

> To find a confidence interval for the variance, we need the following statistic.

$$W = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2_{(n-1)}$$

- > Warning: W is on the denominator, so for upper one-sided interval, we take the lower percentile α and $1 - \alpha$ for lower one-sided interval
 - 1. $\left(0, \frac{(n-1)S^2}{w_{\alpha}}\right)$
 - 2. $\left(\frac{(n-1)S^2}{1-\alpha},\infty\right)$
 - 3. $\left(\frac{(n-1)S^2}{w_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}, \frac{(n-1)S^2}{w_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}\right)$
- > The **Fisher** distribution is define as $F_{(r_1,r_2)} = \frac{W_1/r_1}{W_2/r_2}$

where r_1 and r_2 are the degree of freedom.

- > If $T \sim \text{Strudent}$, then $T^2 \sim \text{Fisher}$.
- > To find a confidence interval for variance ratio, we need the following statistic.

$$F_{(n_x-1,n_y-1)} = \frac{S_x^2/\sigma_x^2}{S_y^2/\sigma_y^2}$$

Lesson 39: Uniformly Most Powerful critical Regions

> The Neyman-Pearson lemma states that for tests of one simple hypothesis against another, the best critical region for any (α) is to select all that minimize the likehood ratio. $h(x) = \frac{L(x_1,...,x_n;\theta|H_0)}{L(x_1,...,x_n;\theta|H_1)} < c$

$$h(x) = \frac{L(x_1, ..., x_n; \theta | H_0)}{L(x_1, ..., x_n; \theta | H_1)} < c$$

- If h(x) is increasing, $F(k|H_0) < \alpha$.
- If h(x) is deacreasing, $S(k|H_0) < \alpha$.
- > If the alternative hypothesis is *composite*, then we can find the uniformly most powerful critical region with the same likehood ratio. This region only exist for one-sided test.

Tests

> This test is usefull when there is no uniformly most powerful critical region.

$$h(x) = \frac{g(x_1, ..., x_n; \theta | H_0)}{g(x_1, ..., x_n; \theta | H_1)}$$

where $g(x_1,...,x_n;\theta)$ is the maximum likehood.

> For large sample, we can use the asymptotic distribution of the likehood.


$$-2[l(\theta|H_0) - l(\theta|H_1)] \sim \chi^2_{(k-l)}$$

where k is the number of parameters specifies by H_0 and l is the combinaison of numbers of parameters specifies by H_0 and H_1 .

> The last test can also be use to dicide if it worth to add parameter to a distribution fit.

Lesson 41: q-q Plots

> This plot compare quantile of two distribution. It consiste of a plot of coordinate pairs: $(\mathbf{x_i}, \mathbf{F^{-1}}(\mathbf{p_i}))$ where p_i is the empirical percentile of x_i . Then the fit is good if the point are close to a 45° line.



Lesson 42: Introduction to Extended Linear Models

There are two purposes in building a extended linear model.

- 1. **Prediction:** We want to predic the valu of the response variable given specific values of the explanatory variables.
- 2. Inference: We want to understand which explanatory variables explain the response variable and how much their explain it.

To evaluate the accuracy of a model, we estimate it mean square error.

$$MSE = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)$$

Lesson 40: Likehood Ratio Lesson 43: How a Generalized Linear Model Works

> Linear Model:

$$Y = \eta + \varepsilon = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_p x_p + \varepsilon$$

where

$$\varepsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

$$Y \sim N(\eta, \sigma^2)$$

Hypothesis:

- $(\mathbf{H_1}) \ \mathbf{E}[\varepsilon] = 0$ (Linearity)
- (**H**₂) $Var(\varepsilon) = \sigma^2$ (Homoscedasticity)
- (**H**₃) $Cov(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_i) = 0$ (Independence)
- > The **Box-Cox transformation** is a general set of transformation. When the variance of the error terms is not constant(H₂), we need to transforme Y.

$$Y^* = \begin{cases} \frac{Y^{\lambda} - 1}{\lambda} & \lambda \neq 0\\ \ln Y & \lambda = 0 \end{cases}$$

where λ is chossen to best stabilize the variance of the error terms.

- The feature must be linearly independent. That mean their can't be a function of another. Ex : $X_3 = 1 - X_2$.
- > We need to encode categorials variables with k levels into (k-1) indicators variables (called dummy variables) to avoid feature to be dependent. For interaction with 2 categorials variables, (k-1)(l-1) dummy variables are needed.
- > GLM:

$$g(E[Y]) = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i x_i$$

where $g(\cdot)$ is the link function.

> Exponential Family:

$$f(y;\theta) = \exp\{a(y)b(\theta) + c(\theta) + d(y)\}$$

with

$$E[a(y)] = -\frac{c'(\theta)}{b'(\theta)}$$
$$Var(a(y)) = \frac{b''(\theta)c'(\theta) - c''(\theta)b'(\theta)}{[b'(\theta)]^3}$$

> **Tweedie** distribution :

$$Var(Y) = aE[y]^p$$

> link function: The GLM estimate is unbiased when the canonical link is used.

Distribution	Canonical link
Normal	g(y) = y
Binomial	$g(y) = \ln \frac{y}{1 - y}$
Poisson	$g(y) = \ln y$
Gamma	$g(y) = \frac{1}{y}$

- **Offset :** We add $\ln n_i$ for cell with n_i exposure.

$$RR = \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y_i|x_j=1]}{\mathbb{E}[Y_i|x_i=0]}$$

Lesson 44: Categorial Response

Binomial Response

- > Let $\pi_i \in (0,1)$ be the response variable. We > Linear Regression: than need to have link that map η into (0,1).
 - logit: $\ln\left(\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}\right) = \eta$
 - **Probit**: $\Phi^{-1}(\pi) = \eta$
 - **Log-log:** $\ln(-\ln(1-\pi)) = \eta$
- > Odds Ratio: $o = \frac{\pi}{1-\pi}$

Nominal Response

> Suppose the response can be *J* values. Then we create a model of relative odds. $\ln \frac{\pi_j}{\pi_1} = \eta_j \Leftrightarrow \pi_j = \pi_1 e^{\eta_j}$

$$\ln \frac{\pi_j}{\pi_1} = \eta_j \Leftrightarrow \pi_j = \pi_1 e^{\eta_j}$$

- $-\pi_i = \frac{1}{1+\sum_{i=0}^{J} e^{\eta_i}}$
- $-\pi_{j} = \frac{e^{\eta_{j}}}{1 + \sum_{i=2}^{J} e^{\eta_{j}}}$
- \rightarrow If x_i is a binary feature, then the odds ratio of this variable in the category j to the base categorie is $e^{\beta_{ij}}$.

Ordinal Response

Ordinal response variables have several categories in logical order.

> Cumulative logit and proportional odds mo-

$$o_j = \ln \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{j} \pi_k}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^{j} \pi_k} = \eta_j$$

Tips: The model is cumulative, so to find π_2 , we need to find π_1 and $\pi_1 + \pi_2$.

This model is proportional so if we fix the categorie but consider two set of feature x_{i1} and x_{i2} , the relative odds are

$$\frac{(o_j|x_i=x_{i1})}{(o_i|x_i=x_{i2})} = e^{\sum \beta_i(x_{i1}-x_{i2})}$$

> Adjacent categorie logit model:

$$\ln \frac{\pi_j}{\pi_{j+1}} = \eta_j$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} \pi_j = 1$$

> Continuation ratio logit model:
$$\ln \frac{\pi_j}{\sum_{k=j+1}^J \pi_k} = \ln \frac{\pi_j}{1 - \sum_{k=1}^J \pi_k} = \eta_j$$
 Tips: Resolve for π_1 then for π_2 and so on ...

Lesson 45: Estimating Parameters

- > Let **X** be the **design matrix**, the p x n features matrix.

$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum x_i y_i - n\bar{x}\bar{y}}{\sum x_i^2 - n\bar{x}^2}$$

$$\hat{\beta}_0 = \bar{y} - \hat{\beta}_1 \hat{x}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = (\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{X}^{-1}) \mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{y}$$

> The **score** function is define as the derivative of the loglikehood

$$\mathbf{U}(\beta) = \ell'(\beta)$$

> Newton-Raphson algorithm :

$$\beta^{(k+1)} = \beta^{(k)} - \frac{\mathbf{U}(\beta^{(k)})}{\mathbf{U}'(\beta^{(k)})}$$

> **Fisher Scoring** algorithm :

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k+1)} = \boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)} - \frac{\mathbf{U}(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)})}{\mathrm{E}[\mathbf{U}'(\boldsymbol{\beta}^{(k)})]}$$

> The score vector has components
$$U_j = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{y_i - \mu_i}{\text{Var}(y_i)} x_{ij} \left(\frac{\text{dg}(\mu_i)}{\text{d}\mu_i} \right)$$

- > The information matrix : $I(\theta) = \mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X}$
- > Let W be the diagonal matrix with entries

$$w_{ii} = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}g(\mu_i)}{\mathrm{d}\mu_i}\mathrm{Var}(y_i)\right)^{-1}$$

> Let G be the diagonal matrix with entries

$$G_{ii} = \frac{g(\mu_i)}{\mu_i}$$

- > The regression variable for one iteration $\mathbf{z}^{(k-1)} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}^{(k-1)} + \mathbf{G}^{(k-1)}(\mathbf{y} \boldsymbol{\mu}^{(k-1)})$
- > The Weighted Least Square :

$$\mathbf{b}^{(k)} = (\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{W}^{(k-1)} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{W}^{(k-1)} \mathbf{z}^{(k-1)}$$

Lesson 46: Measures of Fit

- > The **satured** model is when we have as much feature as parameters(p = n). $g^{-1}(\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{y}$
- > The **deviance** statistic test compare a model to the satured model.

$$D = 2[\ell(\mathbf{b}_{max}) - \ell(\mathbf{b})] \approx n - p'$$

where p' = p + 1 and p the number of feature.

- $D = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_i \ln \frac{y_i}{\hat{y}_i} + (n_i y_i) \ln \frac{n_i y_i}{n_i \hat{y}_i} \right)$

- Normal (scaled deviance):

$$\sigma^2 D = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$$

- Poisson:

$$D = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(y_i \ln \frac{y_i}{\hat{y}_i} - (y_i - \hat{y}_i) \right)$$

- Gamma:

$$D = 2\alpha \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(-\ln \frac{y_i}{\hat{y}_i} + \frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{\hat{y}_i} \right)$$

Signifiance of Feature

> Loglikehood ratio test: These tests compare a **unconstrained** modele with p + q parameters versus another **constrained** model with p pa-

$$\begin{split} 2(\tilde{\ell}_{p+q} - \hat{\ell}_p) \sim \chi^2_{(q)} \\ \hat{D} - \tilde{D} \sim \chi^2_{(1)} \end{split}$$

> Wald test: To test wheter a single parameter

$$W = \frac{(\hat{\beta}_j - r)^2}{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_j)} \sim \chi^2_{(1)}$$

- $\sqrt{W} \sim N(0,1)$, is usefull for confidence inter-
- $I(\theta)^{-1} = (\mathbf{X}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1}$ is the covariance matrix.
- > Score text: $\mathbf{U}^{\mathsf{T}}I(\theta)^{-1}\mathbf{U} \sim \chi_{(\alpha)}^2$

If
$$q = 1$$
, $\frac{U}{\sqrt{I(\theta)}} \sim N(0, 1)$.

> We want the lowest AIC and BIC.

Lesson 47: Standard Error, R^2 , and Strudent Statistic

$$SST = SSE + SSR$$

- > Total sum of square: $SST = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i \bar{y})^2$
- > Error sum of square: $SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i \hat{y}_i)^2$ $SSE = \varepsilon^{\mathsf{T}} \varepsilon = \mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y} \mathbf{b}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}} \mathbf{y}$
- > Regression sum of square: $SSR = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\hat{y}_i \bar{y})^2$

ANOVA			
SS	df	MS	F
SSR	р	MSR = SSR/df	MSR MSE
SSE	n-p'	MSE = SSE/df	
SST	n-1	MST = SST/df	

- > The standort error of the regression is $s = \sqrt{MSE}$
- > The **coefficient of determination** is the proportion explain by the regression.

$$R^2 = \frac{SSR}{SST} = 1 - \frac{SSE}{SST}$$

- > **Strudent test :** To test $\beta_i = \beta^*$ $t_{n-p'} = \frac{\beta_i \beta^*}{S_{\beta_i}}$
 - Matrice variance-covariance : $\sigma^2(\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X})^{-1}$
- > Simple linear regression:
 - $\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\beta}_0) = \sigma^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{\bar{x}^2}{S_{nm}} \right)$
 - $Var(\hat{\beta}_1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{S}$
 - Cov $(\hat{\beta}_0, \hat{\beta}_1) = -\frac{\bar{x}\sigma^2}{S}$

Lesson 48: Fisher Statistic Lesson 51: ANOVA and VIF

- > The **Fisher** statistic test the signifiance od the entire regression, in other word if all $\beta_i = 0$. For simple linear regression $F = T^2$. Tips : Divide numerator and denominator of F by SST to find R^2 .
- \rightarrow For simple linear regression, since p = 1, then $T_{(n)} = \sqrt{F_{1,n}}$.
- > **Partial Fisher test :** To test is *q* added variables have signifiance.

 H_0 : reduced model is adequate.

 H_1 : reduced model isn't adequate (keep the full model).

$$F_{\Delta_{df},n-p'} = \frac{SSE^{(0)} - SSE^{(1)}/\Delta_{df}}{SSE^{(1)}/(n-p')}$$

> The Variance Inflation Factor test the collinearity of the features. To mesure it, we take the x_i feature and take it as the response. Let $R_{(i)}^2$ be the R^2 of this regression.

$$VIF = \frac{1}{1 - r_{(j)}^2}$$

We want the lowest VIF

> Coeficient of correlation : $r = \frac{\text{Cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X \sigma_y} = \frac{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \sum (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}$

> For two-feature model $R_{(v)}^2 = r^2$.

Lesson 49: Validation

- > The **Hat matrix** put a hat on y since $\hat{y} = Hy$.
 - $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X}(\mathbf{X}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{x}^{\mathsf{T}}$
- \rightarrow It follow that $Var(\hat{\varepsilon}) = (\mathbf{I} \mathbf{H})\sigma^2$
- > For simple linear regression:

$$h_{i\,i} = \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x_i - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}}$$

> The **studentized residuals** are define as $r_i = \frac{\hat{\epsilon}_i}{\sqrt{S^2(1-h_{ii})}}$

$$r_i = \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}_i}{\sqrt{S^2(1 - h_{ii})}}$$

where h_{ii} is the **leverage**. Average leverage should be at $\frac{p'}{n}$. $\sum h_{ii} = p'$

- > A influence point is a observatio that influence a lot y. A **outliers** is a observation that have $|r_i| > 3$.
- > Two mesure for influence point.

- DFITS_i =
$$r_i \sqrt{\frac{h_{ii}}{1 - h_{ii}}}$$

- Cook: $D_i = r_i^2 \frac{h_{ii}}{n'(1-h_{ii})}$ $D_i > 1$ is too high.

Lesson 50: Prediction

- > A **confidence interval** for predicted values. $y^* \in \hat{y}^* \pm t_{(n-2)} \sqrt{S^2 \left(\frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x^* - \bar{x})^2}{S_{xx}}\right)}$
- > A **prediction interval** for predicted values. $y^* \in \hat{y}^* \pm t_{(n-2)} \sqrt{S^2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{(x^* - \bar{x})^2}{S_{rr}}\right)}$

One-factor ANOVA

$$SST = SSE + SSTR$$

Model	Sum of square	Deviance
$Y = \mu + \varepsilon_{ij}$	SST	D_M
$Y = \mu_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$	SSE	D_A

> Within sum of square

$$SSE = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{i\Sigma})^2$$

> Between sum of square

SSTR =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i (\bar{y}_{i\Sigma} - \bar{y}_{\Sigma\Sigma})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(\frac{y_{i\Sigma^2}}{n_i}\right) - n\bar{y}_{\Sigma\Sigma}^2$$

$$SST = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij} - \bar{y}_{\Sigma\Sigma})^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (y_{ij}^2) - n\bar{y}_{\Sigma\Sigma}^2$$

> Fishier test
$$F_{(k-1,n-k)} = \frac{\text{SSTR}/(k-1)}{\text{SSE}/(n-k)} = \frac{(D_M - D_A)/(k-1)}{D_A/(n-k)}$$

where D_M is the *scale deviance* of the minimal model.

Two-factor ANOVA without replication

$$SST = SSE + SSTR + SSB$$

Model	Sum of square (DF)
$Y = \mu + \varepsilon_{ij}$	SST(bk-1)
$Y = \mu + \alpha_i + \varepsilon_{ij}$	SSTR $(k-1)$
$Y = \mu + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}$	SSB(b-1)
$Y = \mu + \alpha_i + \beta_j + \varepsilon_{ij}$	SSE(k-1)(b-1)

 \rightarrow The formula are the same but n_i is k for SSTR and b for SSB.

Two-factor ANOVA with replication

- > To test interaction : $F_{(I-1)(J-1),IJ(K-1)} = \frac{(D_I D_S)/(I-1)(J-1)}{D_S/IJ(k-1)}$

> To test factor A :
$$F_{(I-1),IJ(K-1)} = \frac{(D_B - D_I)/(I-1)}{D_s/IJ(k-1)}$$

> To test factor B:

$$F_{(J-1),IJ(K-1)} = \frac{(D_M - D_B)/(J-1)}{D_S/IJ(k-1)}$$

where D_s is the satured model, I for additive model.

> ANCOVA

Lesson 52: Measures of Fit II

For contingencies table with binomial or poisson distribution.

- > Pearson: $\chi^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(O_i E_i)^2}{E_i} \sim \chi^2_{(n-n')}$
- > Likelihood ratio chi-square : $C = 2[\ell \ell_{\min}] \sim \chi^2_{(p'-1)}$

$$C = 2[\ell - \ell_{\mathbf{min}}] \sim \chi^2_{(p'-1)}$$

> **Pseudo** R^2 : pseudo $R^2 = frac\ell_{\min} - \ell\ell_{\min}$

Residus

- \rightarrow Pearson residual: $X_k = \frac{y_i \mu_i}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(\hat{\mu}_i)}}$
- > Deviance residual : $d_k = s_k \sqrt{\text{deviance}}$ where s_k is the signe of $y_k - \hat{y}_i$
- > To standartize them, divide by $\sqrt{1-h_{ii}}$

Lesson 53: Resampling Methods

> Cross-Validation:

$$CV_{(K)} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} MSE_i$$

If k = n then is the LOOCV statistic.

> LOOCV for least-square regression:

$$CV_{(K)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_i}{1 - h_{ii}} \right)^2$$

> Bootstap:

$$\mathrm{SE}_B(\alpha) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1-B}\sum_{i=1}^B (\hat{\alpha} - \bar{\alpha})^2}$$

Lesson 54: Subset Selection

Using a lot of feature will result of lower standard error on training data, but poor prediction. We need to keep only the feature that truly impact the response.

- > **Subset selection** For k possible feature, 2^k different model are possible.
 - For 2 model with same number of feature, we take the one with lowest SSE.
 - Otherwise, we compare with: Mallow's C_p , AIC, BIC and adjusted R^2 .
- > Foward stepwise selection consist of starting with the null, then fit k models with one variable and select the best base on SSE, then fit k-1 variables and so on. We obtain k+1 model, the best for each number of predictor, and select the final one with cross-validation or the 4 statistics. For categorial variables, each categorie is added independently.
- > Total fitted model:
 - Foward: $1 + \sum_{i=0}^{\min(p,n)} (\min(p,n) i)$
 - Backward: $1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\min(p,n)} i$

Choosing the best model

- > **Cross-validation** is the more accurate.
- > Mallow's $C_p : C_p = \frac{1}{n}(SSE + 2p\hat{\sigma}^2)$ IF $\hat{\sigma}^2$ is unbiased then C_p is unbiased.
- > Adjested \mathbf{R}^2 : $R_a^2 = 1 \frac{MSE}{MST}$
- > We want the lowest Mallow's C_p , AIC, BIC and the heighest R_a^2 .

Lesson 55: Shrinkage and Dimension Reduction

> Ridge Regression : Minimize

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i - \beta_0 - \sum_{j=1}^{p'-1} \beta_j x_{ij} \end{pmatrix} + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p'-1} \beta_j^2$$
> **Lasso Regression :** Minimize

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} - \beta_{0} - \sum_{j=1}^{p'-1} \beta_{j} x_{ij}\right) + \lambda \sum_{j=1}^{p'-1} |\beta_{j}|$$

> Standart Predictors $\tilde{x} = \frac{x_{ij}}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{n}\sum(x_{ij} - \bar{x})^2}}$ $\lambda \to \infty \Leftrightarrow \beta_j \to 0$

$$\lambda \to \infty \Leftrightarrow \beta_j \to 0$$

$$\lambda \to 0 \Leftrightarrow \beta_j \to \hat{\beta}_j^{\rm normal}$$

Lesson 56 :Extension to the **Linear Model**

> **Extention**: These type can be treate as same as GLM. $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 b_1(x_i) + \beta_2 b_2(x_i) + ... + \varepsilon_i$ For **polynomial regression**, $b_i(x) = x^j$.

For piecewise constant regression $b_i(x) = \mathbb{1}_{\{a \leq x < b\}}(x)$

> Generalized Additive Model:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^p f_i(x_{ij}) + \varepsilon_i$$

- Allows nonlinear fits for each explanatory variable.
- Effet on each explanatory is separate, so easily identifiable.
- Does not allow foe effect of interaction among variable.

Lesson 57: Trend and **Seasonality**

- > **Trend** measures the amount by which the serie increase from period to period.
- > Seasonal variation measure cycle within a year.
- > Decomposition models
 - Additive Model: $x_t = m_t + s_t + z_t$
 - Multiplicative Seasonality : $x_t s_t + z_t$
 - Multiplicative Model: $x_t = m_t s_t z_t$
- > Centered moving average:

$$\hat{m}_t = \frac{0.5m_{t-k} + m_{t-k+1} + \dots + m_{t+k-1} + 0.5m_{t+k}}{2k}$$

- > Seasonal variation factor:
 - Additive Seasonality: $\hat{s}_t = x_t \hat{m}_t$ Ajusted so that $\sum (s_t + c) = 0$.
 - Multiplicative Seasonality $\hat{s}_t = \frac{x_t}{\hat{m}_t}$ Ajusted so that $\sum \frac{(\hat{s}_t + c)}{n} = 1$.

Lesson 58: correlation

- \rightarrow if $\mu(t)$ and $\sigma^2(t)$ does not vary with t then the time serie is second order stationnary
- > Variance: $\sigma^2(t) = \mathbb{E}[(x_t \mu(t))^2]$

Stationnary Time serie

- > sample variance $s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{t=1}^{n} (x_t \bar{x})^2$
- > Covariance at lag k: $Cov(x_t, x_{t+k}) = \gamma_k = E[(x_t - \mu)(x_{t+k} - \mu)](\mathbf{acvf})$
 - $c_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} (x_t \bar{x})(x_{t+k} \bar{x})$ (sample acvf)

> Auto-correration
$$\rho_k = \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(x_t, x_{t+k})}{\sigma^2} \quad \text{(acf)}$$

$$r_k = \frac{c_k}{c_0} \quad \text{(sample acf)}$$

Relationships of different time serie

- > A **leading variable** is one that impact another.
- > Cross-covariance

$$\gamma_k(x, y) = \mathbb{E}[(x_{t+k} - \mu_x)(y_t - \mu_y)]$$
 (ccvf)

$$c_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n-k} (x_{t+k} - \bar{x})(y_t - \bar{y}) \quad \text{(sample ccvf)}$$

> Cross-correration

cross-correration
$$\rho_k(x, y) = \frac{\gamma_k(x, y)}{\sigma_x \sigma_y} \quad \text{(ccf)}$$

$$r_k = \frac{c_k(x, y)}{\sqrt{c_0(x, x)c_0(y, y)}} \quad \text{(sample ccf)}$$

> Notice

$$\gamma_k(x,y) = \gamma_{-k}(x,y)$$

$$\rho_k(x,y) = \rho_{-k}(x,y)$$

$$c_0(x,x)=c_0$$

Lesson 59: White Noise and **Random Walks**

> White noise each term are independent and variance σ^2 . The correlogram has autocorrelations all close to 0 except for r_0 .

$$w \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$$

> A Random Walks is a nonstationary time series which is the accumulation of white noise. The correlogram will decrease slowly from 1 to

$$x_i = w_i$$

$$x_i = x_i + y_i$$

$$x_t = x_{t-1} + w_t$$

with

$$\mu(t) = 0$$

$$\sigma^2(t) = t\sigma_w^2$$

$$\gamma_k(t) = t\sigma_w^2$$

$$\rho_k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{k}{t}}}$$

> A Walk with drift drift the mean $\mu(t) = t\delta$ by don't affect variance and autocorrelations. $x_t = x_{t-1} + \delta + w_t$

Lesson 60: Autoregressive Models

 \rightarrow An **autoregressive** model of order (p), or AR(p) is a time series where term may be expressed in term of previous terms plus white

$$\begin{aligned} x_t - \mu = & \alpha_1 (x_{t-1} - \mu) + \alpha_2 (x_{t-2} - \mu) \\ + \dots + & \alpha_p (x_{t-p} - \mu) + w_t \end{aligned}$$

> An AR(1) process is stationary if |a| < 1. correlogram is deacreasing exponentially. For a stationary AR(1) process

$$\mu_k = 0$$

$$\gamma_k = \frac{\alpha^k \sigma_w^2}{1 - \alpha^2}$$

$$\rho = \alpha^k$$

 \rightarrow Notation : $\mathbf{B}^k x_t = x_{t-k}$

$$w_t = x_t - \alpha_1 x_{t-1} - \alpha_2 x_{t-2}$$
$$= (\alpha_2 \mathbf{B}^2 - \alpha_1 \mathbf{B} + 1) x_t$$
$$= \theta_p(\mathbf{B}) x_t$$

where $\theta_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathbf{B})$ is the **characterictic equation**.

> Testing stationarity: Root < 1

(given)
$$x_t = \alpha_1 x_{t-1} + \alpha_2 x_{t-2} + w_t$$

(solve) $\theta_p(\mathbf{B}) = 0$

(answer) If $|\mathbf{B}| > 1$, the process is stationary.

> tips: For 2 param:

$$\alpha_2 - \alpha_1 < 1$$

$$\alpha_2 + \alpha_1 < 1$$

$$|\alpha_2| < 1$$

> Forecast $\hat{x}_{n+1|n}$ is the same equation omitting

Lesson 61: Regression

> Variance of sample mean with correction is gi-

$$Var(\bar{x}) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \left(1 + 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n} \right) \rho_k \right)$$

> Harmonic Seasonal model

$$x_t = m_t + \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor S/2 \rfloor} s_i \sin(2\pi i t/s) + c_i \cos(2\pi i t/s) + z_t$$

- > Forecast correction
 - Lognormal: $e^{\sigma^2/2}$
 - Empirical: $\frac{\sum e^{z_t}}{n}$

Lesson 62: moving Average Models

> A moving average time serie (MA(q)) is alway stationary. It define as

$$x_t = \mu + w_t + \beta_1 w_{t-1} + ... + \beta_q w_{t-q}$$

= $\mu + \phi(\mathbf{B}) w_t$

with

$$\mu(t) = 0$$

$$\gamma_k = \sigma_w^2 \sum_{i=0}^{q-k} \beta_i \beta_{i+k} \quad \beta_0 = 1$$

and $\gamma_k = 0$ for k > q so MA(q) may be good fit is we observe $\gamma_q = 0$ in correlogram.

- > q beta + μ + σ_w^2 = q + 2 parameters fit.
- > A MA(q) is **Inversible** if all the root of $\phi(\mathbf{B})$ are $|\mathbf{B}| > 1$
- > Express MA(q) in form of AR(∞). If $\phi(\mathbf{B})$ is reversible :

$$\frac{1}{1+x} = 1 - x + x^2 - x^3 + x^4 + \dots$$

$$\frac{1}{1-x} = 1 + x + x^2 + x^2 + x^4 + \dots$$

- > ARIMA with p = d = 0 is a MA(q) model.
- > conditional sum of squared residuals : $\sum w_t^2$

Lesson 63: ARMA Models

> The ARMA(p,q) models:

$$x_t = \alpha_1 x_{t-1} + \dots + \alpha_p x_{t-p} + \beta_1 + w_{t-1} + \dots + \beta_q w_{t-q} + w_t$$

$$\theta_p(\mathbf{B})x_t = \phi_q(\mathbf{B})w_t$$

> The process is stationary if all roots of $\theta(x)$ > 1 and the process is inversible if all roots of $\phi(x)$ > 1

$$\gamma_0 = \sigma_w^2 \left(\frac{1 + 2\alpha\beta + \beta^2}{1 - \alpha^2} \right)$$

$$\gamma_k = \sigma_w^2(\alpha + \beta)\alpha^{k-1} \left(\frac{1 + \alpha\beta}{1 - \alpha^2}\right)$$

$$\rho_k = \alpha \rho_{k-1}$$
 for $k \ge 2$.

> If the process is stationary, $E[x_t] = E[x_{t-1}]$.

Lesson 64: ARIMA and SA-RIMA models

- $\Rightarrow \nabla x_t + x_t x_{t-1} = (1 \mathbf{B})x_t$
- > An **ARIMA** model is a nonstationary process. If x_t is an ARIMA model, then $y_t = \nabla^d x_t$ is an ARMA(p,q). Then the ARIMA(p,d,q) is

$$\theta(\mathbf{B})(1-\mathbf{B})^d x_t = \phi(\mathbf{B}) w_t$$

- With no MA(q), this is ARI(p,d)
- With no AR(p), this is IMA(d,q)
- An SARIMA model is a ARIMA with seasonal effect.
- > To forecast, we take the difference and then forecast ARMA(p,q) model.

Appendix

Inverting a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} b \\ d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}$$

Synthetic Division

Deductible and Limite

$$X = \min(X; d) + \max(0; X - d)$$

$$E[X] = E[\min(X; d)] + E[\max(0; X - d)]$$

$$= E[(X \land d)] + E[(x - d)_+]$$

$$= E[(X \land d)] + e_x(d) \cdot S_x(d)$$

Statistic Order

>
$$Y_1 = \min(X_1, ..., X_n)$$

 $f_{Y_1}(y) = n f(y) [S(y)]^{n-1}$
 $S_{Y_1}(y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \Pr(X_i > x)$

>
$$Y_n = \max(X_1, ..., X_n)$$

 $f_{Y_n}(y) = n f(y) [F(y)]^{n-1}$

$$F_{Y_n}(y) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Pr\left(X_i \le x\right)$$
 > $Y_k \in (Y_1, ..., Y_k, ..., Y_n)$

$$f_{Y_k}(y) = \frac{n! \cdot f(y)[F(y)]^{k-1}[S(y)]^{n-k}}{(k-1)!(n-k)!}$$

 $F_{Y_k}(y) = \Pr\{\text{at least k of n } X_i \text{ are } \leq y\}$

$$= \sum_{i=k}^{n} \binom{n}{i} [F(y)]^{i} [S(y)]^{n-j}$$

 $\Rightarrow x + y = \min(x, y) + \max(x, y)$, since one is for sure the max and the other the min.

Mode: Most likely probability

- \Rightarrow g(x) = f(x) or some time $g(x) = \ln f(x)$
- > **Mode** is the x that respects : g'(x) = 0


Normal Approximation

- $F_X(x) = \Phi\left(\frac{X E[X]}{\sqrt{Var(X)}}\right)$
- > Continuity correction is necessary when X is discrete. $F_X(x) = \Phi\left(\frac{(X\pm k) - E[X]}{\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)}}\right)$ where k is the mid-point of the discrete value.

Discrete Cumulative Function

$$\Pr(X = x) = \begin{cases} 0.10, & x = 0 \\ 0.30, & x = 5 \\ 0.15, & x = 10 \\ 0.25, & x = 15 \\ 0.20, & x = 20 \end{cases}$$

$$\Pr(X \le x) = \begin{cases} 0.10, & 0 \le x < 5 \\ 0.40, & 5 \le x < 10 \\ 0.55, & 10 \le x < 15 \\ 0.80, & 15 \le x < 20 \\ 1, & x \ge 20 \end{cases}$$



Contract

- > Deductible(d)
- > Maximum(u)
- > Inflation(r)
- > Coinsurance(α)


$$Y = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} 0 & x \leq \frac{d}{1+r} \\ \alpha[(1+r)x-d] & \frac{d}{1+r} < x < \frac{u}{1+r} \\ \alpha[u-d] & x \geq \frac{u}{1+r} \end{array} \right.$$

Warning: The maximal don't include the deductible.

Moments

- > k^e moment about the origin. $\mu'_k = E |X^k|$
- > k^e moment about the mean. $\mu_k = E[(X \mu)^k]$

> The **Skewness** moment give infomation about the asymmetry of the distribution. If $S_{sk} = 0$, the distribution is normal.



> The kurtosis moment give infomation about the flattening of the distribution. If $S_{ku} = 0$, the distribution is normal. $S_{ku} = \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma^2}\right)^4\right]$

$$S_{ku} = E\left[\left(\frac{X - \mu}{\sigma^2}\right)^4\right]$$

> The **coefficient of variation** give information about the dispersion of the distribution.

$$CV = \frac{\sigma}{E[X]}$$

Transformations of distribution

 \rightarrow Lognormal: $Y = e^X$, where

 $Y \sim \text{Lognormal}(\mu, \sigma)$

 $X \sim \text{Normal}(\mu, \sigma)$

> Inverse Exponential : $Y = \frac{1}{X}$, where $Y \sim \text{Inverse Exponential}(1/\theta)$

 $X \sim \text{Exponential}(\theta)$

 \rightarrow Weibull : $Y = X^{1/\tau}$, where

 $Y \sim \text{Weibull}(\sqrt[\tau]{\theta})$

 $X \sim \text{Exponential}(\theta)$

Parameter interpretation

- > Scale parameter (θ, β, σ) : Affect the spread of the distribution.
- > Rate parameter (λ): Affect the rate of data at mean. (1/scale)
- **Shape parameter** (α, τ, γ) : Affect the shape rather then simply shift the distribution.

Produit de convolution

The convolution of 2 random variable is difine as the sum of the two.

$$f_{X_1 + X_2}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X_1}(x - s) f_{X_2}(s) \, \mathrm{d}s$$
$$F_{X_1 + X_2}(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} F_{X_1}(x - s) f_{X_2}(s) \, \mathrm{d}s$$