

Mathematical modeling of macroalgae growth is not a new topic, although it is a reemerging one. Several authors in the second half of the twentieth century were interested in describing the growth and composition of the macroalgae *Macrocystis pyrifera*, commonly known as “giant kelp,” which grows prolifically off the coast of southern California. The very first such mathematical model was developed by W.J. North for the Kelp Habitat Improvement Project at the California Institute of Technology in 1968 using seven variables. By 1974, Nick Anderson greatly expanded on North’s work, and created the first comprehensive model of kelp growth which he programmed using FORTRAN.¹ In his model, he accounts for solar radiation intensity as a function of time of year and time of day, and refraction on the surface of the water. He uses a simple model for shading, simply specifying a parameter which determines the percentage of light which is allowed to pass through the kelp canopy floating on the surface of the water. He also accounts for attenuation due to turbidity using Beer’s Law. Using this data on the availability of light, he calculates the photosynthesis rates and the growth experienced by the kelp.

Over a decade later in 1987, G.A. Jackson² expanded on Anderson’s model for *Macrocystis*, with an emphasis on including more environmental parameters and a more complete description of the growth and decay of the kelp. He takes into account respiration, frond decay, and most importantly for my work, sub-canopy light attenuation due to self-shading. He simply adds a coefficient to the exponential decay of light as a function of depth to represent shading from kelp fronds. He doesn’t seem to consider and radial nor angular dependence on shading. Jackson also expands Anderson’s definition of canopy shading, treating the canopy not as a single layer, but as 0, 1, or 2 discrete layers, each composed of individual fronds. While this is a significant improvement over Anderson’s light model, it is still rather simplistic.

Both Anderson’s and Jackson’s model were carried out by numerically solving a system of differential equations over small time intervals. In 1990, M.A. Burgman and V.A. Gerard developed a stochastic population model.³ This approach is quite different, and functions by dividing kelp plants into groups based on size and age, and generating random numbers to determine how the population distribution over these groups changes over time, based on measured rates of growth, death, decay, light availability, etc. That same year, Nyman et. al. tested a similar model in New Zealand, as well as a Markov chain model, and compared the results with experimental data.

In 1996 and 1998 respectively, P. Duarte and J.G. Ferreira used the size-class approach to create a more general model of macroalgae growth, and Yoshimori et. al. created a differential equation model of *Laminaria religiosa* with specific emphasis on temperature dependence of growth rate. These were the some of the first models of kelp growth that did not specifically relate to *Macrocystis pyrifera* (“giant kelp”). Initially, there was a great deal of excitement about this species due to it’s incredible size and growth rate, but difficulties in harvesting and negative environmental impacts have caused scientists to investigate other kelp species.

In the last five years, a team at SINTEF, a research organization associated with the Norwegian University of Technology and Science (NTNU), based in Trondheim, Norway, has become interested in the aquaculture potential of the kelp *Saccharina latissima* for use as food, animal feed, nutrient remediation, biofuel production, and high-value chemical production among other uses. In 2012, Ole Jacob Broch, whom I have been working with for the last few weeks, published a paper with Dag Slagstad describing the model they created of the growth and composition of *S. latissima* over the course of the year. Ole Jacob Broch and Dag Slagstad, “Modelling seasonal growth and composition of the kelp *Saccharina latissima*,” *Journal of Applied Phycology* 24, no. 4 (August 2012): 759–776, accessed June 8, 2016

1. Nick Anderson, “A mathematical model for the growth of giant kelp,” *Simulation* 22, no. 4 (1974): 97–105, accessed June 22, 2016.

2. G. A. Jackson, “Modelling the growth and harvest yield of the giant kelp *Macrocystis pyrifera*,” *Marine Biology* 95, no. 4 (1987): 611–624, accessed June 22, 2016.

3. M. A. Burgman and V. A. Gerard, “A stage-structured, stochastic population model for the giant kelp *Macrocystis pyrifera*,” *Marine Biology* 105, no. 1 (1990): 15–23, accessed June 22, 2016.