# 1 Probability preliminary

 $\mathbf{Binomial}(n,p) \colon \ p(x) \ = \ \binom{n}{x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}, \phi(t) \ =$  $(pe^t + (1-p))^n, \mu = np, \sigma^2 = np(1-p)$ 

**Poisson**( $\lambda$ ):  $p(x) = e^{-\lambda} \frac{\lambda^x}{x!}, \phi(t) = e^{\lambda(e^t - 1)}, \mu =$ 

**Geometric**(p):  $p(x) = p(1 - p)^{x-1}, \phi(t) =$  $\frac{pe^t}{1-(1-p)e^t}, \mu = \frac{1}{p}, \sigma^2 = \frac{1-p}{p^2}$ 

**Uniform**(a,b):  $f(x) = \frac{1}{b-a}, x \in (a,b), f(x) = 0, x \notin$ 

 $(a,b), \phi(t) = \frac{e^{tb} - e^{ta}}{t(b-a)}, \mu = \frac{a+b}{2}, \sigma^2 = \frac{(b-a)^2}{12}$ 

**Exponential**( $\lambda$ ):  $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}, x > 0, f(x) = 0, x < 0, \phi(t) = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t}, \mu = \frac{1}{\lambda}, \sigma^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda^2}, F(x) = 0$ 

 $\mathbf{Gamma}(n,\lambda) \colon f(x) = \frac{\lambda e^{-\lambda x} (\lambda x)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}, x \ge 0, f(x) =$ 

 $0, x < 0, \phi(t) = (\frac{\lambda}{\lambda - t})^n, \mu = \frac{n}{\lambda}, \sigma^2 = \frac{n}{\lambda^2}$  $\mathbf{Normal}(\mu,\sigma^2) \colon \quad f(x) \ = \ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \phi(t) \ =$ 

 $e^{\mu t + \frac{\sigma^2 t^2}{2}}, \mu = \mu, \sigma^2 = \sigma^2$ 

Variance:  $Var(X) = E[X^2] - E[X]^2$ 

Total probability:  $p_X(x) = \sum_y p_{X|Y}(x|y) P_Y(y), f_X$  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{X|Y}(x|y) f_Y(y) dy$ 

Total expectation: E[X] = E[E[X|Y]]Total variance: Var(X) = E[Var(X|Y)] +Var(E[X|Y])

Covariance: Cov(X,Y) = E[(X - E[X])(Y - E[X])]E[Y])] = E[XY] - E[X]E[Y]. We have Cov(X, X) =Var(X), Cov(cX, Y) = cCov(X, Y), Cov(X, Y) +Z) = Cov(X, Y) + Cov(X, Z)

**MGF**:  $\phi_{X+Y}(t) = \phi_X(t)\phi_Y(t)$  for independent X, Y.  $E[X^k] = \frac{d^k}{dx^k} M_X(t)|_{t=0}, M_{aX+b}(t) =$ 

**Theorem**: [Central limit theorem] Let  $(X_i)$  be a sequence of independent random variables having identical distribution, each with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\mu}{\sigma\sqrt{n}} \le a) =$ 

 $\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{a}e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{2}}dx$ . Alternatively, we could directly approximate  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  by  $N(n\mu, n\sigma^2)$ .

# 2 Poisson process

### 2.1 Poisson distribution

If  $X \sim Pois(\lambda)$  and  $Y \sim Pois(\mu)$  are independent, then  $X + Y \sim Pois(\lambda + \mu)$ .

#### 2.2 Definitions

A Poisson process with rate(intensity)  $\lambda > 0$  is an integer-valued stochastic process  $\{X(t), t \geq 0\}$  for which there are independent increments; for  $s \ge$ 0, t > 0, we have  $X(s + t) - X(s) \sim Pois(\lambda t)$ ; X(0) = 0.

Let N((s,t]) be a random variable counting the number of events occurring in the interval (s, t], then N((s,t]) is a Poisson process of rate  $\lambda$  if: increments are independent; there is a positive constant  $\lambda$ such that  $P(N((t, t + h]) \ge 1) = \lambda h + o(h), h \to 0;$  $(N((t, t+h)) \ge 2) = o(h), h \to 0.$ 

**Definition**: Let X(t) be a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ . Let  $W_n$  be the time of occurrence of n-th event. We set  $W_0 = 0$ . Define  $S_n = W_{n+1} - W_n$ .

We know that  $W_1 \sim Exp(\lambda)$ . In general,  $W_n$  follows gamma distribution. We have PDF as  $f_{W_n}(t) =$ 

 $\frac{\lambda^n t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-\lambda t}$ . In particular  $f_{W_1}(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t}$ . All so-

journ time  $S_i \sim Exp(\lambda)$ . Define Poisson process as interarrival time having independent exponential distribution with rate  $\lambda$ .

# 2.3 Properties of Poisson process

Suppose we know that X(t) = 1, by Bayes' formula, we have the conditional distribution of time of occurrence  $f_{W_1|X(t)=1}(s) = \frac{1}{t}$ . In general, given X(t) = n, the joint distribution of time of occurrence  $W_1, ..., W_n$  we have  $f_{W_1, ..., W_n | X(t) = n}(s) = \frac{n!}{t^n}$ .

**Theorem:** Given that X(t) = n, the marginal distribution of n arrival/waiting times  $W_1, \ldots, W_n$  evaluates to  $f_{W_k}(x) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!(k-1)!} (\frac{x}{t})^{k-1} \frac{1}{t} (\frac{t-x}{t})^{n-k}.$ 

**Theorem**: Let  $\{N_1(t): t \geq 0\}, \ldots, \{N_m(t): t \geq 0\}$ be independent Poisson processes with rate  $\lambda_i$  respectively. Let  $N(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m N_i(t), t \geq 0$ , then N(t) is a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i$ .

**Theorem**: Consider  $\{N(t): t \geq 0\}$  with rate  $\lambda$  and for each event having independent and identical distribution that this event is a type i event with probability  $p_i$ , then the processes  $N_i(t)$  are all independent Poisson process with rate  $\lambda p_i$  respectively. **Theorem**: Let X(t), Y(t) be two independent Poisson processes with rate  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2$ .  $W_n^1$  denote the waiting time of n-th event of X(t). Let  $W_m^2$  denote the waiting time of m-

The event of Y(t). We have  $P(W_n^1 < W_n^2) = \sum_{k=n}^{n+m-1} \binom{n+m-1}{k} (\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2})^k (\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2})^{n-m-1-k}$ . In particular,  $P(W_1^1 < W_1^2) = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}$ .

The Poisson process is nonhomogeneous if the rate  $\lambda$  is  $\lambda(t)$ . Same definition follows. this case, the increment X(s + t) - X(s) $Pois(\int_{s}^{s+t} \lambda(u)du)$ . In this case,  $P(W_1 > t) =$  $P(X(t) = 0) = e^{-\int_0^t \lambda(u)du}$ , hence the density function is  $f_{W_1}(t) = \lambda(t)e^{-\int_0^t \lambda(u)du}$ , the conditional distribution is  $P(W_1 < s | X(t) = 1) = \frac{\int_0^s \lambda(u) du}{\int_0^t \lambda(u) du}$ We still have merging and spliting theorem.

a compound Poisson process if it can be represented (as)  $X(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} Y_i$  where N(t) is a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ , and  $Y_i$  follows identical and independent

**Definition**: A stochastic process  $\{X(t): t > 0\}$  is

distribution of FWe have  $E[X(t)] = \lambda t E[Y]$ . Var(X(t)) = $\lambda t(E[Y]^2 + Var(Y))$ If X(t), Y(t) are two independent compound Poisson

process with parameters  $(\lambda_1, F_1)$  and  $(\lambda_2, F_2)$  respectively, then N(t) = X(t) + Y(t) is still a compound Poisson process with parameter  $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} F_1 +$ 

**Definition**: Let N(t) be a counting process defined as follows: (1) There is a positive random variable L with density function g. (2)Condition on  $L = \lambda$ , the counting process is a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ . Such a process is called a conditional Poisson process. This process still satisfies independent increments. The distribution is P(N(t + s) - N(s) = n) =

 $\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} g(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad \text{We have } E[N(t)] = E[L]t.$   $Var(N(t)) = tE[L] + t^2 Var(L). \text{ Condition on } N(t) =$ n, the updated distribution of L is  $P(L \le x | N(t) =$  $n) = \frac{\int_0^x e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^n g(\lambda) d\lambda}{\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-ut} (ut)^n g(u) du}, \text{ where the updated PDF}$ 

is  $f_{L|N}(\lambda|n) = \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^n g(\lambda)}{\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-ut}(ut)^n g(u) du}$ , thus the posterior estimation of number of events on the following time interval will be  $P(N(t+s)-N(t)) = \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^n g(\lambda)}{2}$ 

 $m|N(t)| = n = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda s} \frac{(\lambda s)^m}{m!} f_{L|N}(\lambda|n) d\lambda = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-\lambda s} \frac{(\lambda s)^m}{m!} \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^n g(\lambda)}{\int_0^{+\infty} e^{-ut} (ut)^n g(u) du} d\lambda$ 

## 3 Continuous time Markov chain 3.1 Specification

**Definition**: A stochastic process X(t) such that for  $s > u \ge 0, t \ge 0$ , we have P(X(s + t)) =j|X(s) = i, X(u) = k) = P(X(s+t) = j|X(s) = i).We assume stationary increment, which implies that P(X(t+s) = j|X(s) = i) = P(X(t) = j|X(0) = i).To define a continuous time Markov chain, we need to define discrete state space S, time space  $t \geq 0$  and transition probability function matrix P(t). P(t) is defined such that the ij-entry is  $P_{ij}(t)$ . P(t) should have row sum 1 for all  $t \in T$ . By Markovian property, P(t+s) = P(t)P(s) = P(s)P(t), thus we have  $P_{ij}(t+s) = \sum_{k \in S} P_{ik}(t) P_{kj}(s)$ . We could discretize the continuous time Markov chain by defining equally spaced time points  $t_k = kh$ , and define  $Y_n = X(t_n)$ , then  $\{Y_n\}_{n>0}$  is a stationary discrete time Markov chain.  $Y_n$  satisfies Markovian property, having state space S and transition probability matrix is P(h). If one state in  $Y_n$  is absorbing, then it is also absorbing in X(t). The waiting time for any state i follows exponential distribution. The jump probability  $P_{ij}$ is a constant probability that only depends on i, jwithout dependence on time. Therefore, we could also specify a continuous time Markov chain by the state space S, the vector  $v = (v_1, v_2, ...)$  that contain the parameter of the waiting time distribution at state i, and P, where  $P_{ij}$  is the probability that the process jumps from state i to state j at the first transition. If i is absorbing, we define  $P_{ii} = 1$ . Otherwise,  $P_{ii} = 0$ ,  $\sum_{j \in S} P_{ij} = 1$ .

**Definition**: For a continuous time Markov chain X(t), if we ignore the amount of time spent in each state, then the corresponding sequence constitutes a discrete time Markov chain, we call this chain the embedded chain. For the embedded chain, the state space remains the same, and the transition probability is simply  $P_{ij}$ .

#### 3.2 Infinitesimal generator

Let X(t) = i, consider a small interval (t, t + h). By exponential distribution, we have P(no jump) = $e^{-v_i h} = 1 - v_i h + o(h)$ . P(At least one jump) = 1 - o(h) $e^{-v_i h} = v_i h + o(h)$ . P(At least two jumps) = o(h). **Definition**: For any pair of states i, j define  $q_{ij} =$  $v_i P_{ij}$  as instantaneous transition rate. By the definition,  $q_{ij}$  is determined by v and P. Note that  $\sum_{j \in S} P_{ij} = 1$ , thus  $v_i = \sum_{j \in S} v_i P_{ij} = 1$  $\sum_{j \in S} q_{ij}, P_{ij} = \frac{q_{ij}}{v_i} = \frac{q_{ij}}{\sum_{j \in S} q_{ij}}, \text{ so we could also}$ determine v and P given  $q_{ij}$ .

We have  $\frac{dP_{ij}(t)}{dt}|_{t=0} = q_{ij}, i \neq j$ , or  $-v_i, i = j$ . **Definition**: The matrix G is called the infinitesimal generator where  $G_{ii} = -v_i, G_{ij} = q_{ij}$ 3.3 Pure birth process

Definition: Consider a sequence of positive numbers  $\{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots\}$ . A pure birth process X(t) is a Markov chain where the possible values are nonnegative integers, and satisfies the following postulates:  $P(X(t+h) - X(t) = 1 | X(t) = k) = \lambda_k h + o(h); P(X(t+h) - X(t) = 0 | X(t) = k) = 0$  $1 - \lambda_k(h) + o(h); P(X(t+h) - X(t) < 0) = 0, h \to 0.$ 3.4 Birth and death process

**Definition**: Consider a sequence of positive numbers  $\{\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots\}$  and  $\{\mu_0, \mu_1, \dots\}$ . A birth and death process X(t) is a Markov process where the possible values are non-negative integers and satisfies the following postulates: P(X(t+h) - X(t)) = 1|X(t)| = 1 $k = \lambda_k h + o(h), i \ge 0, h \to 0; P(X(t+h) - X(t)) =$ -1|X(t) = k =  $\mu_k h + o(h), i \ge 1, h \to 0; P(X(t + h))$  $h(t) - X(t) = 0 | X(t) = k) = 1 - (\lambda_k + \mu_k)h + o(h), i \ge 1$ Theorem:[Kolmogorov's backward equations] For

all states i, j and time  $t \geq 0$ , we have  $P'_{ij}(t) =$ 

 $\sum_{k \neq i} q_{ik} P_{kj}(t) - v_i P_{ij}(t) \iff P'(t) = GP(t)$ Theorem:[Kolmogorov's forward equations] For all states i, j and time  $t \geq 0$ , we have  $P'_{ij}(t) =$  $\sum_{k \neq j} q_{kj} P_{ik}(t) - v_j P_{ij}(t) \iff P'(t) = P(t)G$ 

#### 3.5 Uniformization

Suppose a continuous time Markov chain has same stay time distribution for all states, i.e.  $v_i = \lambda$  for all  $i \in S$ . Let N(t) be the number of jumps till time t, then it is a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$ . Therefore, we could compute transition probability as  $P_{ij}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda t} [P^n]_{ij}$ 

If we truncate the first k terms as a numerical approx-

imation, then the error is  $\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda t} [P^n]_{ij} \leq$  $\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda t} = P(N(t) \ge k)$ 

Suppose v is an upper bound of  $\{v_i : i \in S\}$ , we modify the jump probability as  $P_{ij}^* = \frac{v_i}{v} P_{ij}$  if  $i \neq j$  and Theorem: For a continuous time Markov chain X(t) with rates  $v_i$ , if  $v_i \leq v$ , then  $P_{ij}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(vt)^n}{n!} e^{-vt} [P^*]_{(ij)}^n$ 

### 3.6 Absorbing state

Definition: For a continuous time Markov chain, if there is a state i such that for any  $t > 0, s \ge 0$ , we have  $P(X(t+s) = i|X(s) = i) \iff P_{ii} = 1$ , then it is an absorbing state.

Suppose 0 is an absorbing state, and we are interested in absorbing probability  $u_i$  starting from state i. We have that  $u_i = \lim_{t \to \infty} P(X(t) = 0 | X(0) = i)$ . If we consider the embedded chain  $Z_n$ , then 0 is also an absorbing state. We denote  $v_i = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(Z_n =$  $0|Z_0=i)$  and we could solve  $v_i$  by first step analysis.

Since time till absorption does not matter, we have If we let  $w_i$  denote the expected time until absorption when X(0) = i, then by first step analysis.  $w_i = \mathbb{E}[\text{time to make first jump}] + \sum_{j \in S, j \neq i} P_{ij} w_j$ .

# 3.7 Stationary distribution

Definition: A stationary distribution for a continuous time Markov chain is a distribution such that  $\pi = \pi P(t), t \geq 0$ .

Theorem: [Global balance equation] For a continuous time Markov chain  $\{X(t)\}$  with the infinitesimal generator G, a distribution  $\pi$  is stationary if and only if  $\pi G = 0$ . It can be written as  $\sum_{j \neq i} \pi_i q_{ij} = v_j \pi_j$  for any  $j \in S$ . Intuitively, it suggests that for a distribution to be stationary, the long run rate into state j should be equal to the long run rate out of state j.

## 3.8 Limiting distribution

**Definition**: For a continuous time Markov chain  $\{X(t)\}$  with state space S and transition probability matrix P(t), and let  $P_j = \lim_{t\to\infty} P_{ij}(t)$  for  $j \in S$ , then  $\{P_j\}_{j\in S}$  is called the limiting distribution of

Note that if a limiting distribution exists, it must be stationary. If we know that a limiting distribution exists, then finding stationary distribution is equivalent to finding limiting distribution. A sufficient condition for ergodic chain is that the chain only has one communication class, and is positive recurrent.

Suppose  $\pi$  is the limiting distribution for the continuous time chain. Suppose the embedded chain has a limiting distribution  $\psi$  which satisfies  $\psi = \psi P$ , then  $\pi G = 0 \implies \pi_j v_j = \sum_i \pi_i q_{ij} = \sum_i \pi_i \frac{v_i q_{ij}}{v_i} =$  $\sum_{i} \pi_{i} v_{i} P_{ij}$ . Note that  $\psi_{i}, \pi_{i}, v_{i}$  are constants, thus we could fix constant C such that  $\psi_i$ ,  $u_i$ ,  $u_i$  are constants, thus we could fix constant C such that  $\psi_i = C\pi_i v_i$  and hence  $\psi_j = C\pi_j v_j = C\sum_i \pi_i v_i P_{ij} = \sum_i \psi_i P_{ij}$ . By the fact that  $\sum_i \psi_i = \sum_i C\pi_i v_i = 1$ , we have  $C = \frac{1}{\sum_i \pi_i v_i}$ , and hence  $\psi_i = \frac{\pi_i v_i}{\sum_j \pi_j v_j}$ . Similarly, if  $\psi$  is the limiting distribution of the embedded chain, we have  $\pi_i = \frac{\int \psi_i/v_i}{\sum_j \psi_i/v_i}$ . Note that the existence of either  $\psi$  or  $\pi$  is not implied.

## 3.9 Time reversibility

As  $t \to \infty$ ,  $\{X(t)\}$  achieves a limiting distribution. We then set up  $\{Y(t)\}$  by Y(s) = X(t-s) for  $s \in [0,t]$ . Assuming t is large, so  $\{Y(t)\}$  is long enough. The staying time in state i for  $\{Y(t)\}$  also follows  $Exp(v_i)$ . Let the jump probability matrix for  $\{Y(t)\}\$  be Q, we have  $Q_{ij}=\frac{\pi_j v_j P_{ji}}{\pi_i v_i}$ . If Q=P, then the probability structure for  $\{X(t)\},\{Y(t)\}$  are the

Definition: We call a continuous time Markov chain time reversible in the sense that the process reversed in time has the same probabilistic structure as the original process.

Hence, we must have  $Q_{ij} = P_{ij} \iff \frac{\pi_j v_j P_{ji}}{\pi_i v_i} =$  $P_{ij} \iff \pi_j v_j P_{ji} = \pi_i v_i P_{ij} \iff \pi_j q_{ji} = \pi_i q_{ij}$  for  $i, j \in S$ . The set of equations is called local balance equations. Intuitively, it implies that the rate from state i to j is equal to the rate from state j to i. Note that if we could find  $\pi$  that satisfies the local balance equation, then  $\pi$  also satisfies the global balance equation, and thus is the limiting distribution. **Theorem:** A time reversible chain with limiting probability  $\pi$  that is truncated to  $A \subseteq S$  and reprobability  $\pi$  that is truncated to  $A \subseteq S$  and to mains irreducible is also time reversible and has the limiting probability  $\pi^A$  defined by  $\pi^A_j = \frac{\pi_j}{\sum_{i \in A} \pi_i}$ .

**Theorem**: If  $\{X_i(t)\}_i$  are independent and time reversible continuous time Markov chain, then the vector process  $(X_1(t), \ldots, X_n(t))$  is also time reversible.

## 4 Martingale

#### 4.1 Discrete time

**Definition**: Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a discrete time stochastic process. We call it a martingale if  $\mathbb{E}[|X_n|] < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|X_n, X_{n-1}, \dots, X_0] = X_n.$ 

Therefore, a Markov chain is a martingale if and only if  $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|X_n] = X_n$ .

Recall that a stopping time T is a variable where the event  $\{T=n\}$  depends on  $X_0,\ldots,X_n$  only.

Theorem: [Optional stopping theorem] Suppose  $\{X_n\}$  is a martingale and T is a stopping time. Assume  $P(T < \infty) = 1$  and  $X_{\min(n,T)}$  is uniformly integrable, then  $\mathbb{E}[X_T] = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ . One sufficient condition for uniform integrability is that  $\mathbb{E}[|X_T|] < \infty$ and  $\mathbb{E}[X_n|T>n]P(T>n)\to 0$  as  $n\to\infty$ .

# 4.2 General definition

Consider a measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ , define the division  $A_y = Y^{-1}(y) = \{\omega \in \Omega : Y(\omega) = y\}$ . Then the  $\sigma$ -field generated by  $A_y$  denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_y$  contains countable unions and intersections of elements in  $A_y$ . We have  $\mathbb{E}[X|Y] = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{F}_y]$ .

**Definition**: Consider a series of  $\sigma$ -fields  $\mathcal{F}_i$  on  $\Omega$ , such a series is called a filtration if  $\mathcal{F}_i \subset \mathcal{F}_{i+1}$ . In particular, define  $\mathcal{F}_n$  as the  $\sigma$ -field formed by  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ as the canonical filtration. **Definition**: A martingale is an ordered pair

 $(X_n, \mathcal{F}_n)$  where  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$  is a filtration and  $\{X_n\}$  is a stochastic process adapted to  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}$ , where  $\mathbb{E}[|X_n|] <$  $\infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n$ .

If we define  $D_0 = 0$  and  $D_n = X_n - X_{n-1}$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[D_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = X_n - X_n = 0$ . For Markov chain, it is equivalent to  $\mathbb{E}[D_{n+1}|X_n]=0$ . Consider a martingale  $\{(X_n,\mathcal{F}_n)\}$  and a stochastic process  $\{V_n\}$ where  $V_n$  is measurable on  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ , then define  $V_n$  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} V_k D_k$ , called the martingale transform of V with respect to X. If  $\mathbb{E}[|V_n|^1]$  and  $\mathbb{E}[|X_n|^p]$  exists for some  $1 \le p, q < \infty$  such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ , then  $Y_n$  is

a martingale. **Definition**: The random variable T is a stopping time for the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_n$  if  $\{T=n\}\in\mathcal{F}_n$  for all

#### 4.3 Continuous time

**Definition**: The ordered pair  $(X(t), \mathcal{F}(t))$  where  $t \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$  is a continuous time martingale if the  $\sigma$ fields  $\mathcal{F}_t$  form a filtration, and X(t) is integrable and adapted on  $\mathcal{F}(t)$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X(t+h)|\mathcal{F}(t)]=X(t)$  holds for all  $t \geq 0$  and h > 0.

If an integrable stochastic process with canonical filtration has independent increments, and  $\mathbb{E}[X_t] = \mu$ for any t, then it is a martingale. Similarly, for stochastic process  $V_t$ , define the martingale transform  $Y_t = \int_0^t V_s dX_s$ , which is also a martingale.

Optional stopping theorem follows with an additional requirement of being right continuous:  $\lim_{h\to 0} X(t+$ h) = X(t).

# 5 Renewal process

## 5.1 Definition

**Definition**: If a stochastic process  $\{X_n\}$  has independent and identical sojourn time distribution F, then the counting process N(t) is said to be a renewal process.

To figure out the distribution of N(t), note that  $N(t) \ge k \iff W_k = \sum_{i=1}^k X_i \le t \implies P(N(t) = k) = P(N(t) \ge k) - P(N(t) \ge k + 1) = P(W_k \le k)$  $(t) - P(W_{k+1} \le t) = F_k(t) - F_{k+1}(t)$  By convolution formula,  $F_k(t) = \int_0^t F_{k-1}(y) dF(y)$ . **Definition**: Let  $M(t) = \mathbb{E}[N(t)]$  be the renewal func-

tion. We condition on the time of first renewal  $X_1 = x$ , if x > t, clearly N(t) = 0. Otherwise, the process will restart itself at x. By law of total expectation,  $M(t) = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[N(t)|X_1]] = \int_0^t \mathbb{E}[N(t)|X_1] =$ x|f(x)dx. Since the process restarts itself at x, we have  $\mathbb{E}[N(t)|X_1 = x] = \mathbb{E}[N(t-x)] + 1 = M(t-x) + 1$ , thus  $M(t) = \int_0^t (1 + M(t - x))f(x)dx = F(t) +$  $\int_0^t M(t-x)f(x)dx$ . We call the integral equation as the renewal equation. Take derivative on both sides to obtain a differential equation.

#### 5.2 Limiting theorems

**Theorem**:  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X_k], P(\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{N(t)}{t} = \frac{1}{\mu}) = 1$ 

Theorem:  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{M(t)}{t} = \frac{1}{\mu}$ 

**Theorem**:[Central limit theorem] Let  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X_k]$  and  $\sigma^2 = Var(X_k), \text{ then } \frac{Var(N(t))}{t} \to \frac{\sigma^2}{\mu^3} \text{ when } t \to \infty,$  and so we have  $\lim_{t\to\infty} P(\frac{N(t)-t/\mu}{\sqrt{t\sigma^2\mu^3}} < x) = P(Z \le t)$ x). Approximately,  $N(t) \sim N(\frac{t}{u}, \frac{t\sigma^2}{u^3})$ 

## 5.3 Variants

**Definition**: Consider a renewal process N(t), having interarrival time  $X_n$  and suppose that each time a renewal occurs we receive a reward denoted by  $R_n$ . Assume  $R_n$  are identical and independent random variables and define  $R(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N(t)} R_n$  as the renewal

**Theorem:** If  $\mathbb{E}[R_n] < \infty$  and  $\mathbb{E}[X_n] < \infty$ , then with probability 1 we have  $\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{R(t)}{t}=\frac{\mathbb{E}[R_n]}{\mathbb{E}[X_n]}$  and  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}[R(t)]}{t} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[R_n]}{\mathbb{E}[X_n]}.$ 

Intuitively, it suggests that long-run performance will converge to expected single-cycle performance.

**Definition**: If a stochastic process X(t) has time points at which the process probabilistically restarts itself, then it is called a regenerative process. For example, for an ergodic MC which starts at state 0, then each time the process returns to 0, it probabilistically restarts.

Suppose X(t) is a regenerative process with states in  $\mathbb{N}$ . We are interested in the long run proportion of time that X(t) = i, which is defined as  $\frac{R(t)}{t} = \frac{\int_0^t \mathbf{1}(X(s)=i)ds}{t}$ . We consider one cycle then

 $\frac{R(t)}{t} = \frac{\mathbb{E}[R(T_1)]}{\mathbb{E}[T_1]}^t.$ Suppose we start observing the process when the component in operation at t = 0 is not new, but all subsequent renewals are new. The waiting time of all renewals follow the same distribution, except

the first one. The limiting theorems on  $\frac{N(t)}{t}$  will not be affected since it is long time result. Let  $Y_i$  be identical and independent with  $P(Y_i = j) = p_j$ , consider the sequence  $\{(Y_{n-r+1}, Y_{n-r+2}, \dots, Y_n)\}$ , we are interested in the event that  $(Y_{n-r+1}, Y_{n-r+2}, \dots, Y_n) = (y_1, \dots, y_r)$  the pattern of interest. Every time when the event happens, we say a renewal occurs at time n, let N(n)denote the number of renewals by time n. This is a delayed renewal process since for the first renewal, we do not have prior information about the past, hence the distribution for  $X_1$  is different. Consider a delayed renewal process, where  $X_2$  onwards follow F and  $X_1$  follows that  $P(X_1 \leq x) =$  $\frac{1}{\mu} \int_0^x (1 - F(y)) dy$ . We have  $M(t) = \frac{t}{\mu}$ , and  $N(t + \frac{t}{\mu})$ (s) - N(s) has same distribution with N(t) - N(0). We call it stationary renewal process.

## 6 Brownian motion

**Definition**: A stochastic process X(t) is called Brownian motion if X(0) = 0, X(t) has staionary and independent increments and  $X(t) \sim N(0, \sigma^2 t)$ . Consider a k-dimensional vector  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_k)^T$ with mean vector  $\mu$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . We say X is multivariate normal distributed if joint density is defined by  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_k)$  $(2\pi)^{-\frac{k}{2}} |\Sigma|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\right).$  Each entry follows a marginal normal distribution  $X_i \sim$  $N(\mu_i, \Sigma_{ii})$ . If  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  are independent normal random variables then they jointly follow multivariate normal distribution. For any dimension compatible vector or matrix A, the affine combination of the property of the state of the property nation AX + b follows  $N(A\mu + b, A\Sigma A^T)$ . If k-dimensional X is partitioned as  $(X_1, X_2)^T$ , then accordingly we partition  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2)^T$  and  $\Sigma =$  $(\Sigma_{11}, \Sigma_{12}, \Sigma_{21}, \Sigma_{22})$ , then the conditional distribution for  $X_1|X_2$  is that  $X_1|X_2 \sim N(\mu_1 + \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}(X_2 - X_2))$  $\mu_2$ ),  $\Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}$ ).

Consider a Brownian motion with parameter  $\sigma$ , then for any single time point  $t, X(t) \sim N(0, \sigma^2 t)$ , for multiple time points  $t_1, \ldots, t_n, X \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \Sigma)$ , where  $\Sigma_{ij} = \min(t_i, t_j).$ 

**Definition**: Standard Brownian motion is a Brownian motion with  $\sigma = 1$ .

# 6.1 Properties and variants

Define  $T_{\alpha} = \min\{t \geq 0 : X(t) = \alpha\}$ , by independent normal increment, we have  $P(T_{\alpha} \leq t) = 2\Phi(-\frac{|\alpha|}{\sigma \sqrt{t}})$ . Define  $M(t) = \max_{s \in [0,t]} X(s)$ , then  $P(M(t) \ge \alpha) =$  $P(T_{\alpha} \leq t)$ , hence the density function is  $f_{M(t)}(\alpha) =$ 

 $\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2t}}\exp(-\frac{a^2}{2\sigma^2t})$  **Definition**: A Brownian motion with drift parameter  $\mu$  and variance parameter  $\sigma$  has X(t) ~

 $N(\mu t, \sigma^2 t)$ . Everything same as non-drift Brownian motion except mean becomes  $\mu t_i$  for  $X(t_i)$  in joint distribution. Suppose X(t) is a Brownian motion with drift  $\mu$ and variance  $\sigma^2$ , define  $Y(t) = e^{X(t)}$ .  $\mathbb{E}[Y(t)] =$ 

 $\mathbb{E}[e^{X(t)}] = e^{\mu t + \frac{\sigma^2 t}{2}}$ , the moment generating function of X(t) evaluated at 1.  $Var(Y(t)) = e^{2\mu t + \sigma^2 t} (e^{\sigma^2 t} - e^{2\mu t})$ 1).  $Cov(Y(s), Y(t)) = e^{\mu(t+s) + \sigma^2(t+s)/2} (e^{\sigma^2 s} - 1).$ 

## 6.2 Gaussian process

**Definition**: A stochastic process is called Gaussian process if any finite collection of  $X(t_i)$  is jointly Gaussian. It is specified by mean vector and covariance function.

**Definition**: Let B(t) be a standard Brownian motion. We call  $\{B(t)|B(1)=0,t\in[0,1]\}$  a Brownian bridge.

Brownian bridge is a Gaussian process with mean 0and covariance s(1-t) for  $s \leq t$ . The increment is stationary but not independent.

**Theorem:** If B(t) is a standard Brownian motion, then X(t) = B(t) - tB(1) for  $t \in [0, 1]$  is a Brownian

**Definition**: Let B(t) be a standard Brownian motion. Define  $X(t) = \int_0^t B(s)ds$ , it is called an integrated Brownian motion.

We have X(t) is a Gaussian process.  $\mathbb{E}[X(t)] = 0$ .  $Cov(X(s), X(t)) = s^{2}(\frac{t}{2} - \frac{s}{6}). \ Var(X(t)) = \frac{t^{3}}{3}. \ \text{The}$ increment fails to be either stationary or indepen-