CS 346 Project Review

Team # _203_ Grade: _5_

Project _Notes App._

Name _Gillian_ Name _Ricard Hur_

Name _Lindsay Mark_ Name _____

Requirements

student

- o (Demonstrate) product requirements are logged in GitLab. ✓
- o (Explain) Who are your users: do you have personas; did you interview anyone?
- o (Demonstrate) What extra features did you identify for these users?

— customizations — dark mode.
— tagging * — toolbar
— tabs.

Analysis & Design

- o (Explain) What risks have you identified in your requirements? Do you have strategies to mitigate those risks?

Infrastructure

- o (Demonstrate) GitLab project is setup (e.g., milestones, priorities).
- o (Demonstrate) Confirm that source is in GitLab repository (multi-project build).
- o (Demonstrate) Confirm that CI/CD is running against starting project and tests.

Any other questions or concerns?

demo unit tests.
multi-project done!

Grading (TA only)

The purpose of the project review is just to confirm that basic decisions have been made, and that they have the infrastructure setup to proceed with their first sprint.

You will have 10-15 minutes per team.

How to run the demo

1. Fill in the top of the form. If someone is missing, make a note of it -- does the team know where that person is?

2. Confirm with the team that they have addressed each item in the list above. Each item should be complete.

   - (Explain): they should be able to tell you what they are planning. Documentation is welcome but not expected (providing docs for these items could bump the grade to "exceeds expectations" – see below).

   - (Demonstrate): They should be able to show you something supporting this item. e.g. issues logged in GitLab.

3. Please check off items as complete and add comments to justify the grade.

After the demo

Do NOT grade during the demo. After the team has left, take 1-2 mins to assign a grade from 1-5. Write the grade (out of 5 marks) on the front page.

- **Very beginning** (1/5): little-to-no progress has been made; project is identified but little else has been done.

- **Beginning** (2/5): some progress has been made, but the team is far behind where they should be for this project. Most items on the list are not complete.

- **Progressing** (3/5): there are 1-3 items that are not complete (e.g. CI/CD isn't setup) but the rest show reasonable effort.

- **Meets expectations** (4/5): all items are complete; students have clear answers for most items, but may not have made decisions on everything (e.g. uncertain what design patterns might apply; uncertainty around risks). Some documentation to support their decisions (e.g. persona, meeting minutes).

- **Exceeds expectations** (5): clear decisions made, and the team has a strong sense of what they are building; all systems are up and running; documentation/diagrams in excess of what we have expected (e.g. persona + interview notes, meeting minutes, slideshow).