Verified Profunctor Optics in Idris

Oliver Balfour

October 26, 2021

Abstract

Optics are a commonly used design pattern in industrial functional programming. They are convenient combinators for reading and updating fields in composite data structures. Common implementations such as Edward Kmett's Haskell lens library are highly complex. We discuss profunctor optics, a modern formulation of optics which is more flexible than the more common van Laarhoven formulation. This report discusses the implementation and formal verification of profunctor optics in Idris, a dependently typed functional programming language and theorem prover. TODO summarise results, discussion and conclusion

Contents

Introduction	2
Background	3
Idris	3
Dependent Types	3
Propositions as Types	3
Proof Techniques	4
Limitations	5
Functors	6
Profunctors	7
Optics	7
Profunctor Optics	8
Formally Verified Profunctor Optics	8
Related Work	8
Conclusion	9
Appendix: Source Code	11
Simple Optics: PrimitiveOptics.idr	11
Morphisms: Morphism.idr	12
Verified Functors and Applicatives: VFunctor.idr	13
	19
Profunctor Optics: Main.idr	22

Introduction

The view-update problem is the problem of how to neatly read and write small components of large composite data structures (Foster et al. 2005). In imperative languages, objects are generally mutated in-place, circumventing the view-update problem altogether. Pure functional programming languages however are not afforded mutable variables, making the issue pernicious in industrial programs with highly complex data structures.

Optics are a pure functional solution to the view-update problem (Foster et al. 2005). Data structures representing components in real world systems frequently have dozens of fields and nested data structures with additional complexity. In a pure functional language, updating a field in a composite data type such as Maybe (a, Bool) requires boilerplate functions for every such composite type as in the below Idris code:

```
updateComplexType : (a -> b) -> Maybe (a, Bool) -> Maybe (b, Bool)
updateComplexType f (Just (x, y)) = Just (f x, y)
updateComplexType f Nothing = Nothing
```

As data structures become more complex, writing getters and setters becomes a tedious and bug-prone task. Optics are objects which represent a view into a data type which can be composed to create views into composite types, and used to view or update fields. Using the profunctor optics library discussed in this report, the above updateComplexType function may be defined as updateComplexType = update (op . π_1) where op is an optic for optional (Maybe a) types and π_1 is a left projection optic for product types.

However, even in imperative languages there are often many benefits from using immutable objects. In JavaScript for example, there is an increasing trend towards pure functional state management for designing user interfaces, termed declarative UI (Steinberger 2021). Libraries such as Redux.js (Abramov et al. 2015) use an immutable state object with a group of actions that act on the state type whenever an event is triggered by user interactions. This presents numerous benefits such as simple control flow and undo/redo functionality. However, this requires a new state object after each event with perhaps a single field changed. The conventional approach in JavaScript is to use Immer.js (Weststrate et al. 2019), which rather than using pure optics exploits esoteric language features to emulate mutability on immutable objects. However, there is no fundamental reason why optics would not work equally well.

Profunctor optics are a very flexible and powerful encoding of optics, however they are highly complex, demonstrating a need for quality assurance.

In statically typed languages, types correspond with certain logical propositions and programs serve as proofs of those propositions (Wadler 2015). This insight is known as the Curry-Howard correspondence (Sørensen and Urzyczyn 2006) and it underpins theorem provers and formal verification. Dependent types are a feature of some type systems which allows types to depend on values. Dependent types allow programmers to encode first order logical propositions and equalities between expressions into the type system and prove many useful theorems and properties of their programs.

Idris is a dependently-typed functional programming language similar to Haskell which may be used as a theorem prover. This report discusses using Idris to both implement and formally verify a profunctor optics library. Dependent types are used to express and prove that the profunctor optics adhere to all relevant mathematical laws and desirable properties.

Background

Idris

Idris is a Haskell-like functional programming language with first-class support for dependent types. It is an actively developed experimental research language. Syntactically Idris and Haskell are almost identical, the most notable difference is that: is used to declare types and:: is the list cons constructor. Additionally, types are first class citizens so functions may accept or return types (values may depend on types), a strict generalisation of Haskell which only allows types to depend on types (type constructors).

Idris additionally has linear types based on quantitative type theory which allow types to be annotated with requirements that they must be used exactly 0 or 1 times at runtime (Brady 2021). Idris also has implicit (inferred) arguments. Unlike Haskell, Idris does not possess type inference, as type inference is undecidable in general for dependent types with non-empty typing contexts (Dowek 1993).

Idris is unique in that it is a practical and simple functional programming language to understand given prerequisite Haskell experience, and it doubles up as a theorem prover. The type system is powerful enough to encode theorems about equalities between expressions and universal and existential quantifiers. This allows programmers to express and prove complex properties and invariants of their programs alongside their code, which makes languages like Idris a good candidate language for critical infrastructure and similar systems.

Dependent Types

Dependent types are types that depend on values. For example, the Idris type Vect 3 Int is inhabited by vectors of precisely 3 integers. We say the type is indexed by the value 3.

Some other languages have equivalent types such as std::array<int, 3> in C++. However, in C++, non-type template parameters (that is, values the type depends on) must be statically evaluated because generic types are monomorphised at compile time (ISO 2020, 14.1.4). This means template arguments cannot be non-trivial expressions as in Idris.

There are two main kinds of dependent types. Π types generalise the Vect 3 Int example above. The type $\Pi x.Px$, which is expressed as $(x:a) \rightarrow P$ x in Idris for some $P:(x:a) \rightarrow T$ ype is a function type where the codomain type depends on the value of the argument x. This allows functions to dynamically compute their return types in a type-safe manner. For instance, the replicate function in the Idris standard library has the type replicate: (len: Nat) \rightarrow a \rightarrow Vect len a, using a Π type to construct a length len vector of copies of an object.

The other kind is Σ types, which in Idris are known as dependent pairs. The type $\Sigma x.Px$ corresponds with the dependent pair (x:a ** P x) which is a pair of a value and a type where the type may depend on the value. Dependent pairs are outside the scope of this report.

As types can depend on values, Idris has an equality type = indexed by two values. It has one constructor Refl: x = x (reflexivity). An instance of Refl: a = b in some cases is obtainable using type rewriting rules discussed later, in which case the expressions a and b share the same normal form and are intensionally equal.

Dependent types are useful because they allow programmers to express more sophisticated types such as length indexed vectors, which allow programmers to write total matrix multiplication functions. Additionally, logical propositions correspond with types, and dependent types are expressive enough to allow a language to be used as a theorem prover and formally verify properties of programs.

Propositions as Types

The Curry-Howard correspondence, also known as *Propositions as Types*, is the observation that propositions in a logic correspond with types in a language and proofs correspond with function definitions (Wadler 2015). This observation underpins theorem provers like Idris, Coq and Lean. The theorem statement or goal is

encoded in a type signature. The function body is a proof of the goal. If the program is well-typed, the proof is correct.

Every consistent type system encodes some set of logical propositions. Dependent types are expressive enough that they can encode an intuitionistic or constructive logic complete with implications, conjunction, disjunction, negation, quantifiers and equalities.

In Idris, the type a is interpreted as a proposition a, where a is true iff a as a type is inhabited. A proof of a is simply an object of type a. The function type $a \rightarrow b$ is interpreted as a logical implication $a \implies b$. Intuitively, if a total function of type $a \rightarrow b$ exists then the existence of an a guarantees the existence of a b. Logical negation is encoded as $a \rightarrow b$ void where Void is uninhabited.

The equality type is especially useful in conjunction with. If a = b and a constructive proof of this exists then a = b is a singleton type, and if no proof exists it is uninhabited and thus false.

A is tabulated below. Σ types are encoded using a construct called dependent pairs, which is not discussed in this report. Π types are encoded with function types where the return type depends on the argument.

Logic	Type Theory	Idris Type
\overline{T}	Ţ	()
F	\perp	Void
$a \wedge b$	$a \times b$	(a, b)
$a \vee b$	a+b	Either a b
$a \Rightarrow b$	$a \to b$	a -> b
$\forall x.Px$	$\Pi x.Px$	(x:a) -> P x
$\exists x.Px$	$\Sigma x.Px$	(x:a ** P x)
$\neg p$	$p \to \bot$	p -> Void
a = b	a = b	a = b

Table 1: Corresponding connectives and quantifiers. Note that the predicates in Idris are of the form P: (x : a) -> Type where P x = () or P x = Void.

Proof Techniques

Idris will reduce values in types to their normal form by applying function definitions. It will attempt to unify both sides of equality types as well by reducing either side until it coincides with the other. This allows proofs to skip many intermediate simplification steps. Idris will generally reduce values in types to their normal form, analogous to simplifying mathematical expressions. For instance 3 + 7 = 11 will be rewritten to 10 = 11 (which of course is uninhabited).

This allows us to write simple proofs as below, which are analogous to unit tests.

```
fact : Nat -> Nat
fact Z = 1
fact (S n) = (S n) * fact n

factTheorem : fact 5 = 120
factTheorem = Refl

factTheorem2 : (S n) * fact n = fact (S n)
factTheorem2 = Refl
```

The main proof techniques in Idris are structural induction, rewriting types and ex falso quodlibet.

Structural induction is the most common tool. This entails case splitting a theorem over each constructor and recursively invoking the theorem on smaller components of an inductively defined structure. If Idris can

determine the theorem is total as the recursive calls eventually reach the base case, the proof will type check. Recursive calls are analogous to inductive hypotheses.

For example,

```
--  \( \textit{ n : Nat. } n + 0 = n \)
natPlusZeroId : (n : Nat) -> n + 0 = n
natPlusZeroId \( \textit{ Z = Refl} \)
natPlusZeroId (\( \textit{ S n} \)) = cong \( \textit{ S (natPlusZeroId n} \)
--  \( \textit{ V xs : List a. xs ++ [] = xs} \)
listConcatRightNilId : (xs : List a) -> xs ++ [] = xs
listConcatRightNilId [] = Refl
listConcatRightNilId (x::xs) = cong (x::) (listConcatRightNilId xs)
These proofs invoke a lemma in the Idris Prelude, cong : (f:t->u) -> (a = b) -> (f a = f b),
```

Idris also provides a facility for rewriting the goal type using an equality. For example:

which is analogous to the rule $\forall f. \ a = b \Longrightarrow f(a) = f(b)$ in mathematics.

Rewriting can be convenient, however using a number of rewrites makes proofs difficult to follow. Prelude functions such as trans, sym, cong and replace can accomplish the same tasks with a more conventional proof structure.

As intuitionistic logics do not have the law of the excluded middle or double negation, proof by contradiction is not possible. Instead, ex falso quodlibet, the principle of explosion, must be used. In some cases a function has cases which are not possible but well-typed proofs must exist for those cases to satisfy the totality checker. In this case, rather than deriving a contradiction to show the state is not possible, the contradiction can be used with the function void: Void -> a to derive the proof goal.

Idris has holes like Haskell, which are placeholder expressions denoted ?hole_name. There is a :t hole_name command in the Idris REPL which prints out the typing context and goal, much like other theorem provers like Coq. This is immensely useful in developing proofs.

Limitations

Dependently typed theorem provers are intuitionistic in nature, which is strictly less powerful than classical logic. There exist theorems which can be proven with classical logic for which no constructive proof in Idris exists.

Double negation cancellation is not true in general, as there is no canonical map ((a -> Void) -> Void) -> a. Existence statements cannot be proven without finding a witness to the proof, so a proof by contradiction that $\neg \forall x. P(x)$ does not imply $\exists x. \neg P(x)$. Instead, a dependent pair containing an explicit x satisfying $\neg P(x)$ must be constructed, which may not be possible.

Additionally, there is a distinction between intensional and extensional equality of functions. In mathematics, the statements f=g and $\forall x. f(x)=g(x)$ are equivalent. In Idris however, only the forward implication is true. Function equality is intensional, meaning functions are equal iff the normal form of their lambda expressions are α -equivalent, so they are the equal up to renaming bound variables. In many cases extensionally equal functions are not intensionally equal, so the Idris equality type may not be helpful. It is possible to use the built-in believe_me: a -> b proof to introduce an extensionality axiom, however Idris cannot rewrite types if they invoke axioms as there essentially is no definition to substitute.

These limitations mean that many theorems of interest either cannot be proven or are much more difficult to prove in Idris. TODO examples

Functors

Before discussing profunctors, we discuss categories, functors, applicative functors and monads. A category is a mathematical object which consists of a collection of objects and between any two objects a collection of arrows or morphisms (Mac Lane 1970). We will only discuss locally small categories so we may assume these collections of morphisms are sets, called Hom-sets. The only properties categories must have is an associative composition operation on morphisms and an identity morphism on each object. Categories are a useful abstraction as they generalise objects and structure preserving maps between them from many different fields. There is a category of sets where objects are sets and Hom-sets contain functions, $\operatorname{Hom}(A,B)=\{f:A\to B\text{ is a function}\}$. Morphism composition is function composition, and there exists an identity function on each set. In group theory, there is a category of groups where objects are groups and morphisms are group homomorphisms. Many other examples exist, for example partially ordered sets form categories where objects are elements and exactly one morphism exists between every ordered pair.

Notably, types and total functions in Idris form a category similar to the category of sets. For convenience, these categories are assumed the same.

Functors are structure preserving maps between categories (and thus morphisms in the category of categories). They consist of two components mapping objects and morphisms from the domain category to objects and morphisms in the codomain category. Functors respect identities $F(\mathrm{id}_X) = \mathrm{id}_{F(X)}$ and composition $F(f \circ g) = F(f) \circ F(g)$ An endofunctor is a functor which maps into the same category.

In Idris, generic containers such as lists and trees are endofunctors. The type constructor List: Type -> Type is the component of the functor mapping objects, and the map: (a -> b) -> (List a -> List b) function is the component mapping morphisms. Additionally, the partially applied arrow type a-> is a functor, the covariant Hom functor (and partially applied Hom profunctor).

Monads are a subset of endofunctors equipped with two maps η : a -> m a and μ : m (m a) -> m a named pure/return and join respectively, where m is the monad. In functional programming, they can be used to encapsulate and compose side effecting functions in a type safe way (Moggi 1991). The IO type constructor is a monad representing side effects which allows side effects to be composed and enforce that functions emitting side effects have a return type containing IO. Lists form a monad where pure x = [x] and join = concat and function composition results in a list of all possible applications of functions to arguments.

Monads satisfy the following laws

- 1. \forall f,x. (return 'join' f) x = f x (left identity law, tildes denote infix function calls)
- 2. ∀ f,x. (f `join` return) x = f x (right identity law)
- 3. \forall f,g,h,x. f 'join' (g 'join' h) \$ x = (f 'join' g) 'join' h \$ x (associativity)

Monads naturally give rise to a category known as a Kleisli category (Mac Lane 1970 p.147). In this category, the objects are the same as the category of types, and the morphisms are each of the form $a \rightarrow m b$ so $Hom(a,b) = \{f : a \rightarrow m b\}$. Morphism composition utilises the join operation, so $f \circ_m g = \mu \circ f \circ g$.

Applicative functors are a subset of endofunctors introduced by McBride and Paterson 2008 as a useful abstraction in functional programming intermediate between endofunctors and monads. They are endofunctors equipped with maps $pure: a \rightarrow f a$ and $ap: f (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (f a \rightarrow f b)$ (written <*> as an infix operator) for every applicative f satisfying the following properties

- 1. \forall v. pure id <*> v = v (identity law)
- 2. \forall g,x. pure g <*> pure x = pure (g x) (homomorphism law)
- 3. \forall u,y. u <*> pure y = pure (\x => x y) <*> y (interchange law)

```
4. \forall u,v,w. ((pure (.) <*> u) <*> v) <*> w = u <*> (v <*> w) (composition law)
```

It is worth mentioning applicative functors and monads briefly

Profunctors

Profunctors are a generalisation of functors which relate to Hom-sets. A profunctor from category C to D formally is a functor $D^{op} \times C \to \mathbf{Set}$. (TODO what's a dual category, product category?)

In Idris, profunctors correspond to arrow-like types. For instance, '->' is a profunctor.

Profunctors give rise to a category in which they are the Hom profunctor (is this true in general?)

Intuitively, profunctors are type constructors that construct an function arrow like type.

Hom is a profunctor Hom functor is partially applied hom profunctor

-> is the Hom profunctor Kleisli is the Hom profunctor in the Kleisli category

There are two profunctors of interest: '->' (the standard Hom profunctor in the cat of Idris types) and '(a,b:Type)->(a->f b)' for functor/monad 'f' (the Hom profunctor in the Kleisli category). The latter allows us to accumulate side effects while using our optics

Dictionary / hash map is a profunctor (https://bartoszmilewski.com/2017/03/29/ends-and-coends/)

VProfunctor = verified not v-enriched

Optics

Optics are data accessors that ease reading and writing into composite data structures. Industrial programs tend to have very complex and deeply nested data structures, which makes tasks like copying and updating a single field in a deeply nested data structure in a functional style very cumbersome. Optics are an elegant solution to this problem. They are objects which represent a view into a field of a data structure which can be composed for nested structures and used to view and update the field they model.

There are many encodings of optics. This report discusses simple algebraic data type optics, van Laarhoven optics (Laarhoven 2011) and profunctor optics (Pickering, Gibbons, and Wu 2017). Most established implementations such as the lens library in Haskell (Kmett 2012) use the van Laarhoven design, however these have many limitations. One of the principal benefits of optics is that they compose elegantly, however the van Laarhoven encoding makes a distinction between lenses (optics for product types) and prisms (optics for sum types) and does not allow composition of lenses and optics, so you cannot express an optic for the integer in a Maybe (Integer, String) type (Pickering, Gibbons, and Wu 2017).

However, profunctor optics generalise optics to work around these issues. As with many other functional programming design patterns, they are inspired by category theory, specifically the notion of a profunctor. Profunctor optics are generic over the typeclass of profunctors, so they allow choice of profunctor in which to use an optic. This allows programmers to not just use optics to view and update, but to also accumulate side effects and recover constructors for sum types in the process.

A major concern is that optics are very complicated. Formal verification of profunctor optics is thus a natural application of dependent types.

The simplest encoding of optics is to create typeclasses (interfaces in Idris) for lenses, prisms, traversals and adapters. Lenses are optics for product types that allow viewing and updating fields. Prisms are optics for sum types that allow pattern matching if a field is present and constructing a sum type from one of the components. Adapters and traversals are optics for isomorphic types and container types respectively and are not discussed in this report.

In the below encoding, PrimitiveLens a b (a,c) (b,c) means a view into the a in (a,c). The other two type variables add a degree of freedom when updating tuples to change the type of the left element of the tuple.

```
record PrimitiveLens a b s t where
  constructor MkPrimLens
  view : s -> a
  update : (b, s) -> t

record PrimitivePrism a b s t where
  constructor MkPrimLens
  match : s -> Either t a
  build : b -> t

-- Left projection lens
_1 : PrimitiveLens a b (a,c) (b,c)
_1 = MkPrimLens fst update where
  update : (b, (a, c)) -> (b, c)
  update (x', (x, y)) = (x', y)
```

Then view _1 (2, True) == 2. However, there is no clear way to compose two lenses or two prisms using this encoding, and it is not possible to compose a lens and a prism using these two typeclasses.

A more powerful encoding is the van Laarhoven functor transformer lenses (Laarhoven 2011). These are parameterised over the functor typeclass, where different functors applied to the optics change how they behave. In Haskell,

```
type LaarhovenLens a b = forall f. Functor f \Rightarrow (b \rightarrow f b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow f a)
```

Under this encoding, the above product projection lens would have type LaarhovenLens (a,b) a. It can be modified to have the additional degree of freedom in the simpler encoding.

The Const a functor newtype Const a b = { unConst :: a } stores a value of type a. Applied to the above definition, it produces a getter view optic structure = optic ($\x ->$ Const x) structure. Likewise, the identity functor newtype Id a = { unId :: a } produces an update function update optic field structure = optic ($\x ->$ Id field) structure.

These optics are simple functions and so support composition, however lenses and prisms are still mutually exclusive and cannot be composed. This leaves profunctor optics, which generalise van Laarhoven's functor transformer lenses and are flexible enough to support composition.

Profunctor Optics

what are profunctor optics? why are they good? what are common/useful optics with examples? optics on type indexed data types??? correspondence with van Laarhoven Boisseau and Gibbons 2018 - this means even though they're harder to write you can map primitive ones to complex ones

Formally Verified Profunctor Optics

> We can then have a penultimate section discussing a framework for formal verification of profunctor optics in Idris and discussing the structure and details of your solution (minimal use of source code here). Concretely, this section should properly motivate the formal verification of profunctor optics and then progress through your solution. Talk concepts and give formal examples but avoid using source code (you can refer to sections in the appendix where necessary though).

Related Work

https://bartoszmilewski.com/2017/03/29/ends-and-coends/http://www.mtm.ufsc.br/ ebatista/2016-2/maclanecat.pdf

https://dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.1145/3236779

Research Rabbit

https://dl.acm.org/doi/pdf/10.1145/1040305.1040325

Existing research on profunctor optics

Much existing work is focused on the correspondence between the van Larrhoven and profunctor representations of lenses, prisms, adapters and traversals. Boisseau and Gibbons 2018 provides a proof of the correspondence with the Yoneda lemma, and previous work including Pickering, Gibbons, and Wu 2017 and Milewski 2017 provide proofs invoking more complex machinery such as Tambara modules and tensor products.

No prior work is known to have been done on formally verified profunctor optics.

Future research on profunctor optics for dependent types such as type indexed syntax trees could be very useful. This would enable programming languages written in Idris to use dependent types to verify all syntax trees are well typed and use optics to elegantly traverse, view and update subtrees.

Conclusion

> Finally in the conclusion you can discuss what you've accomplished, what improvements could be made, and other related work in the field (i.e where the current boundaries of formally verified profunctor optics are if any - and general boundaries of profunctor optics research).

Bibliography

- Abramov, Dan et al. (2015). Redux: A Predictable State Container for JS Apps. URL: https://redux.js.org/ (visited on 10/26/2021).
- Boisseau, Guillaume and Jeremy Gibbons (2018). "What you need know about Yoneda: Profunctor optics and the Yoneda Lemma (functional pearl)". In: *Proceedings of the ACM on Programming Languages* 2.ICFP, pp. 1–27.
- Brady, Edwin (2021). "Idris 2: Quantitative Type Theory in Practice". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:2104.00480. Dowek, Gilles (1993). "The undecidability of typability in the $\lambda\Pi$ -calculus". In: International Conference on Typed Lambda Calculi and Applications. Springer, pp. 139–145.
- Foster, J Nathan et al. (2005). "Combinators for bi-directional tree transformations: a linguistic approach to the view update problem". In: ACM SIGPLAN Notices 40.1, pp. 233–246.
- ISO (Feb. 2020). ISO/IEC 14882:2020 Information technology Programming languages C++. Geneva, Switzerland: International Organization for Standardization. URL: https://www.iso.org/standard/79358.html.
- Kmett, Edward (2012). Lens: Lenses, Folds, and Traversals. URL: https://lens.github.io/ (visited on 10/26/2021).
- Laarhoven, Twan van (2011). "Lenses: viewing and updating data structures in Haskell". Institute for Computing and Information Sciences, Radboud University Nijmegen. URL: https://www.twanvl.nl/blog/news/2011-05-19-lenses-talk.
- Mac Lane, Saunders (1970). Categories for the working mathematician. 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag New York. McBride, Conor and Ross Paterson (2008). "Applicative programming with effects". In: Journal of functional programming 18.1, pp. 1–13.
- Milewski, Bartosz (2017). Profunctor Optics: The Categorical View. URL: https://bartoszmilewski.com/2017/07/07/profunctor-optics-the-categorical-view/ (visited on 08/07/2021).
- Moggi, Eugenio (1991). "Notions of computation and monads". In: *Information and computation* 93.1, pp. 55–92.
- Pickering, Matthew, Jeremy Gibbons, and Nicolas Wu (2017). "Profunctor optics: Modular data accessors". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:1703.10857.
- Sørensen, Morten Heine and Pawel Urzyczyn (2006). Lectures on the Curry-Howard isomorphism. Elsevier. Steinberger, Peter (2021). The Shift to Declarative UI. URL: https://increment.com/mobile/the-shift-to-declarative-ui/ (visited on 10/26/2021).
- Wadler, Philip (2015). "Propositions as types". In: Communications of the ACM 58.12, pp. 75–84.
- Weststrate, Michel et al. (2019). Immer: Create the next immutable state tree by simply modifying the current tree. URL: https://github.com/immerjs/immer (visited on 10/26/2021).

Appendix: Source Code

Mirrored at https://github.com/OliverBalfour/ProfunctorOptics

Simple Optics: PrimitiveOptics.idr

```
module Primitive.PrimitiveOptics
   %default total
   -- Primitive optics
   -- Simpler to write than profunctor optics but they don't compose well
   -- Solution: write primitive optics and map them to profunctor optics
   public export
   data PrimLens : Type -> Type -> Type -> Type where
     MkPrimLens
11
       : (view : s -> a)
       -> (update : (b, s) -> t)
13
       -> PrimLens a b s t
14
15
   public export
16
   data PrimPrism : Type -> Type -> Type -> Type where
17
     MkPrimPrism
       : (match : s -> Either t a)
19
       -> (build : b -> t)
20
       -> PrimPrism a b s t
21
22
   public export
23
   data PrimAdapter: Type -> Type -> Type -> Type -> Type where
24
     MkPrimAdapter
       : (from : s -> a)
26
       -> (to : b -> t)
       -> PrimAdapter a b s t
28
   -- Examples of simple optics
30
31
   -- Product left/right projection lens
32
   \pi_1: PrimLens a b (a, c) (b, c)
33
   \pi_1 = MkPrimLens fst update where
     update : (b, (a, c)) -> (b, c)
     update (x', (x, y)) = (x', y)
36
   \pi_2: PrimLens a b (c, a) (c, b)
37
   \pi_2 = MkPrimLens snd update where
38
     update : (b, (c, a)) -> (c, b)
39
     update (x', (y, x)) = (y, x')
40
41
   -- Sign of an integer lens
   sgn : PrimLens Bool Bool Integer Integer
43
   sgn = MkPrimLens signum chsgn where
     signum : Integer -> Bool
45
     signum x = x >= 0
     chsgn : (Bool, Integer) -> Integer
47
     chsgn (True, x) = abs x
```

```
chsgn (False, x) = -abs x
49
50
   -- Maybe prism
51
   op': PrimPrism a b (Maybe a) (Maybe b)
   op' = MkPrimPrism match build where
53
     match : Maybe a -> Either (Maybe b) a
     match (Just x) = Right x
55
     match Nothing = Left Nothing
     build : b -> Maybe b
57
     build = Just
59
   -- Adapter for the isomorphism (A \times B) \times C = A \times (B \times C)
   prodAssoc : PrimAdapter ((a,b),c) ((a',b'),c') (a,(b,c)) (a',(b',c'))
   prodAssoc = MkPrimAdapter (\((x,(y,z)) => ((x,y),z)) (\((x,y),z) => (x,(y,z)))
   Morphisms: Morphism.idr
   module Category.Morphism
   %default total
   -- Derived from Data.Morphisms
6
   -- Morphisms in the category of Idris types
   -- This wrapper exists to help Idris unify types in some proofs
   public export
   record Morphism a b where
10
     constructor Mor
     applyMor : a -> b
12
13
   infixr 1 ~>
14
   public export
   (~>): Type -> Type -> Type
17
   (~>) = Morphism
18
19
   -- Morphisms in a Kleisli category
20
   -- Functions of type a -> f b for a functor or monad f
21
   public export
   record KleisliMorphism (f: Type -> Type) a b where
23
     constructor Kleisli
     applyKleisli : a -> f b
25
   infixr 1 ~~>
27
   public export
29
   (~~>) : {f : Type -> Type} -> Type -> Type -> Type
30
   (~~>) = KleisliMorphism f
31
32
   -- Helpers
33
34
   public export
   eta: (a -> b) -> (a -> b)
   eta f = \x =  f x
```

```
38
   -- f = \langle x \rangle = f \rangle x
   public export
40
   ext: (f: a -> b) -> (eta f = f)
   ext f = Refl
42
   -- id . f = f
44
   public export
   idCompLeftId : (f : a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (\x => x) . f = f
   idCompLeftId f = ext f
48
   -- Extensionality axiom: used sparingly, uses a back door in the type system
   -- Idris cannot rewrite types using axioms so this must be avoided at all

→ costs

   public export
   extensionality: \{f, g: a \rightarrow b\} \rightarrow ((x:a) \rightarrow f x = g x) \rightarrow f = g
52
   extensionality {f} {g} prf = believe_me ()
   Verified Functors and Applicatives: VFunctor.idr
   module Category.VFunctor
2
   import Category.Morphism
   import Data.Vect
   %default total
   %hide Applicative
   %hide (<*>)
   %hide (<$>)
10
   infixl 4 <*>
11
   infixl 4 <$>
12
13
   -- Verified functors
14
   -- Optics over functorial types can be verified in part using functor laws
15
   public export
16
   interface VFunctor (f: Type -> Type) where
     -- fmap maps functions
18
     fmap: (a -> b) -> (f a -> f b)
     -- fmap respects identity, F(id) = id
20
     fid : (x : f a)
        \rightarrow fmap (\x => x) x = x
22
     -- fmap respects composition, F(g \cdot h) = F(g) \cdot F(h)
     fcomp : (x : f a) \rightarrow (g : b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow (h : a \rightarrow b)
24
        \rightarrow fmap (g · h) x = (fmap g · fmap h) x
     -- Infix alias for fmap
26
     (<$>): (a -> b) -> (fa -> fb)
     f < x = fmap f x
28
     \inf xSame : (g : a -> b) -> (x : f a) -> fmap g x = g < >> x
29
   -- Verified applicative functors
31
   public export
32
   interface VFunctor f => VApplicative (f: Type -> Type) where
     -- pure (aka return, ☒)
```

```
ret : a -> f a
35
     -- ap
36
     (<*>): f (a -> b) -> (f a -> f b)
37
     -- Identity law, pure id <*> v = v
     aid : (v : f a) \rightarrow ret (\x => x) <*> v = v
39
     -- Homomorphism law, pure g < *> pure x = pure (g x)
     ahom : (g : a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (x : a)
41
       -> ret g <*> ret x = ret (g x)
     -- Interchange law, u <*> pure y = pure ($ y) <*> u
43
     aint : (u : f (a \rightarrow b)) \rightarrow (y : a)
       -> u <*> ret y = ret ($ y) <*> u
45
     -- Composition law, ((pure (.) <*> u) <*> v) <*> w = u <*> (v <*> w)
46
     acomp : (u : f (b \rightarrow c)) \rightarrow (v : f (a \rightarrow b)) \rightarrow (w : f a)
47
        -> ((ret (.) <*> u) <*> v) <*> w = u <*> (v <*> w)
48
   -- Lists are functors
50
   public export
   implementation VFunctor List where
52
     -- fmap for lists is map
     fmap f [] = []
54
     fmap f (x::xs) = f x :: fmap f xs
     fid [] = Refl
56
     fid (x::xs) = cong(x::) (fid xs)
     fcomp [] g h = Refl
58
     fcomp (x::xs) g h = cong (g (h x) ::) (fcomp xs g h)
     infixSame f x = Refl
60
   -- forall xs. xs ++ [] = xs
62
   public export
63
   nilRightId : (xs : List a) -> xs ++ [] = xs
   nilRightId [] = Refl
65
   nilRightId (x::xs) =
     let iH = nilRightId xs
67
     in rewrite iH in Refl
69
   -- List concatenation is associative
70
   public export
71
   concatAssoc: (xs, ys, zs: List a) -> xs ++ (ys ++ zs) = (xs ++ ys) ++ zs
   concatAssoc [] ys zs = Refl
   concatAssoc (x::xs) ys zs = cong (x::) (concatAssoc xs ys zs)
75
   -- Lists are applicative functors
   public export
77
   implementation VApplicative List where
     -- pure makes a singleton list
79
     ret = (::[])
80
     -- ap applies a list of functions to a list of arguments
81
     -- [f1, ..., fn] <*> [x1, ..., xn] = [f1 x1, ..., f1 xn, f2 x1, ..., fn xn]
82
     (<*>)[] xs = []
     (<*>) (f::fs) xs = fmap f xs ++ (fs <*> xs)
84
     -- Laws
85
     aid [] = Refl
86
     aid (x::xs) =
87
       let iH = aid xs
88
```

```
shed: (fmap (\x => x) xs = xs) = fid xs
89
            prf : ((fmap (\y => y) xs ++ []) = xs)
90
            prf = rewrite shed in rewrite nilRightId xs in Refl
91
        in cong (x::) prf
      ahom g x = Refl
93
      aint [] y = Refl
      aint (u::us) y =
95
        let iH = aint us y
        in cong (u y::) iH
97
      acomp us vs ws =
98
        let elimNil : (((fmap (.) us ++ []) <*> vs) <*> ws = ((fmap (.) us) <*>
99
            vs) <*> ws)
            elimNil = cong (x \Rightarrow (x \leftrightarrow vs) \leftrightarrow ws) (nilRightId (fmap (.) us))
100
        in rewrite elimNil in case us of
101
          -- Goal: ((fmap (.) <*> us) vs) <*> ws = us <*> (vs <*> ws)
          [] => Refl
103
          (u::us') => let iH = acomp us' vs ws in let
            l1 : List (a -> c)
105
            l1 = fmap((.) u) vs
            l2 : List (a -> c)
107
            l2 = (fmap (.) us') <*> vs
            step: ((l1 ++ l2) <*> ws = (l1 <*> ws) ++ (l2 <*> ws))
109
            step = concatDist l1 l2 ws
            elimNil2 : (fmap u (vs <*> ws) ++ (<*>) ((fmap (.) us' ++ []) <*> vs)
111
             → ws = fmap u (vs <*> ws) ++ (((fmap (.) us') <*> vs) <*> ws))
            elimNil2 = cong (x = 5 \text{ fmap } u \text{ (vs } <*> \text{ ws)} ++ (<*>) (x <*> \text{ vs)} ws)
112
             prf : ((l1 ++ l2) <*> ws = fmap u (vs <*> ws) ++ (us' <*> (vs <*>
113
             prf = rewrite step in rewrite sym iH in rewrite elimNil2 in
114
               cong (++ (((fmap (.) us') <*> vs) <*> ws)) (
115
                 -- Goal: ((fmap ((.) u) vs) <*> ws = fmap u (vs <*> ws))
116
                 case vs of
117
                   [] => Refl
                   (v::vs') => let
119
                     iH2 = acomp us' vs' ws
120
                     step2 : ((<*>) (fmap ((.) u) vs') ws = fmap u (vs' <*> ws))
121
                     step2 = apLemma u vs' ws
                     step3 : (fmap (u . v) ws ++ (<*>) (fmap ((.) u) vs') ws = fmap
123
                      \rightarrow (u • v) ws ++ fmap u (vs' \leftrightarrow ws))
                     step3 = cong (fmap (u \cdot v) ws ++) step2
124
                     step4: (fmap (u \cdot v) ws ++ fmap u (vs' <*> ws) = fmap u (fmap)
125
                     \rightarrow v ws) ++ fmap u (vs' \leftrightarrow ws))
                     step4 = rewrite fcomp ws u v in Refl
126
                     step5 : (fmap u (fmap v ws) ++ fmap u (vs' <*> ws) = fmap u
127
                      step5 = fmapHom u (fmap v ws) (vs' <*> ws)
128
                     final : (fmap (u \cdot v) ws ++ ((fmap ((.) u) vs') <*> ws) = fmap
129
                     \rightarrow u (fmap v ws ++ (vs' \langle * \rangle ws)))
                     final = (step3 `trans` step4) `trans` step5
130
                     in final
131
132
            in prf
133
        where
134
```

```
-- Lemmas
135
           -- Empty xs gives empty fs <*> xs
136
           apRightNil : (fs : List (p -> q)) -> fs <*> [] = []
137
           apRightNil [] = Refl
           apRightNil (f::fs) = apRightNil fs
139
           -- (<*>) distributes over (++)
           concatDist: (as, bs: List (p -> q)) -> (xs: List p)
141
               \rightarrow (as ++ bs) \leftrightarrow xs = (as \leftrightarrow xs) ++ (bs \leftrightarrow xs)
          concatDist [] bs xs = Refl
143
          concatDist (a::as) bs xs = rewrite concatDist as bs xs in
144
             concatAssoc (fmap a xs) (as <*> xs) (bs <*> xs)
145
           -- fmap is a monoid homomorphism over the (List a, (++), []) monoid
146
          fmapHom : (m : p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (as, bs : List p)
147
             -> fmap m as ++ fmap m bs = fmap m (as ++ bs)
148
           fmapHom m [] bs = Refl
           fmapHom m (a::as) bs = rewrite fmapHom m as bs in Refl
150
           -- Function composition can be done before or after (<*>)
           apLemma : (m : q -> r) -> (as : List (p -> q)) -> (bs : List p)
152
             -> ((fmap ((.) m) as) <*> bs = fmap m (as <*> bs))
          apLemma m [] bs = Refl
154
           apLemma m (a::as) bs =
             let iH = apLemma m as bs
156
             in rewrite sym (fmapHom m (fmap a bs) (as <*> bs))
             in rewrite svm iH
158
             in rewrite fcomp bs m a
159
             in Refl
160
161
    -- Maybe is an applicative functor
162
    public export
163
    implementation VFunctor Maybe where
164
      -- fmap maps over Just values
165
      fmap f(Just x) = Just (f x)
166
      fmap f Nothing = Nothing
167
      fid (Just x) = Refl
      fid Nothing = Refl
169
      fcomp (Just x) g h = Refl
170
      fcomp Nothing g h = Refl
171
      infixSame f x = Refl
172
173
    public export
    implementation VApplicative Maybe where
175
      ret = Just
      -- ap returns a Just value iff it's possible to do so
177
      (\langle * \rangle) (Just f) (Just x) = Just (f x)
178
      (<*>) _ _ = Nothing
179
      aid (Just x) = Refl
180
      aid Nothing = Refl
181
      ahom g x = Refl
182
      aint (Just f) y = Refl
      aint Nothing y = Refl
184
      acomp (Just u) (Just v) (Just w) = Refl
185
186
      acomp Nothing _ _ = Refl
      acomp (Just u) Nothing _ = Refl
187
      acomp (Just u) (Just v) Nothing = Refl
188
```

```
189
    -- Either a (partially applied sum type) is an applicative functor
190
    -- over the second type variable
191
    public export
    implementation {a:Type} -> VFunctor (Either a) where
193
      fmap f (Left x) = Left x
      fmap f(Right x) = Right(f x)
195
      fid (Left x) = Refl
      fid (Right x) = Refl
197
      fcomp (Left x) g h = Refl
198
      fcomp (Right x) g h = Refl
199
      infixSame f x = Refl
200
201
    public export
202
    implementation {a:Type} -> VApplicative (Either a) where
      ret = Right
204
      -- same as VApplicative Maybe, Left x is treated as Nothing and Right x
      -- as Just x
206
      (<*>) (Right f) (Right x) = Right (f x)
      (<*>) (Left x) y = Left x
208
      (<*>) _ (Left x) = Left x
      aid (Left x) = Refl
210
      aid (Right x) = Refl
      ahom g x = Refl
212
      aint (Left x) y = Refl
      aint (Right x) y = Refl
214
      acomp (Right u) (Right v) (Right w) = Refl
      acomp (Left _) _ _ = Refl
216
      acomp (Right u) (Left x) _ = Refl
217
      acomp (Right u) (Right v) (Left x) = Refl
218
219
    -- Partially applied product type is a functor
220
    -- over the second type variable
221
    -- (a,) is only an applicative if a is a monoid (omitted)
    public export
223
    implementation {a:Type} -> VFunctor (a,) where
224
      fmap f(x, y) = (x, f y)
225
      fid (x, y) = Refl
      fcomp (x, y) g h = Refl
227
      infixSame f x = Refl
229
    -- Morphism a = Hom(a, -) is an applicative functor,
    -- the covariant Hom functor
231
    public export
    implementation {a:Type} -> VFunctor (Morphism a) where
233
      -- fmap is function composition
      -- The Mor wrapper is only present to help Idris unify types in proofs
235
      fmap f (Mor g) = Mor (f \cdot g)
236
      fid (Mor f) = cong Mor (sym (ext f))
      fcomp (Mor f) g h = Refl
238
      infixSame f x = Refl
239
240
    public export
241
    implementation {a:Type} -> VApplicative (Morphism a) where
```

```
ret x = Mor (const x)
243
      (\langle * \rangle) (Mor f) (Mor g) = Mor (\langle x \rangle)
244
      aid (Mor x) = Refl
245
      ahom g x = Refl
      aint (Mor f) y = Refl
247
      acomp (Mor u) (Mor v) (Mor w) = Refl
249
    plusZeroRightId : (n : Nat) -> n + 0 = n
    plusZeroRightId Z = Refl
251
    plusZeroRightId (S n) = rewrite plusZeroRightId n in Refl
252
253
    vectPlusZero : {n : Nat} -> Vect (plus n 0) a -> Vect n a
254
    vectPlusZero xs = replace {p = \prf => Vect prf a} (plusZeroRightId n) xs
255
256
    -- As with lists, length indexed vectors are functors
257
    public export
258
    implementation {n:Nat} -> VFunctor (Vect n) where
      fmap f [] = []
260
      fmap f(x::xs) = f x :: fmap f xs
261
      fid [] = Refl
262
      fid (x::xs) = cong(x::) (fid xs)
263
      fcomp [] g h = Refl
264
      fcomp (x::xs) g h = cong (g (h x) ::) (fcomp xs g h)
      infixSame f x = Refl
266
    -- Binary trees are functors
268
    public export
269
    data BTree : Type -> Type where
270
      Null: BTree a
271
      Node : BTree a -> a -> BTree a -> BTree a
272
273
    public export
274
    implementation VFunctor BTree where
275
      -- fmap maps f recursively over the values in every node
      fmap f Null = Null
277
      fmap f (Node l \times r) = Node (fmap f l) (f \times x) (fmap f r)
278
      fid Null = Refl
279
      fid (Node l x r) =
        let iH1 = fid l
281
             iH2 = fid r
        in rewrite iH1
283
        in rewrite iH2
        in Refl
285
      fcomp Null g h = Refl
286
      fcomp (Node l x r) g h =
287
        let iH1 = fcomp l g h
288
             iH2 = fcomp r g h
289
        in rewrite iH1
290
        in rewrite iH2
291
        in Refl
292
      infixSame f x = Refl
293
294
    -- Rose trees are functors
295
    public export
296
```

```
data RTree: Type -> Type where
297
      Leaf : a -> RTree a
298
      Branch: List (RTree a) -> RTree a
299
    -- These are for VFunctor RTree but had to be pulled out so `branches`
301
    -- could be used in a proof about fmap as well as fmap
303
      branches: (a -> b) -> List (RTree a) -> List (RTree b)
      branches f [] = []
305
      branches f (b::bs) = fmapRTree f b :: branches f bs
306
307
      fmapRTree : (a -> b) -> (RTree a) -> (RTree b)
308
      fmapRTree f (Leaf x) = Leaf (f x)
309
      fmapRTree f (Branch bs) = Branch (branches f bs)
310
    public export
312
    implementation VFunctor RTree where
313
      fmap = fmapRTree
314
      fid (Leaf x) = Refl
315
      fid (Branch bs) = cong Branch (prf bs) where
316
        prf : (bs : List (RTree a)) \rightarrow branches (\langle x \rangle x) bs = bs
        prf [] = Refl
318
        prf (b::bs) = rewrite prf bs in cong (::bs) (fid b)
      fcomp (Leaf x) g h = Refl
320
      fcomp (Branch bs) g h = cong Branch (prf bs g h) where
        prf : (bs : List (RTree a)) -> (g : b -> c) -> (h : a -> b)
322
          -> (branches (g • h) bs = branches g (branches h bs))
        prf [] g h = Refl
324
        prf (b::bs) g h = rewrite prf bs g h
325
          in cong (:: branches g (branches h bs)) (fcomp b g h)
326
      infixSame f x = Refl
327
    Verified Profunctors: VProfunctor.idr
    module Category. VProfunctor
    import Category.VFunctor
 3
    import Category.Morphism
 4
    %default total
 6
    %hide Applicative
    -- Verified profunctors
    public export
10
    interface VProfunctor (p : Type -> Type -> Type) where
      -- dimap maps two morphisms over a profunctor
12
      -- p(a,-) is a covariant functor, p(-,a) is contravariant
13
      dimap : (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (c \rightarrow d) \rightarrow p b c \rightarrow p a d
14
15
      -- Identity law, dimap id id = id
16
      pid : \{a, b : Type\} \rightarrow (x : p a b) \rightarrow dimap (\x => x) (\x => x) x = x
17
      -- Composition law, dimap (f' \cdot f) (g \cdot g') = dimap f g \cdot dimap f' g'
18
      pcomp
19
        : {a, b, c, d, e, t : Type}
20
```

```
-> (x : p a b)
21
       -> (f' : c -> a) -> (f : d -> c)
22
       -> (g : e -> t) -> (g' : b -> e)
23
       \rightarrow dimap (f' . f) (g . g') x = (dimap f g . dimap f' g') x
25
   -- Profunctors for product and sum types, and monoidal profunctors
27
   -- Cartesianly strong profunctors preserve product types
   public export
29
   interface VProfunctor p => Cartesian p where
     first : p a b -> p (a, c) (b, c)
31
     second : p a b -> p (c, a) (c, b)
32
33
   -- Co-Cartesianly strong profunctors preserve sum types
34
   public export
35
   interface VProfunctor p => Cocartesian p where
36
     left : p a b -> p (Either a c) (Either b c)
37
     right: p a b -> p (Either c a) (Either c b)
38
39
   -- Profunctors with monoid object structure
40
   public export
   interface VProfunctor p => Monoidal p where
42
     par : pab \rightarrow pcd \rightarrow p(a, c)(b, d)
     empty : p () ()
44
   -- Profunctor implementations
46
   -- Hom(-,-) profunctor, the canonical profunctor
48
   public export
49
   implementation VProfunctor Morphism where
     dimap f g (Mor h) = Mor (g . h . f)
51
     pid (Mor f) = cong Mor (sym (ext f))
52
     pcomp (Mor x) f' f g g' = Refl
53
   public export
55
   implementation Cartesian Morphism where
56
     first (Mor f) = Mor (\((a, c) => (f a, c))
57
     second (Mor f) = Mor (\((c, a) => (c, f a))
59
   public export
   implementation Cocartesian Morphism where
61
     left (Mor f) = Mor (\case
       Left a => Left (f a)
63
       Right c => Right c)
     right (Mor f) = Mor (\case
65
       Left c => Left c
       Right a => Right (f a))
67
68
   public export
   implementation Monoidal Morphism where
70
     par (Mor f) (Mor g) = Mor (\((x, y) => (f x, g y))
71
     empty = Mor (const ())
72
73
   -- Hom profunctor in the Kleisli category
```

```
-- This is the category of monadic types `m a` with Kleisli composition
    -- f \cdot g = \langle x \rangle join (f \cdot (g \cdot x)), where join : m \cdot (m \cdot a) \rightarrow m \cdot a
    -- We only require a functor for convenience
   public export
    implementation {k : Type -> Type} -> VFunctor k => VProfunctor
    dimap f g (Kleisli h) = Kleisli (fmap g . h . f)
80
      -- This proof reduces to 'fmap (\langle x \rangle \rangle . f = f' for 'f : a \rightarrow k b'
      -- We can't make `fid` intensional, ie `fid : fmap (\x => x) = id`,
82
      -- because we need something to pattern match on to prove fid, so we must

    use

      -- extensionality here
84
      85
      pcomp (Kleisli u) f' f g g' = cong Kleisli (extensionality (\x =>
86
        fcomp (u (f' (f x))) g g'))
88
    -- Const profunctor, Const r a is isomorphic to Hom((), a)
   -- This profunctor allows us to use our optics as constructors
90
    -- eg: op {p=Const} (MkConst 3) == MkConst (Just 3)
   public export
92
    record Const r a where
      constructor MkConst -- MkConst : a -> Const r a
94
                           -- unConst : Const r a -> a
      unConst : a
96
   public export
    implementation VProfunctor Const where
98
      dimap f g (MkConst x) = MkConst (g x)
      pid (MkConst x) = Refl
100
      pcomp (MkConst x) f' f g g' = Refl
101
102
   public export
103
    implementation Cocartesian Const where
104
      left (MkConst x) = MkConst (Left x)
105
      right (MkConst x) = MkConst (Right x)
106
107
   public export
108
    implementation Monoidal Const where
109
      par (MkConst x) (MkConst y) = MkConst (x, y)
      empty = MkConst ()
111
112
   -- `Forget r` profunctor
113
   -- Allows us to use our profunctor optics as getters
   -- eg: unForget (\pi_1 {p=Forget Int} (MkForget (x = x))) (3, True) == 3
115
   -- Inspired by PureScript's profunctor-lenses:
   -- https://github.com/purescript-contrib/purescript-profunctor-lenses/
117
   public export
118
   record Forget r a b where
119
      constructor MkForget -- MkForget : (a -> r) -> Forget r a b
120
      unForget : a -> r
                           -- unForget : Forget r a b -> (a -> r)
121
122
   public export
123
    implementation {r : Type} -> VProfunctor (Forget r) where
124
      dimap f g (MkForget h) = MkForget (h . f)
125
      pid (MkForget x) = Refl
126
```

```
pcomp (MkForget x) f' f g g' = Refl
127
128
         public export
129
         implementation {r : Type} -> Cartesian (Forget r) where
             first (MkForget f) = MkForget (\((x, y) => f x)
131
             second (MkForget f) = MkForget (\((x, y) => f y)
         Profunctor Optics: Main.idr
         module Main
        import Category.VProfunctor
  3
         import Category.VFunctor
        import Category.Morphism
        import Primitive.PrimitiveOptics
         import Data.Vect
         %default total
         %hide Prelude.Interfaces.(<*>)
 10
         %hide Prelude.Interfaces.(<$>)
 12
         infixr ⊙ ~>
 13
 14
         -- Profunctor optic types
 16
         Optic: (Type -> Type -
         Optic pabst = pab -> pst
 18
         Adapter : Type -> Type -> Type -> Type
 20
         Adapter a b s t = {p : Type -> Type -> Type} -> VProfunctor p => Optic p a b s
         Lens : Type -> Type -> Type -> Type
 23
         Lens a b s t = {p : Type -> Type -> Type} -> Cartesian p => Optic p a b s t
 24
 25
         Prism : Type -> Type -> Type -> Type
 26
         Prism a b s t = {p : Type -> Type -> Type} -> Cocartesian p => Optic p a b s t
 27
 28
         LensPrism : Type -> Type -> Type -> Type -> Type
         LensPrism a b s t = {p : Type -> Type -> Type}
 30
             -> (Cartesian p, Cocartesian p)
             => Optic pabst
 32
        Traversal : Type -> Type -> Type -> Type -> Type
 34
         Traversal a b s t = {p : Type -> Type -> Type}
             -> (Cartesian p, Cocartesian p, Monoidal p)
 36
             => Optic pabst
 37
 38
        -- Product type optics
 39
 40
         --\pi_1: {p: Type -> Type -> Type} -> Cartesian p => p a b -> p (a, c) (b, c)
 41
        \pi_1: Lens a b (a, c) (b, c)
 42
        \pi_1 = first
 43
 44
```

```
\pi_2: Lens a b (c, a) (c, b)
45
   \pi_2 = second
46
47
   -- Optional type optics
49
   -- op : \{p : Type \rightarrow Type \rightarrow Type\} \rightarrow Cocartesian p \Rightarrow p a b \rightarrow p (Maybe a)
    \rightarrow (Maybe b)
   op : Prism a b (Maybe a) (Maybe b)
   op = dimap (maybe (Left Nothing) Right) (either id Just) . right
52
53
   -- Sum/coproduct type optics
54
55
   leftP : Prism a b (Either a c) (Either b c)
56
   leftP = left
57
   rightP: Prism a b (Either c a) (Either c b)
59
   rightP = right
61
   -- Example of composition of optics
62
63
   op_{\pi_1}: LensPrism a b (Maybe (a, c)) (Maybe (b, c))
   op_{\pi_1} = op \cdot \pi_1
65
   -- Map primitive optics to profunctor optics
67
   prismFromPrim : PrimPrism a b s t -> Prism a b s t
69
   prismFromPrim (MkPrimPrism m b) = dimap m (either id b) . right
70
71
   -- Complex data structures
72
73
   -- This type is from van Laarhoven
74
   -- https://twanvl.nl/blog/haskell/non-regular1
75
   -- FunList a b t is isomorphic to \exists n. \ a^n \times (b^n -> t)
76
   -- which is equivalent to the type of a traversable (Pickering et. al. 2018)
   -- It allows us to write optics for lists and trees
78
   data FunList: Type -> Type -> Type -> Type where
79
     Done: t -> FunList a b t
     More: a -> FunList a b (b -> t) -> FunList a b t
82
   out : FunList a b t -> Either t (a, FunList a b (b -> t))
   out (Done t) = Left t
84
   out (More x l) = Right (x, l)
86
   inn : Either t (a, FunList a b (b -> t)) -> FunList a b t
   inn (Left t) = Done t
   inn (Right (x, l)) = More x l
90
   implementation {a : Type} -> {b : Type} -> VFunctor (FunList a b) where
91
     fmap f (Done t) = Done (f t)
     fmap f (More x l) = More x (fmap (f .) l)
93
     fid (Done t) = Refl
     fid (More x l) = cong (More x) (fid l)
95
     fcomp (Done t) g h = Refl
96
     fcomp (More x l) gh = cong (More x) (fcomp l (g.) (h.))
```

```
infixSame f x = Refl
98
99
    implementation {a : Type} -> {b : Type} -> VApplicative (FunList a b) where
100
      ret = Done
      Done f <*> l = fmap f l
102
      More x l <*> l2 = assert_total More x (fmap flip l <*> l2)
      aid (Done t) = Refl
104
      aid (More x l) = cong (More x) (aid l)
      ahom g x = Refl
106
      aint u y = believe_me () -- todo
      acomp u v w = believe_me ()
108
109
    single : a -> FunList a b b
110
    single x = More \times (Done id)
111
    fuse : FunList b b t -> t
113
    fuse (Done t) = t
    fuse (More x l) = fuse l x
115
116
    traverse : {p : Type -> Type -> Type} -> (Cocartesian p, Monoidal p)
117
      => p a b
      -> p (FunList a c t) (FunList b c t)
119
    traverse k = assert_total dimap out inn (right (par k (traverse k)))
121
    makeTraversal: (s -> FunList a b t) -> Traversal a b s t
    makeTraversal h k = dimap h fuse (traverse k)
123
    -- Binary tree traversals
125
126
    inorder' : {f : Type -> Type} -> VApplicative f
127
     => (a -> f b)
128
      -> BTree a -> f (BTree b)
129
    inorder' m Null = ret Null
130
    inorder' m (Node l x r) = Node <$> inorder' m l <*> m x <*> inorder' m r
132
    inorder : {a, b : Type} -> Traversal a b (BTree a) (BTree b)
133
    inorder = makeTraversal (inorder' single)
134
    preorder' : {f : Type -> Type} -> VApplicative f
136
     => (a -> f b)
      -> BTree a -> f (BTree b)
138
    preorder' m Null = ret Null
    preorder' m (Node l x r) =
140
      (\mid, left, right => Node left mid right) <$>
141
        m x <*> preorder' m l <*> preorder' m r
142
    preorder : {a, b : Type} -> Traversal a b (BTree a) (BTree b)
    preorder = makeTraversal (preorder' single)
145
146
    postorder' : {f : Type -> Type} -> VApplicative f
147
    => (a -> f b)
      -> BTree a -> f (BTree b)
149
    postorder' m Null = ret Null
150
   postorder' m (Node l x r) =
```

```
(\left, right, mid => Node left mid right) <$>
152
        postorder' m l <*> postorder' m r <*> m x
153
154
    postorder : {a, b : Type} -> Traversal a b (BTree a) (BTree b)
    postorder = makeTraversal (postorder' single)
156
    -- List traversals
158
    listTraverse' : {f : Type -> Type} -> VApplicative f
160
      => (a -> f b)
161
      -> List a -> f (List b)
162
    listTraverse' g [] = ret []
163
    listTraverse' g (x::xs) = (::) <$> g x <*> listTraverse' g xs
164
165
    listTraverse : {a, b : Type} -> Traversal a b (List a) (List b)
166
    listTraverse = makeTraversal (listTraverse' single)
167
    -- PrimPrism a b forms a Cocartesian profunctor
169
170
    -- Definitions and lemmas from the Either bifunctor for `VProfunctor
171
    → (PrimPrism a b) `
    bimapEither: (a -> c) -> (b -> d) -> Either a b -> Either c d
172
    bimapEither f g (Left x) = Left (f x)
    bimapEither f g (Right x) = Right (g x)
174
    bimapId : (z : Either a b) \rightarrow bimapEither (\x => x) (\x => x) z = z
176
    bimapId (Left y) = Refl
    bimapId (Right y) = Refl
178
179
    bimapLemma : (g : e -> t) -> (g' : b -> e) -> (x' : Either b a)
180
      -> bimapEither (g \cdot g') (\x => x) x' = bimapEither g (\x => x) (bimapEither
181
      \rightarrow g' (\x => x) x')
    bimapLemma g g' (Left x) = Refl
182
    bimapLemma g g' (Right x) = Refl
184
    public export
185
    implementation {a : Type} -> {b : Type} -> VProfunctor (PrimPrism a b) where
186
      dimap f g (MkPrimPrism m b) = MkPrimPrism (bimapEither g id . m . f) (g . b)
      pid (MkPrimPrism m b) = cong (`MkPrimPrism` b)
188
        (extensionality (\x = \x) bimapId (\x)))
      pcomp (MkPrimPrism m b) f' f g g' = cong (`MkPrimPrism` (\x => g (g' (b
190
      \hookrightarrow X))))
        (extensionality (\x = \x) bimapLemma g g' (m (f' (f x)))))
191
192
    public export
193
    implementation {a : Type} -> {b : Type} -> Cocartesian (PrimPrism a b) where
194
      left (MkPrimPrism m b) = MkPrimPrism (either (bimapEither Left id . m) (Left
195
      → Right)) (Left . b)
      right (MkPrimPrism m b) = MkPrimPrism (either (Left . Left) (bimapEither
196
      → Right id . m)) (Right . b)
197
    -- Helpful combinators
198
    -- `Forget r` profunctor optics operate as getters
200
```

```
view : {a : Type} -> Lens a b s t -> s -> a
201
    view optic x = unForget (optic {p=Forget a} (MkForget (\x => x))) x
202
203
    -- Morphism profunctor optics operate as setters
    update : Optic Morphism a b s t -> (a -> b) -> (s -> t)
205
    update optic f x = applyMor (optic (Mor f)) x
207
    -- Const profunctor optics recovers sum type constructors
    build : Prism a b s t -> b -> t
209
    build optic x = unConst (optic {p=Const}) (MkConst x))
211
   -- Unit tests (if these fail we get type errors)
212
   -- These are provided as examples of how to use these profunctor optics in
    → practice
214
    test1 : update (Main.op . \pi_1) (\x => x * x) (Just (3, True)) = Just (9, True)
215
    test1 = Refl
216
217
   test2 : view \pi_1 (3, True) = 3
218
    test2 = Refl
219
   test3 : build Main.op 3 = Just 3
221
   test3 = Refl
223
   -- view \pi_1 = fst (extensionally)
   forgetLeftProjection : (x : r) -> (y : b)
225
    -> fst (x, y) = view \pi_1(x, y)
    forgetLeftProjection x y = Refl
227
228
   -- build op = Just (extensionally)
229
   constBuildsMaybe : (x : a)
230
      -> Just x = build Main.op x
231
   constBuildsMaybe x = Refl
```