Oliver Gilan & Rishab Ravikumar

Asst 01: ++malloc

Francisco

15 October 2019

README:

.metadata

size: 1 byte

allocated space terminator: '\x1F'

freed space terminator: '\x1E'

.malloc

Pass in requested allocation size. Pass it first available block of space as pointer and add allocated space terminator to (pointer+size).

To find first available block of space:

- Start at beginning of block and iterate one byte at a time. tsize = size
- 2. If index does not contain allocated space terminator decrement tsize by 1. Set pointer to index address.
- 3. If index contains allocated space terminator, reset tsize to original size and continue.
- 4. If index contains freed space terminator, check ahead
 - a. If next terminator is allocated space terminator, break and use index after allocated space terminator
 - b. If next terminator is freed space terminator, break and continue using free space.
- 5. If tsize = 0, enough space has been found. Set index to allocated space terminator.
 - a. Return pointer
- 6. If no spaces exist for size: communicate overflow error

ex. if each "0" is a byte, '0' is allocated space terminator, '\$'
is freed space terminator:

.free

if ptr is out of bounds of myblock, return invalid pointer.

if index directly before pointer does not contain a terminator,
return invalid pointer.

Iterate from pointer towards first terminator after it.

- if terminator is freed space terminator, return error: already freed pointer
- 2. if terminator is allocated space terminator, change to freed space terminator and break

.workloads

Test A average runtime: 0.689150 seconds

Test B average runtime: 0.527720 seconds

Test C average runtime: 0.295380 seconds

Test D average runtime: 0.391280 seconds

Test E average runtime: 0.055680 seconds

Test F average runtime: 0.098330 seconds

These were consistently the average runtimes we got for our test workloads over 100 iterations. The thing that stands out to us is test A running so slow. We double checked our block to make sure it was properly allocating and freeing and it was so the runtime is simply a strange time for it. All the runtimes varied a lot but test A was consistently the slowest. B was then the second slowest followed by tests C and D. We expected C and D to run the slowest because you have to allocate space a random number of times so one would expect it to take longer. We wonder if there is some sort of compiler optimization going on under the hood to cause this. Test A shouldn't theoretically be the longest when you have tests C and D allocating a random number of times more than test A does so it's quite peculiar. Tests E and F were the fastest as expected because they malloc and free many less times than the previous tests.