

# Coursework Introduction

COMP 3222/6246  
Machine Learning Technologies  
Dr Indu Bodala  
Email: [i.p.bodala@soton.ac.uk](mailto:i.p.bodala@soton.ac.uk)

13 November 2023

# Today's lecture

- Coursework Submission – what and when?
- Task and Data
- Design and Implementation
- Evaluation
- Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ)
- Marking Scheme
- Q&A

# Coursework Submission – What and When?

- Deliverables and Deadlines
  - Machine learning pipeline implementation
    - Jupyter notebook
    - Submit as `source_code.ipynb` + `requirements.txt`
    - Week 12 Fri 4pm (timetable week 15)
    - Marking weight 15%
  - Final report
    - Week 12 Fri 4pm (timetable week 15)
    - Submit as `report.pdf`
    - Marking weight 35%
  - Total coursework mark is worth 50%
  - Turnitin checked >> Code and PDF reports must be your own work
- Feedback
  - 4 weeks after submission

# Task and Data

- Task
  - Design/build algorithm(s) to classify social media posts with imagesp as 'real' or 'fake'
- Background
  - AI verifying multimedia content automatically can help tackle viral fake news
  - New algorithms support journalists and platform providers offering news content (e.g. Google, Facebook)
  - This was a real [MediaEval challenge task](#) for international researchers!
- Definition of a fake post
  - Reposting of real multimedia, such as real photos from the past re-posted as being associated with a current event
  - Digitally manipulated multimedia
  - Synthetic multimedia, such as artworks or snapshots presented as real imagery

# Task and Data

- Dataset - Zip on module page
  - MediaEval 2015 dataset of social media posts
    - Test set posts 3,781
    - Training set posts 14,483
    - Unique images/videos referenced 399
    - News events 11
  - Each post contains text & mentions of a linked or embedded image/video
  - MediaEval 2015 image features will NOT used to keep task simple
  - Format - tab delimited UTF-8 CSV
    - TweetId, tweetText, userId, imageId(s), username, timestamp, label

263259176497737728  
'New York "attacked" by Sandy.  
#NewYork #statueofliberty #hurricane  
#Sandy #dark #attack #sky  
#picoftheday # http://t.co/6PSNTCj9'  
458337011  
sandyA\_fake\_48  
DimPhil1  
Tue Oct 30 12:40:53 +0000 2012  
**fake**

Nepal earthquake (fake)



Nepal earthquake (real)



**Dataset contains text and  
metadata only  
You should NOT process any  
images**

Garissa Attack (real)



Solar eclipse (fake)



Samurai Ghost (fake)

# Design and Implementation

- Algorithm Design and Implementation (COMP 3222 - UG)
  - Problem characterization
  - Data characterization
  - Data analysis & hypothesis formulation
  - Algorithm choice (2 algorithms) with justifications
  - Pipeline Implementation
  - Evaluation – F1 Score
  - Iterative improvement of the chosen algorithms
- Algorithm Design and Analysis (COMP 6246 - MSc)
  - Problem characterization
  - Data characterization
  - Data analysis & hypothesis formulation
  - Algorithm choice (3 algorithms) with justifications
  - Pipeline Implementation
  - Evaluation – F1 Score and other evaluation metrics
  - Critical review - 3 strengths and 3 weaknesses + ranking

# Design and Implementation

- Refer to Coursework Specification



# Evaluation

- Evaluation (COMP 3222 - UG)
  - Metric - F1 score (but you might want to report P, R, ROC ...)
  - Binary class labels == 'fake' (positive) or 'real' (negative)
  - TP >> Classified fake + Ground truth fake
  - FP >> Classified fake + Ground truth real
  - TN >> Classified real (or unknown) + Ground truth real
  - FN >> Classified real (or unknown) + Ground truth fake

Label might be unknown if you choose to filter out results below a certain confidence threshold

# Evaluation

- Evaluation (COMP 6246 - MSc)
  - F1 Score and other evaluation metrics to be used for ranking
  - Critical review of 3 algorithms, for each identifying 3 strengths and 3 weaknesses
  - Compare all 3 algorithm designs against each other
  - Rank algorithm designs in order of suitability to the task with justifications

# Final Report

- Final report 5 to 10 pages long
- Mandatory final report sections
  - Introduction and data analysis
  - Algorithm design
  - Evaluation
  - Conclusion
  - References
- Refer to coursework specification

# Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) - see assignment PDF

- Justify all your choices
  - Marks are awarded for the method you used and evidence-driven justifications for your design decisions
    - There is no 'correct' final design or F1 score

# Frequently Asked Questions (FAQ) - see assignment PDF

- Consider enriching the data
  - You cannot use external task-specific data
    - MediaEval image features, list of task-specific faker usernames
  - You can use external generic data
    - NLTK stopwords, lists of common first names, lists of respected news organizations, sentiment word lists
  - You can pre-process your data and make new features
    - n-grams, TF-IDF, Stanford POS and NER taggers, VADER sentiment analysis
  - What is the humour label?
    - Humour label should be treated as a Fake label for eval. It might be helpful for training (or it might not!)
  - You cannot edit the test dataset to make it easier
    - F1 scores must be run on full test dataset to allow a fair comparison of results

# Marking Scheme

Refer to Marking Scheme document

Things to note:

**Show us things like graphs, histograms, confusion matrix, ranked lists of top features, skew in data segments, gap analysis ... The lab on "Data analysis and visualization" will help here**

**Show us evidence of iteration, such as how you fine-tuned or optimised your design/parameters during the evaluation process**

**Show us how each design choice compares to each other, rank choices, discuss why you rejected other choices, cite results for algorithms in published papers**

Thank you...  
Q&A

## Next Lecture

Use Case 1 by Dr Luis-Daniel Ibáñez

See you again during weeks 9 and 10