HW4 Oliver Li

```
1 ## Problem 1 - Recent Posts
3 <change you made>
5 CREATE INDEX post_timestamp_idx ON posts(post_timestamp);
6 SELECT
7
     post_id,
     post_timestamp
9
10 FROM
11
     posts
12
13 ORDER BY
14
     post_timestamp DESC
16 LIMIT 10
17
18 <screenshot of EXPLAIN ANALYZE>
```

```
PLAN

SELECT

"POST_ID",
"POST_TIMESTAMP"

FROM "PUBLIC"."POSTS"

/* PUBLIC.POST_TIMESTAMP_IDX */
/* scanCount: 10 */
ORDER BY 2 DESC

FETCH FIRST 10 ROWS ONLY
/* index sorted */
/*
reads: 4
*/
(1 row, 7 ms)
```

Adding an index to improve performance

```
1 ## Problem 2 - Somewhat Strange Query
 3 (change you made)
 4 ALTER TABLE posts
          ADD COLUMN author_substr VARCHAR(3) AS SUBSTR(author, 3, 3);
 7 ALTER TABLE posts
          ADD COLUMN content_upper VARCHAR(255) AS UPPER(content);
10 CREATE INDEX content_idx ON posts (content);
11 CREATE INDEX post_timestamp_idx ON posts (post_timestamp);
12 CREATE INDEX author substridx ON posts (author substr);
13 CREATE INDEX content_upper_idx ON posts (content_upper);
14 SELECT
15
          post_id,
16
          post\_timestamp
17 FROM
18
          posts
19 WHERE
          post_timestamp < '2024-02-01'
AND content_upper LIKE 'C%'</pre>
20
21
          AND author_substr = 'son';
23
24 <screenshot of EXPLAIN ANALYZE>
```

```
EXPLAIN ANALYZE
                                                                 post_id,
                                                                 post_timestamp
                                                               FROM
                                                                 posts
                                                                 post_timestamp < '2024-02-01'
                                                                 AND content_upper LIKE 'C%'
                                                                 AND author_substr = 'son';
                                                               PLAN
                                                               SELECT
PLAN
                                                                 "POST_ID",
                                                                 "POST_TIMESTAMP"
SELECT
                                                                FROM "PUBLIC". "POSTS"
  "POST_ID",
                                                                 /* PUBLIC.AUTHOR_SUBSTR_IDX: AUTHOR_SUBSTR = 'son' */
  "POST_TIMESTAMP"
                                                                 /* scanCount: 35586 */
FROM "PUBLIC". "POSTS"
                                                                WHERE ("AUTHOR_SUBSTR" = 'son')
  /* PUBLIC.POSTS.tableScan */
                                                                 AND ("POST_TIMESTAMP" < TIMESTAMP '2024-02-01 00:00:00')
  /* scanCount: 995087 */
                                                                 AND ("CONTENT_UPPER" LIKE 'C%')
WHERE ("AUTHOR_SUBSTR" = 'son')
  AND ("POST_TIMESTAMP" < TIMESTAMP '2024-02-01 00:00:00')
                                                               reads: 5666
  AND ("CONTENT_UPPER" LIKE 'C%')
                                                               */
                                                               (1 row, 62 ms)
reads: 101444
(1 row, 768 ms)
```

Creating an index on all the provided queries allows faster performance speed. Also creating subrows to provide the indices.

Problem 3 - Really Fast Single Row Responses

Problem 3.1

<What index does H2DB end up using? Explain the pros and cons of each index that you created.> The H2DB ends up using the B-Tree indexing. For both of the indices: B-Trees work fast on range queries and sorting, perform well (O(logN)) in lookup tasks/exact match queries, and more general to use. However B-Trees take up more space and memory in practice, also performs slower in insets and updates due to rebalancing in data. On the other hand Hash Indices use less space and performs fastest on lookup time. However it is neither useful for range queries or sorting queries.

```
SET TRACE_LEVEL_SYSTEM_OUT 3;
SET CACHE_SIZE 0;
SET MAX_MEMORY_ROWS 0;
SET MAX_MEMORY_UNDO 0;
EXPLAIN ANALYZE
SELECT
 post_id,
 post_timestamp
FROM
 posts
WHERE
post_timestamp = '2024-01-26 17:52:23.000000'
CREATE INDEX post_timestamp_idx ON posts (post_timestamp);
```

DROP INDEX post_timestamp_idx;

```
EXPLAIN ANALYZE
SELECT
  post_id,
  post_timestamp
FROM
 posts
WHERE
  post_timestamp = '2024-01-26 17:52:23.000000';
PLAN
SELECT
  "POST_ID",
  "POST_TIMESTAMP"
FROM "PUBLIC"."POSTS"
 /* PUBLIC.IDX_POST_TIMESTAMP: POST_TIMESTAMP = TIMESTAMP '2024-01-26 17:52:23' */
  /* scanCount: 4 */
WHERE "POST_TIMESTAMP" = TIMESTAMP '2024-01-26 17:52:23'
reads: 4
(1 row, 7 ms)
```

Problem 3.2

< Which of the indexes that you created for 3.1 would you expect to be used now. Please explain.> It is expected to use a B-Tree Index. A BETWEEN AND operation is not supported by a hash index, as hash indices do not have order.

```
SET TRACE_LEVEL_SYSTEM_OUT 3;
SET CACHE_SIZE 0;
SET MAX_MEMORY_ROWS 0;
SET MAX_MEMORY_UNDO 0;
EXPLAIN ANALYZE

SELECT
    post_id,
    post_timestamp

FROM
    posts

WHERE
    post_timestamp BETWEEN '2024-01-25' AND '2024-01-27';

CREATE HASH INDEX post_hash_timestamp_idx ON posts (post_timestamp);
CREATE INDEX post_btree_timestamp_idx ON posts (post_timestamp);

DROP INDEX post_btree_timestamp_idx ;
DROP INDEX post_hash_timestamp_idx ;
DROP INDEX post_hash_timestamp_idx ;
```

```
SELECT
 post id.
 post_timestamp
FROM
 posts
WHERE
 post_timestamp BETWEEN '2024-01-25' AND '2024-01-27';
PLAN
SELECT
  "POST_ID",
  "POST_TIMESTAMP"
FROM "PUBLIC". "POSTS"
  /* PUBLIC.POST HASH TIMESTAMP IDX: POST TIMESTAMP >= '2024-01-25'
    AND POST_TIMESTAMP <= '2024-01-27'
  /* scanCount: 1000 */
WHERE "POST_TIMESTAMP" BETWEEN '2024-01-25' AND '2024-01-27'
reads: 45
(1 row, 7 ms)
```

∨ Problem 3.3

<Can you modify one of the indexes from 3.2 to make this query even faster? Explain why your change to the index made the query even faster. > CREATE INDEX post_timestamp_btree_idx ON posts (post_timestamp, post_id, content); This is a composite index that allow he coverage of all the columns in the query, where the database can fetch data directly from the index without having to access the full table.

```
1 ## Problem 4 - Table Join Order
2 ### Problem 4.1
4 (Your modified query here)
5
6 SELECT
7
        COUNT(1)
9 FROM
10
          users
11
          JOIN followers ON users.handle = followers.follower_handle
          JOIN posts ON followers.following_handle = posts.author
12
13
14 WHERE
15
          users.last_name = 'Anderson'
          AND users.first_name = 'Abigail';
```

∨ Problem 4.2

We have 3 tables to join hence we have 3!-2=4 possible joins possible, and known that the size of each table is: users = 10000; followers = 995040; posts = 995086; Note that the performance can only be optimized when each operation eliminates most elements first, hence given possible joins:

```
1 FROM
2
         posts
3
         JOIN followers ON posts.author = followers.following_handle
         JOIN users ON followers.follower_handle = users.handle
4
5 ### Gives 205 in 103754 ms
6 ### Likely to take the longest time as the operations take the longest table first, then the second longest and the shortest.
7 ### Elimination of rows is minimized.
8
9 FROM
10
         JOIN followers ON users.handle = followers.follower_handle
11
         JOIN posts ON followers.following_handle = posts.author
12
13 ### Gives 205 in 10 ms
14 ### Likely to take the shortest time as the operations take the shortest table first, then the second shortest and the longest.
15 ### Elimination of rows is maximized as the table is short in the first place.
16
17 FROM
18
         JOIN posts ON followers.following_handle = posts.author
19
         JOIN users ON followers.follower_handle = users.handle
21 ### Gives 205 in 61401 ms
22 ### Better than the first JOIN order, as the second longest table is given at first, then the longest and the shortest
23 ### Elimination of rows is not maximized.
24
25 FROM
26
         followers
27
         JOIN users ON followers.follower_handle = users.handle
28
         JOIN posts ON followers.following_handle = posts.author
29 ### Gives 205 in 672 ms
30 ### Better than the previous JOIN order, as the second longest table is given at first, then the shortest and the longest
31 ### Elimination of rows is not maximized but slightly better than the previous operation order, as 995040->10000 is better than 99
1 ## Problem 5 - Putting it All Together - Fast Most Recent Posts
3 (your query here)
4 DROP INDEX IF EXISTS posts author idx;
5 DROP INDEX IF EXISTS followers_follower_idx;
6 DROP INDEX IF EXISTS followers_following_idx;
7 DROP INDEX IF EXISTS posts_timestamp_idx;
9 CREATE HASH INDEX followers_following_idx ON followers (following_handle);
10 CREATE HASH INDEX followers_follower_idx ON followers (follower_handle);
11 CREATE HASH INDEX posts_author_idx ON posts (author);
13 CREATE INDEX posts_timestamp_idx ON posts (post_timestamp DESC);
15 EXPLAIN ANALYZE
16 WITH latest_posts AS (
17
       SELECT
18
              pl. author,
19
              MAX(pl.post timestamp) AS latest
20
       FROM followers f
21
       JOIN posts pl
22
              ON pl.author = f.following_handle
       WHERE f. follower handle = 'madison.anderson9901'
23
24
       GROUP BY pl.author
25)
26 SELECT
27
         p2. author,
28
         p2. post id,
         p2.post_timestamp,
30
         p2. content
31 FROM latest posts sub
32 JOIN posts p2
33
         ON p2. author = sub. author
         AND p2.post timestamp = sub.latest
35 ORDER BY p2.post_timestamp DESC;
```

```
PLAN
WITH "LATEST_POSTS"("AUTHOR", "LATEST") AS (
  SELECT
    "P1"."AUTHOR",
  MAX("P1"."POST_TIMESTAMP") AS "LATEST"
FROM "PUBLIC"."FOLLOWERS" "F"
  INNER JOIN "PUBLIC". "POSTS" "P1"
    ON 1=1
  WHERE ("F"."FOLLOWER_HANDLE" = 'madison.anderson9901')
   AND ("P1"."AUTHOR" = "F"."FOLLOWING_HANDLE")
  GROUP BY "P1"."AUTHOR"
SELECT
"P2"."AUTHOR",
"P2"."POST_ID",
"P2"."POST_TIMESTAMP",
  "P2"."CONTENT"
FROM "LATEST_POSTS" "SUB"
  /* SELECT
    P1.AUTHOR.
    MAX(P1.POST_TIMESTAMP) AS LATEST
  FROM PUBLIC FOLLOWERS F
    /* PUBLIC.PRIMARY_KEY_D: FOLLOWER_HANDLE = 'madison.anderson9901' */
    /* WHERE F.FOLLOWER_HANDLE = 'madison.anderson9901'
    /* scanCount: 5880 */
  INNER JOIN PUBLIC.POSTS P1
    /* PUBLIC.CONSTRAINT_48C_INDEX_D: AUTHOR = F.FOLLOWING_HANDLE */
    ON 1=1
    /* scanCount: 577000 */
  WHERE (F.FOLLOWER_HANDLE = 'madison.anderson9901')
    AND (P1.AUTHOR = F.FOLLOWING_HANDLE)
  GROUP BY P1.AUTHOR
  /* scanCount: 5752 */
INNER JOIN "PUBLIC"."POSTS" "P2"

/* PUBLIC.POSTS_TIMESTAMP_IDX: POST_TIMESTAMP = SUB.LATEST */
  ON 1=1
```