



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATICS

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Master's Thesis in Informatics

# **Detection and Dialog-Based Self-Reporting of Stress for Eating Behavior Prediction**

Wenjian Li





DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATICS

TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT MÜNCHEN

Master's Thesis in Informatics

# **Detection and Dialog-Based Self-Reporting of Stress for Eating Behavior Prediction**

## **Erkennen und dialogbasiertes Self-Reporting von Stress zur Vorhersage des Essverhaltens**

Author:	Wenjian Li
Supervisor:	Prof. Dr. Georg Groh
Advisor:	Monika Wintergerst
Submission Date:	15.05.2020



I confirm that this master's thesis in informatics is my own work and I have documented all sources and material used.

Munich, 15.05.2020

Wenjian Li

## Acknowledgments

I would like to thank everyone who has offered me help and support on this thesis:

Prof. Dr. Georg Groh, for his supervision throughout the project and the inspirations he's been giving me during my studies at TUM, leading my way into the amazing field of social computing.

Ms. Monika Wintergerst, who has offered me great advice, guidance, and feedback with her expertise and patience, as well as care and understanding in this difficult time of the global pandemic.

Audrey, who has always been supporting and encouraging me not only throughout the project, but also my life, with her beautiful soul and unconditional love.

My mom Chunyan and my dad Zhenliang, who are not only parents but also life teachers, always supporting my education and guiding me with positivity and wisdom.

All the participants in the studies, who have provided crucial input and feedback to the project.

Last but not least, my friends, who have been offering me great social support despite social distancing.

# Abstract

Eating is a highly emotional behavior which can be affected by stress, and the eating patterns under stress are different among individuals. In this thesis, methods were proposed to predict individuals' eating behaviors based on their stress levels. A chatbot was developed to detect stress based on historical data, process conversations with its users, and record eating behavior data. After user studies, several predictive models were trained for selected candidates using machine learning approaches. The best-performing models were chosen to generate stress-eating reports evaluated by the candidates. It was proven that this method was able to predict individuals' eating behavior based on conversational data, despite the relatively small data size.

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Goal and Scope</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1. Goal . . . . .	3
2.2. Scope of Stress Detection . . . . .	4
2.3. Scope of Stress Self-Reporting . . . . .	4
2.4. Definition of Eating Behaviors . . . . .	5
2.5. Definition of Comfort Food . . . . .	6
<b>3. Related Work</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1. The Effect of Emotions and Stress on Eating . . . . .	7
3.1.1. The Effect of Emotions on Eating . . . . .	7
3.1.2. The Effect of Stress on Eating . . . . .	8
3.2. Chatbots as Behavioral Change and Dietary Advisors . . . . .	8
3.3. Stress Detection using Smartphone . . . . .	9
3.4. Intrusiveness and Timing of Digital Intervention . . . . .	10
3.5. Review of a Similar System . . . . .	11
<b>4. System Design</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1. The Rasa Framework . . . . .	13
4.1.1. Why Rasa . . . . .	13
4.1.2. Main Components of Rasa . . . . .	14
4.1.3. Interaction with the Telegram Bot API . . . . .	17
4.1.4. Training Data . . . . .	20
4.1.5. Custom Actions . . . . .	21
4.2. Stress Detection with Adaptive Sampling . . . . .	22
4.3. Collection and Processing of Eating Behavior Data . . . . .	24
4.3.1. Food Descriptions . . . . .	25
4.3.2. Food Amount Compared to the Non-Stress State . . . . .	25

4.3.3. Number of Pieces . . . . .	26
4.4. Conversation Flow . . . . .	26
4.5. Data Persistence . . . . .	27
<b>5. Experiment</b>	<b>32</b>
5.1. Participants . . . . .	32
5.2. Process . . . . .	33
<b>6. Data Analysis</b>	<b>34</b>
6.1. Data Gathered . . . . .	35
6.2. Data Pre-Processing . . . . .	35
6.3. Model Selection . . . . .	36
6.4. Profile Generation . . . . .	36
<b>7. Result</b>	<b>38</b>
7.1. Result of Model Cross-Validation and User Evaluation . . . . .	38
7.2. Result of Adaptive Sampling of Stress . . . . .	41
<b>8. Limitations</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>9. Future Work</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>10. Conclusion</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>A. Rasa Training Data</b>	<b>47</b>
A.1. domain.yml . . . . .	47
A.2. nlu.md . . . . .	52
A.3. stories.md . . . . .	59
<b>B. Model Selection and Training Results for the Candidates</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>C. Complete Collection of Statements Describing the Candidates' Eating Behaviors</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>69</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>70</b>
<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>71</b>

# 1. Introduction

Eating is an activity that people perform on a daily basis. It is the essential source of ingredients for us humans. Our nutrition intake, in turn, affects our health. However, people's choice of food cannot be simply regulated in terms of time and ingredients to make the best health effect out of it, because it is a highly emotional behavior (Gardner et al. 2014; Locher et al. 2005). According to Gardner et al., both positive and negative moods affect food choices. Especially, having negative moods often leads one to pick indulgent food instead of healthy food to cope with the emotion.

Stress is a common reaction to the environment that is often linked to negative emotions. Du et al. (2018) suggested that there is a significant positive correlation between the level of stress one has and the degree of negative emotions one experiences. Combining the results from both studies, it is therefore highly likely that food choices could be affected by stress.

A study by Mental Health Foundation (2018) suggested that a majority of the population in the United Kingdom may have been overwhelmed with stress at some time in 2018. This finding indicated that many of the health problems resulted from unhealthy eating behaviors could be linked to stress. However, regulating eating behavior often requires a deep understanding of nutrition and diet, which is not the possession of non-experts. Some professionals are out there to offer counseling services on people's choices of food, but this is understandably not always accessible by the general public, given the pervasiveness of stress among them. Moreover, the specific eating behaviors resulted from stress differ among individuals (Torres and Nowson 2007). For example, the same level of stress can lead to overeating for one person, but undereating for another. It is, therefore, crucial to work out an individual's eating behavior under the influence of stress without professional medical intervention. This information can help build food recommendation systems that can detect stress and recommend healthy food based on the user's eating patterns. The prerequisite of such is to create another (predictive) method so that given a specific user and his/her stress level, it can predict what the user is likely to eat, especially whether he/she is expected to eat more or less than usual. This thesis focuses on establishing methods to build such a system.

The first step is to collect user data, specifically, data on users' stress and eating



behavior, as well as the relations between them. One way of doing this is to use a chatbot. Compared with more explicit means of acquiring data, such as questionnaires or interviews, a chatbot is less intrusive. It offers the possibility to collect data in a real-world setting instead of in laboratories. On the other hand, users are likely to be more adherent to chatbots compared to other types of cognitive-behavioral therapeutic (CBT) apps such as self-help web-based therapy (Barak et al. 2008) given their conversational and human-like nature, which is crucial in the context of this research (Fitzpatrick et al. 2017).

This thesis presents the design, implementation, and testing of a chatbot, which collects data on the users' stress information and food consumed while being stressed. It presents methods to build a connection between the two on a per-user basis, i.e., building a stress-eating profile for a potential user. The following chapters will provide details regarding the design, realization, and evaluation of such a system. Chapter 2 formalizes the goal and requirements of the project. It will put the terms "stress detection", "dialogue-based self-reporting of stress" and "eating behaviors" into the context of this work. Chapter 3 summarizes previous works related to the topic of this research, which focused on the effect of emotion on eating, chatbots as dietary advisors, and stress detection utilizing data collected by smartphones and wearable smart devices. Chapter 4 offers the details of the system design, focusing on the framework used for building the chatbot, the detection and self-reporting of stress, the collection and processing of eating behavior data, the design of states in the conversation flow, and how data was persisted for processing and analysis. Chapter 5 presents how the chatbot was tested among pilot users, including the recruitment process, the process of data collection, and the methods for evaluation of such experiment. This will be followed by chapter 6 explaining the data gathered, including the data size and content, and how the data was trained to result in predictive models. Chapter 7 demonstrates the result of both the data processing and the feedback from the participants.

There are certain limitations of this research, which are discussed in chapter 8. Since this study aims at building a foundation for more sophisticated systems related to stress eating, e.g., food recommendation systems, chapter 9 is going to give suggestions to future work. Finally, chapter 10 will conclude this thesis.

## 2. Goal and Scope

Developing a system to serve the purposes as introduced in the previous chapter is a complicated task that covers a wide area of knowledge and various domains. Therefore, it is essential to define the scope of the study, i.e. the goal it aims to achieve, and the scope which the key terms of it is supposed to cover.

### 2.1. Goal

The goal of this study is to find a method to create a stress-eating profile for a random individual, based on his/her stress and eating data. More specifically, it tries to build a pipeline along which the following data can be collected via a conversational agent (which in this project is a chatbot) that interacts with its user on a daily basis:

- Data on the individual's level of stress at the time of measure, which is either detected by the conversational agent or reported by the individual through conversations
- Data on the individual's level of stress during the days for a measurement period of more than 2 weeks
- Data on the individual's food consumed when he/she was stressed at the time of measure, as self-reported by the individual to the conversational agent
- Data on the individual's amount of food consumed during the days for a measurement period of more than 2 weeks

after which it builds a classification model for the individual based on the data collected that predicts whether the individual is likely to eat more or less under the influence of stress.

Furthermore, it is expected that the method can be applied to more users over a longer period of time, and ultimately provide insights and input for other applications, such as a dietary adviser or a food recommendation system.

The following sections will define the scope of this project.

## 2.2. Scope of Stress Detection

Some of the most predominant research on stress detection (Zhai and Barreto 2006; Sun et al. 2012; Melillo et al. 2011) all rely on sensor data. Sensors, especially those embedded in smart devices such as smartwatches and smart armbands, have the advantage of accessing health data including heart rate, sleep, and physical exercises, which is, on the one hand, non-intrusive, and on the other, hardly available by other forms of non-intrusive daily-used data-providers such as smartphone apps. Some smartphones are also equipped with such sensors, but their data quality is relatively poor, considering that users are not carrying the phone all the time. However, for this study, we chose not to utilize wearable smart devices because the data qualities provided by different smart devices vary. Meanwhile, this factor had to be controlled to obtain an accurate and unbiased prediction of stress across users.

Furthermore, no contextual information such as GPS data and social activities being performed by the participants was utilized, for the chatbot platform (Rasa) (Rasa 2020) and API (Telegram Bot API) (Telegram 2020) chosen to be used do not support the gathering of such data in the background, making it intrusive to try to get these contexts (for example, in order to get the social activity a user is performing, the bot has to ask explicitly for where he/she is, whom he/she is with, and what exactly is he/she doing). More information on the choice of the framework can be found in chapter 4.

Instead, stress detection is done with a two-step process solely relying on simple conversations between the chatbot and the user. First, stress is sampled three times per day at fixed hours for every participant, i.e, the chatbot decides to ask about the user's level of stress at these timestamps. Second, based on the answers collected from the users in the first two weeks, a weighted clustering algorithm is performed on the timestamps to determine the scheduled time of the coming day of stress detection. The number of clusters is determined by the stress states of the user in the past two days. If the user was stressed the day before, stress is going to be sampled three times the day after, hence three clusters. If the user was not stressed the day before but was two days before, two clusters will be trained, leading to asking for stress twice in the coming day. Otherwise, only one cluster is going to be trained. Figure 2.1 illustrates the way this adaptive stress-sampling works.

## 2.3. Scope of Stress Self-Reporting

Users of the system have the option to actively report stress in the form of a conversation with the chatbot. The self-reporting uses natural language, and specifically in this




Figure 2.1.: The adaptive stress-sampling process

project, English, as a natural language understanding (NLU) module in English is trained in this project. No other forms of interaction are involved.

## 2.4. Definition of Eating Behaviors

In this research, the so-called “eating behaviors” refer to patterns in food consumption. The scope of such patterns differs in two scenarios, under one of which is the data the system collects which is related to eating. This data is used as input to a supervised learning scheme which builds predictive models. Under the other scenario, i.e. when such models are put into use, “eating behaviors” refer to food consumption patterns of the participants and future users that the models try to predict.

In the first scenario, the chatbot collects both descriptive and categorical data. It asks the participants to describe the food consumed, in natural language, at the time when they are stressed, or at the end of the day. In addition, it invites the participants to reflect the amount of food consumed during the day, and in case the participants have been stressed during the day, to compare this amount with the amount they are likely to eat when they are not stressed.

In the second scenario, the models try to predict only the categorical data related to food consumption, i.e. the amount of food likely to be consumed by a user given

his/her stress level. In addition, it tries to predict whether the user is likely to eat any comfort food, which is defined in the coming section.

## **2.5. Definition of Comfort Food**

The term “comfort food” is often used to describe food, which offers comforting feelings to someone, eaten by this specific person who is being stressed. However, it is often ambiguous and its exact meaning differs in various contexts. Tomiyama et al.; Locher et al.; Spence (2011; 2005; 2017) focused on different properties of comfort food, while agree on the fact that it often contains calorie-dense food. Among them, Locher et al. (2005) offers a comprehensive categorization to comfort food into nostalgic foods, indulgence foods, convenience foods, and physical comfort foods. Comfort foods from all four categories are likely to be associated with the relief of stress, which is closely related to the topic of this research.

Since the chatbot was designed to be non-intrusive, it is unlikely to identify the social contexts the users are in and subsequently categorize the food they have eaten into one of the four categories Locher et al. presented. However, as Locher et al. also suggested, the definition of comfort food is highly personal. Therefore, this project invited the participants to define what comfort food is for themselves at an individual level. This works, because in the end, individual models are built for each participant, and the definition of comfort food for one user is irrelevant to that for another. Technically, this was done by providing each user a survey towards the end of the chatbot trial (data collection phase) which contained all food items he or she had reported. The user, given the definition of comfort food, needed to label whether each food item is considered comfort food or not by himself or herself. Accordingly, each stress-eating entry was labeled with the binary value of being or not being comfort food, which became part of the training data.

## 3. Related Work

This chapter presents some of the related work focusing on topics concerning this project, as discussed in the previous chapters. This includes work in the areas of the effect of emotions and stress on eating, chatbots as dietary advisors and other forms of behavioral change, stress detection using smartphones, and the intrusiveness and timing of digital intervention, followed by a brief review of a similar study which used dialogue systems to assess stress eating.

### 3.1. The Effect of Emotions and Stress on Eating

As was discussed in chapter 1, both emotions and stress affect eating choices. This topic has been widely researched in a multitude of fields, including psychology, nutrition, and computer science.

#### 3.1.1. The Effect of Emotions on Eating

Gardner et al. (2014) investigated how mood influences food choice. Here, mood is treated as an equivalence of emotion. The paper paid particular attention to the different mechanisms with which positive and negative moods affect food choices, pointing out that positive moods are more likely to benefit long-term goals such as health, resulting in choices of healthy food, while negative moods cue the need of relief from such moods, leading to choices of indulgent foods which often contain high levels of calories. The latter is physiologically plausible since foods with high levels of fat and sugar trigger the release of endorphins which helps with creating affectionate and happy mood (Gold et al. 1995).

Meinel (2019) also researched on the relations between an individual's emotional state and eating behavior, focusing on which specific emotions impact eating behavior the most. He made a clearer distinction among affects, emotions, and moods, which are otherwise often used interchangeably in research works. "Core affect" was defined as a rather general feeling, e.g. a sense of pleasure or displeasure. Emotions are caused by external or internal events and are triggered immediately after the events. In contrast, moods often last longer and do not necessarily connect to specific events. Based on

these definitions, Meinel conducted a survey among nutrition and diet experts, finding that emotions and moods which are on the positive and neutral spectrum of core affects are less likely to relate with eating behaviors. These moods and emotions include calm/relaxed, joy, hostility, self-assurance, confusion, and excitement. On the contrary, the most affecting emotions and moods on eating turned out to be frustrated/irritated, anger, tense, fear, sad, hopeless, bored, tired, and guilt.

#### **3.1.2. The Effect of Stress on Eating**

Torres and Nowson (2007) explored the widely accepted belief that stress influences human eating behavior, researching on the mechanism of such influence. They found that stress indeed influences eating patterns in humans. In case of such influences, stress can alter one's food intake in two ways, resulting in either over-eating or under-eating, which could be influenced by the severity of the stressor. Additionally, like Gardner et al., Torres and Nowson looked into not only the immediate effect of such influence, but also the long-term effect, concluding that chronic life stress might lead to a stronger preference towards energy and nutrient-dense foods, which in turn is one of the causes of weight gain, and in worse cases, obesity. Demographic factors were also taken into consideration, where it appeared that such effect is more significant in men than women.

## **3.2. Chatbots as Behavioral Change and Dietary Advisors**

The use of chatbots as behavioral change advisors, or specifically, dietary advisors, has been commonplace, thanks to the benefits of chatbots as discussed in chapter 1. A typical process of such advising or intervention consists of two steps. The first step is to understand the conditions of the user, and the second is to give advice based on the conditions. The particular conditions depend on the goal of the application. For instance, as Meinel investigated the influence of moods and emotions on eating, he implemented a chatbot to track the emotional states of the user, especially those related to the most affecting moods and emotions. This system serves the data collection purpose only, and could potentially provide the data to other applications. However, it itself is not a dietary advisor. This thesis follows a similar approach that the chatbot collects data but does not provide recommendations. Nevertheless, the data includes both stress and food information, and a method to build prediction models is also going to be presented.

Like Meinel, Horner (2019) also tried to collect data using chatbots for future recommendations. Instead of focusing on the impact of moods and emotions, he targeted at

collecting food data according to the Food Frequency Questionnaire (National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health 2020b) and 24 Hour Dietary Recall (National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health 2020a), which are two popular questionnaires for standardizing food consumption. It is worth noting that Horner paid particular attention to the frequency of intervention, designing the system to ask fewer questions as possible to reduce the intrusiveness of the chatbot. Other related work and theories on the timing of intervention are to be presented in section 3.4. Likewise, my research also utilizes food data. Compared to Horner, however, the data collected in this thesis is quantitative instead of qualitative. This is because this thesis aims at providing data showing the trend of a user's stress eating patterns, while the particular food items are of secondary importance.

There are, nonetheless, a wide range of works that have already explored the recommendation part of the story. Leipold et al. (2018) developed a nutrition recommender system that is personalized, compared to many of its counterparts which only focused on the trend in the general population and the goal of losing weight. They underlined the importance of visual feedback by presenting a considerable proportion of information as graphics. The underlying recommender system is knowledge-based, consisting of four main components: a nutritional food database, a user nutrition profile, a recipe database, and a knowledge-based utility function for each nutrient (Leipold et al. 2018). It turned out that usability remained a major challenge of developing such a system, which can understandably be generalized to many similar dialog systems.

### 3.3. Stress Detection using Smartphone

This section summarizes two closely related research papers (Ciman, Wac, and Gaggi 2015; Ciman and Wac 2016) that have exploited smartphone usage data to detect stress. Such data includes, but is not limited to, the applications used, the usage patterns of the phone such as screen time, the patterns of typing using a keyboard such as typing speed and mistakes made, and speed and pressure of certain gestures (scrolling and swiping). The authors categorized the human-smartphone interaction (HSI) patterns into a layered structure. Figure 3.1 shows this structure. The first research (Ciman, Wac, and Gaggi 2015) was conducted in a laboratory setting, utilizing level 0 and level 1 of HSI data. The second research (Ciman and Wac 2016) was a continuation of the first one, which was conducted in both laboratory and in-the-wild settings, utilizing levels 0, 1, and 3 of HSI data. User studies showed that typing speed, typing errors, and the types of applications used during the day are the most prominent features related to stress.






Figure 3.1.: Human-smartphone interaction levels (Ciman and Wac 2016)

While these two research papers provided valuable insight into a completely non-intrusive way of gathering user data for stress prediction which does not rely on any external devices other than smartphones, implementing its theory in reality is rather challenging. On the one hand, few mobile operating systems provide developers the freedom of tracking every gesture and keyboard input of the user. While on the other, gathering information on application usage greatly depends on the platform. It by no means rules out the possibility of building an application that is able to acquire such data, but it is likely to be an integrated one operating on both the OS level and application level, the development of which is time-demanding. Therefore, it does not serve the goal of this thesis, where the chatbot is based on a light-weight open-source platform that has no access to users' phone data.

### 3.4. Intrusiveness and Timing of Digital Intervention

The timing of intervention is a choice that every designer of a chatbot has to make. Understandably, for a dialog system, the more frequently it interacts with the user, the more information it is likely to acquire, but the more intrusive it becomes, and vice versa. This section presents some of the previous research done in the area of timing.

In a study on using reminders or prompts to improve user engagement, Alkhaldi et al. (2016) made a systematic review on 14 studies with 9774 participants and came to the

conclusion that technology-based strategies such as prompts can promote engagement, although most reviewed researches were conducted with relatively small sample size. It also pointed out that according to one study, notifications "sent to users shortly after they started using the digital intervention were more likely to engage users".

While the previous study focused on better engaging users, Leiva et al. (2012) emphasized on the cost of interruptions that the interaction with mobile applications results in. The study was based on the Android operating system. The combination of a switch from one foreground application to another for some duration, and then a switchback is considered an interruption. A user study was conducted with the help of a dataset provided by an Android background service. It found out that the interruptions occurred in a relatively small fraction of smartphone usage, but the impact per interruption was considerable, with delayed completion of tasks by up to 4 times. This finding enhances the assumption that the timing of digital intervention is crucial not only in keeping users attached to the application but also in interfering with less of the users' normal tasks.

It is therefore obvious that system designers and software developers need to find ways to determine the best time to interact with the user, and one approach is getting to know the users' needs. In order to get the notification time right, Gültepe (2019) developed a system to predict the time when a user is likely to eat based on historical data of eating time. He collected data on a daily basis, and selected five features, i.e. day of the week, the number of meals the user has had on the day, the number of snacks, the type of the latest entry (meal or snack), and the time of that entry. The target to predict was the time until the next entry, i.e. when the user is most likely to eat next. Machine learning was used to train the regression models for prediction, where random forest and linear regression had proven to be the most prominent models. Both qualitative and quantitative measures showed that the models brought with high accuracy in predicting when a user would want to eat.

### 3.5. Review of a Similar System

With all the insight into the intrusiveness and timing of intervention, this section presents a very brief review of a system (Juodytė 2019) which is similar, both in terms of purposes and in terms of scope, with the chatbot developed in this thesis.

Juodytė proposed a method to estimate the likelihood of a user overeating under the influence of stress, and support the user with a dialog system. Like this thesis, Juodytė's result can also be potentially used to design recommender systems, or be used by therapists for making dietary plans. Instead of using a machine learning

approach that is popular among similar dialog systems, the author has chosen the Eating and Appraisal Due to Emotions and Stress (EADES) Questionnaire (Ozier et al. 2007) which helps to determine the likelihood of stress eating. In addition, physical data of the user such as gender, age, weight, and height were extracted. Combining the data, a lexical analysis determines the stress level of the user and what comes next in the conversation.

Juodytė's study provided useful insight into finding the relations between stress and eating using conversations and reacting to it. However, there are limitations in a number of areas. First, it only took overeating but not undereating into consideration. Second, the system was not tested among users, leaving the resulting model not evaluated. Last but not the least, the questionnaire contains 49 questions, which takes a considerable amount of time for a user to finish, which is, based on the theories presented earlier in this section, potentially intrusive to the user.

This thesis addresses the limitations of the above study, providing a relatively non-intrusive chatbot that was tested with and evaluated by users.

## 4. System Design

This chapter presents the system design in detail. It will start with an introduction of the main features of the framework used for developing the chatbot, Rasa, including its features and how it interacts with the Telegram Bot API that is used to communicate with the users. Then it will show how stress detection was done with adaptive sampling. After that, it will explain how eating behavior data was collected and processed. Furthermore, the conversation flow is going to be delivered in detail. The last section will present how data was persisted.

### 4.1. The Rasa Framework

Rasa (2020) is an open-source framework based on natural language processing (NLP) for developing chatbots. This section introduces why it was used and its main components and features.

#### 4.1.1. Why Rasa

The most important reason for choosing Rasa was that the software developed in this thesis was based on the project of Horner (2019) who chose Rasa as the underlying framework. However, apart from that, there are certain benefits Rasa comes with that serve very well the goals of this project. Firstly, Rasa is entirely open-source, which means it is not only free to use but also easy to customize. For example, the chatbot was designed to collect users' location data for automatic timezone conversion and image data for food reflection. These features can be easily fulfilled by modifying the social network platform connector of Rasa, which will be shown in detail later in this section. Secondly, Rasa comes along with a sophisticated natural language understanding (NLU) module for English. Developers only need to provide a small set of sample data for it to predict user intents with a low error rate. Thirdly, unlike its commercial counterparts such as Amazon's Lex and Google's Dialogflow, it does not depend on cloud infrastructure, and can instead be run as a piece of self-host software (Braun et al. 2017). This feature makes it easy to integrate other components into the chatbot. For example, a separate scheduler which not only schedules messages but also

trains the data on-the-flight was developed alongside the chatbot and interacted well with the latter.

### 4.1.2. Main Components of Rasa

Meinel (2019) gave a general review of the components of Rasa. This subsection is therefore based on his review and the requirements of this project.

#### Rasa NLU

As the name suggests, Rasa NLU is the NLU module of the Rasa framework, which is responsible for understanding the user input. Specifically, this module helps identify the intent of the user and entities in the application domain from English sentences the user sends to the bot. This is done by training an NLU model based on sample data provided by the developer. In the context of this work, the usage of this module was mainly limited to identifying users' intend to describe food and report stress. For each user input, another module called Rasa Core utilizes the NLU model to calculate the probability of each pre-defined intent and predicts the intent with the highest probability.

#### Rasa Core

While Rasa NLU takes care of understanding what a user says, Rasa Core is responsible for replying to the user appropriately. Like Rasa NLU, Rasa Core uses machine learning to train its predictive model. The training data is a set of sample conversations in the markdown format. This training data is called Rasa stories.

#### Rasa Stories

The markdown file records all stories used as training data for Rasa Core. Every single story starts with "##" followed by its name, and contains one or more user intent(s), denoted with "\*", and one or more Rasa action(s), led by "-". Here is one example of a story:

```
## reflect food
* reflect_food
  - action_send_image
* describe_food
  - action_save_data
  - utter_more_or_less
```

### Rasa Actions

An action is a response the chatbot gives to the user intent. There are two types of actions, namely *utterances* and *custom actions*. *Utterances* have to be defined in a markdown file following a certain format. Below is an example of an utterance which asks the user if he or she has eaten anything:

```
utter_did_you_eat:
- text: "Did you eat anything whilst you were stressed?"
  buttons:
  - title: Yes
    payload: /affirm
  - title: No
    payload: /deny
```

The uttered messages can have one or multiple types, which in the above case are a piece of text with two buttons. Each button can carry a payload that refers to a particular intent. When the user presses the button, its corresponding intent is sent back to the bot.




Figure 4.1.: An utterance with buttons in action

Unlike utterances that are relatively restricted, custom actions call a web server that can perform any task defined by the server and send any number of messages to the user. A typical way of implementing custom actions is to use the Python SDK offered by Rasa. Subsection 4.1.5 looks into the details of custom actions implemented in this project.

### Rasa Slots

Slots are key-value stores that provide memory to the chatbot. It can be viewed as variables shared among different actions in the Rasa service, as well as a bridge between the metadata used for training and the code used for handling Rasa actions. Slots can

be get and set via stories (Listing 4.1) or by calling getter and setter functions in custom actions (Listing 4.2). Slots make long conversations with rich information possible as they make Rasa's actions stateful.

```
* previous_stress
  - utter_ask_stress_level
* tell_stress_level{"level":"1"}
  - slot{"level":"1"}
  - action_save_data
  - utter_did_you_eat
* deny
  - utter_see_you
```

Listing 4.1: Using slots in stories to save user's stress level

```
class ActionSaveData(Action):
    def name(self) -> Text:
        return "action_save_data"
    # some lines omitted
    def run(self,
            dispatcher: CollectingDispatcher,
            tracker: Tracker,
            domain: Dict[Text, Any]) -> List[Dict[Text, Any]]:
        # some lines omitted
        reference_ids = []
        photo_timestamps = []
        if (intent == "describe_food"):
            entity = "food"
            try:
                reference_ids = ';'.join(tracker.get_slot('reference_ids'))
                photo_timestamps = ';'.join(
                    tracker.get_slot('photo_timestamps'))
            # some lines omitted
        entry_id = self.addToProfile(
            tracker.latest_message['text'].replace(',', ' '),
            tracker.sender_id,
            intent,
            entityValue,
            photo_timestamps,
```

```
reference_ids)
return [SlotSet("photo_timestamps", []),
        SlotSet("reference_ids", [entry_id])]
```

Listing 4.2: Getting and setting slots in a custom action to relate entries in persistent data. "photo\_timestamps" and "reference\_ids" are used to find the correct photos to be send to the user in the future which help the user to reflect food.

While Rasa was selected as the underlying platform the chatbot is based on, Telegram was chosen as the social platform to run the chatbot on. This was a straightforward decision since Horner was already using Telegram in his project (it's worth reminding the readers that the code of this project is based on that of Horner). And it turned out that it was easy to find enough Telegram users from a wide variety of timezones to participate in the user trial.

Rasa provided a Telegram connector which acts as an agent connecting to the Telegram Bot API. However, since Rasa is based on NLU, no photo or location data is handled by default. It is nevertheless necessary to deal with such information as it is a part of the features of this bot. The next subsection presents necessary changes made to this connector to facilitate these features.

### 4.1.3. Interaction with the Telegram Bot API

The built-in Telegram channels provided by Rasa consist of two classes, namely *TelegramOutput* and *TelegramInput*, controlling the handling of output and input messages to users, respectively. By default, the *TelegramInput* channel only handles text data. In order for the handling of images and locations to work, this class was augmented and renamed to *TelegramPlusInput*. Additionally, some other functionalities were added, which are explained below.

#### User Registration

A new user needs to be registered before his or her data can be recorded. As a standard approach, this registration is done right after the user issues the */start* command to the bot. It creates a folder and two CSV files to record the user data (Figure 4.2), without which the chatbot continues to interact with the user, but no data is collected. In case there was an error in the standard registration process (e.g. the user issued the */start* command when the server is down), the *TelegramPlusInput* channel triggers a backup process in case that a user message is received, but no folder of the respective user is found.



### User Location and Timezone

The bot needs to collect the timezone data from the users to schedule messages to them at the right time. The timezones are calculated based on locations sent by the users. On Telegram, a location is a JSON object consisting of the latitude and longitude. The *TelegramPlusInput* connector captures this object and converts it into a Python string that can be recognized by Rasa NLU. The format of this string is defined as follows:

```
## intent:tell_lat_lng
- "lng":0.0, "lat":0.0
- "lat":0.0, "lng":0.0
```

and the respective logic in the Telegram connector is

```
elif self._is_location(msg):
    text = '{"lng":{0},"lat":{1}}'.format(
        msg.location.longitude, msg.location.latitude
    )
    # store timezone information of the user
    tf = TimezoneFinder()
    latitude, longitude = msg.location.latitude, msg.location.longitude
    timezone_str = tf.timezone_at(
        lng=longitude, lat=latitude)
    with open('./user_data/timezones.csv', 'a', newline='') as csvfile:
        writer = csv.writer(
            csvfile,
            delimiter=',',
            quotechar='|',
            quoting=csv.QUOTE_MINIMAL)
        writer.writerow(
            [datetime.now(), str(msg.chat.id), timezone_str])
```

### Photos

Another important feature is to process photos sent by users to the bot. If the bot detects a user being stressed and eating at the same time, instead of asking the user to describe immediately in text what he or she is eating, it asks the user to take a photo of the food. This design choice was made under the assumption that it is relatively easier for someone who is eating to take and send a photo than to typewrite description of the food. In addition, the photos collected were included in the survey which collects

users' opinions on comfort food at the end of the user study. When a user sends a file (e.g. a photo) in a Telegram conversation, Telegram saves the photo on its server that can be accessed via the *chat\_id* which identifies the chat and a unique *file\_id* by calling the Telegram Bot API. With this feature, the Rasa bot does not need to save the photos locally, but only need to save the *chat\_id* and *file\_id*. This feature is implemented by the following code in the *TelegramPlusInput* connector.

```
if not hasattr(msg, 'photo'):
    return response.text("success")
if len(msg.photo) == 0:
    print("Not_a_photo")
    return response.text("success")
max_size = 0
for photo in msg.photo:
    if photo.file_size > max_size:
        max_size = photo.file_size
        file_id = photo.file_id
reference_id = ''
try:
    with open(
        './user_data/' + str(str(msg.chat.id)) + '/profiles.csv',
        newline='') as csvfile:
        reader = csv.reader(
            csvfile, delimiter=',', quotechar='|')
        for row in reader:
            reference_id = row[0]
except FileNotFoundError:
    pass
with open(
    './user_data/' + str(str(msg.chat.id)) + '/photos.csv',
    'a',
    newline='') as csvfile:
    writer = csv.writer(
        csvfile, delimiter=',', quotechar='|', quoting=csv.QUOTE_MINIMAL)
    writer.writerow([datetime.now(), str(
        msg.chat.id), file_id, reference_id])
return response.text("success")
```

### Demezys - the Naming of the Chatbot

Every Telegram bot has to be named. The history of chatbot is closely coupled with that of artificial intelligence, with the goal of mimicking humans and the challenge of passing the Turing's test (Turing 1950). Since its earliest days, chatbot has been given human-like names, from ELIZA to PARRY (Computer History Museum 2008). In fact, these names came about to sound like humans much earlier than chatbots themselves started to get out of the rule-based zone and use more intelligent means (such as machine learning) to process communications with humans (Shum et al. 2018). Apart from this tradition, is it widely researched that having human-like names could help a chatbot to be perceived more humanly by the actual human chatting with it (Xu and Lombard 2017; Araujo 2018). Therefore, it is crucial to give the chatbot a sensible name. The bot in this project was named Demezys, which is a combination of *Demeter* and *Oizys*. Demeter is the Greek goddess of agriculture (Kárpáti 1993) and Oizys the goddess of misery, anxiety, grief, and depression (Wikipedia 2019). This name symbolizes the combination of eating and stress at a spiritual level.

#### 4.1.4. Training Data

This subsection explains the training data used to train Rasa Core and Rasa NLU.

##### **domain.yml**

The declaration of actions, intents, slots and templates to each utterance are saved in *domain.yml* (see section A.1).

##### **NLU**

All training data regarding the intents is saved in *nlu.md* (see section A.2). It includes the food data that is used to predict the *describe\_food* intent. The items were determined according to the training data from Horner. In addition, phrases such as "I didn't eat anything when I was stressful" and "Nothing" was added to address the case when a user has no food to report.

##### **Stories**

The stories are defined in *stories.md* (see section A.3). Details about the conversation flow is to be discussed in section 4.4.




Figure 4.2.: Structure of data persistence

### 4.1.5. Custom Actions

Some of the important features of Demezys was implemented in the custom actions. This subsection discusses the details of them. A full list of custom actions can be found at section A.1.

#### User Registration

The user registration is done via the *action\_register\_user* action. This action is triggered when the user issues the */start* command to Demezys. The file path *./user\_data* is created, followed by the creation of the file *./user\_data/users.csv*, which saves the *chat\_id* of the user. Afterwards, it creates a path *./user\_data/<chat\_id>* for holding the user data, and two files *profiles.csv* and *photos.csv* under that path.

#### Saving Data

*action\_save\_data* is responsible for saving the stress and eating data in the *profiles.csv* files. The format of the data is illustrated in section 4.5. It first checks the latest intent of the user in order to take the corresponding actions.

If the intent was *describe\_food*, it will try to get the slot values of *reference\_ids* and *photo\_timestamps*, which potentially link the food photo(s) being described to a previous stress entry, building the connection between stress and eating. It could be, however, that the user was not describing food according to photo(s). This situation can be broken down into two cases. One is that the user is describing food right after he or she told the bot the stress level. In this case, the *describe\_food* entry is linked to the latest stress entry. The other case is that the user is describing food at the end of the day which does not refer to a specific stress entry but the day in general. In such a case, no stress entry is linked to the *describe\_food* entry.

If the intent was not *describe\_food*, it will directly extract the entity and save the data entry together with the intent.

#### **Sending Image/Images**

*action\_send\_image* extracts all the *file\_ids* of the photos a user has sent to Demezys during the day and sends the photos back to the user by calling the Telegram Bot API, together with a text prompting the user to reflect food according to the photos. If no photos are found, it asks the user to reflect food directly. If the user was not detected stressed during the day, it directly sends the *utter\_pieces* action to the user, which asks about how many pieces of meal/snacks the user has had during the day, bypassing the process of describing food.

#### **Asking Location**

After a user is registered, Demezys asks the user to send his or her location via Telegram. This is done by *action\_ask\_location*. It prompts the user with a text saying "Please send your location to me. I need this information to schedule message to you in the right time. Please use the Telegram built-in function to send location, and **DO NOT** send a text message." while sending a photo (Figure 4.3) instructing the user to do so, in case some people are not familiar with the share-location feature of Telegram.

### **4.2. Stress Detection with Adaptive Sampling**

As discussed in section 2.2, collecting stress data relies solely on adaptive sampling. This section provides details on how the sampling works.

In this project, stress is measured with levels from 0 to 5, 0 being not stressed and 5 being extremely stressed. This straightforward one-dimension scaling has proven to be effective in measuring stress and is being widely used in psychology questionnaires




Figure 4.3.: Instruction to sharing location via Telegram

(Cushway et al. 1996). In addition, users only need to spend a minimal amount of time to answer such a question, making the chatbot less intrusive.

The stress samplings are done by a scheduler written in Python. It schedules messages on a per-user basis. Upon registration, a user sends his or her location to Demezys, and the location is converted to its corresponding timezone and saved in the *timezones.csv* file together with the *chat\_id*. Initially, the scheduler sends four messages per day, three of which being *detect\_stress* and one being *reflect\_food*. *detect\_stress* triggers the bot to ask "are you feeling stressed", entering into the process of collecting the user's stress level. *reflect\_food* asks the user to reflect on the food he or she has eaten when feeling stressed during the day, as well as the amount of food. The scheduling of *reflect\_food* is set to be 21:00 every day, with the assumption that this is the time between dinner and sleep for most of the people. *detect\_stress* are initially scheduled 3 times per day, at 9:00, 13:00, and 19:00, which are slightly later than the times when most people are likely to have meals. The bot is designed to ask about the stress level of one hour before, in case the user says he or she is not stressed at the moment (section 4.4). The

scheduling scheme is therefore assumed to hit the time when the users are eating with a higher possibility than random scheduling.

To better understand when participants are likely to feel stressed on an individual basis, an adaptive scheduling model was developed. This model not only adapts the scheduled timestamps based on historical values but also the number of stress samplings per day. This reduces the number of times when the chatbot asks about stress for those who feel stressed less frequently than others (Figure 2.1), ensuring that Demezys is not "spamming" its users.

The adaptive sampling was achieved by using k-means clustering on previous timestamps of stress entries. First, all previous stress entries were collected, with the corresponding timestamps and stress levels. All timestamps were collected in the 'Europe/Berlin' timezone. Then, the timestamps were converted to the local time of the users according to the timezone saved in *timezones.csv*. Afterwards, the difference between each timestamp and the timestamp of the start of its day was calculated and saved. For example, for timestamp 2020-04-07 13:00:50 is transformed to 46850 seconds, which is the difference between itself and the start of the day (2020-04-07 00:00:00). Each saved time difference is a data point in integer form. Finally, each data point was copied  $n-1$  times where  $n$  is the stress level corresponding to that data point. This was to make sure that timestamps associated with higher levels of stress get more weight in the clustering training so that the training result can better predict the time when the user is likely to feel more stressed. This is based on the intuitive assumption that immense stress does not come and go abruptly so that a person is likely to feel some level of stress shortly before and after the timestamp when he or she is feeling a high level of stress.

The centroid of each cluster was then converted back to a timestamp from its integer form. The hours of the centroids would be the hours when Demezys schedules the new *detect\_stress* message the coming day. The k-means clustering algorithm was applied to all 18 participants whose *chat\_ids* were even numbers, among the total of 33 participants. Participants with odd *chat\_ids* received reminders at fixed times per day, 3 times a day as the controlled group. The participants were not informed of this setting.

### 4.3. Collection and Processing of Eating Behavior Data

There were three types of eating behavior data collected, namely food descriptions, amount compared to the non-stress state, and the number of pieces.

### 4.3.1. Food Descriptions

Food descriptions were collected whenever a user told Demezys that he or she had been stressed one hour ago and had been eating then. In addition, if there was at least one record with a positive stress level during the day, the user would be prompted to give food descriptions either according to the photo(s) he or she had sent during the day, or plainly if there was no photo. To collect food descriptions, Demezys asked the user either of the following questions

- Hi, time to reflect what you've eaten when you were stressed. Please describe the food on the photo(s).
- Please describe the food you've eaten when you felt stressed today.

according to the situation. Users' answers to these questions were saved into *profiles.csv* as food descriptions.

Towards the end of this study, the food items from the descriptions were extracted manually and sent back to the users for comfort-food labeling. The binary label was added back to each food record for training the prediction models.

### 4.3.2. Food Amount Compared to the Non-Stress State

Right after food descriptions, the food amount compared to the non-stress state was asked at the end of each day in case the user had reported at least once a positive stress level. This was done by the following action

```
utter_more_or_less:
- text: "Was it more or less than the amount you would normally eat
  when you're not stressed?"
  buttons:
  - title: More
    payload: /tell_more_or_less{"more_or_less":"more"}
  - title: Less
    payload: /tell_more_or_less{"more_or_less":"less"}
  - title: Same
    payload: /tell_more_or_less{"more_or_less":"same"}
```

where three buttons were presented to the user. The value of the slot *more\_or\_less* was recorded.



### 4.3.3. Number of Pieces

While the *more\_or\_less* values are relative to a non-stressed state, there was another piece of information collected which was the number of *pieces*. A piece can be either a meal, an afternoon tea, an extra dessert, or a snack/some snacks a person eats at once. It is an absolute value that tells how frequently the user eats. Like *more\_or\_less*, *pieces* were also reported by the users at the end of the day, after Demezys prompted the users with the action:

```
utter_pieces:
- text: "How many pieces did you eat in total today?
  A piece can be either a meal, an afternoon tea, an extra dessert,
  or a snack/some snacks you eat at once."
  buttons:
  - title: 0
    payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"0"}
  - title: 1
    payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"1"}
  - title: 2
    payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"2"}
  - title: 3
    payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"3"}
  - title: 4
    payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"4"}
  - title: 5
    payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"5"}
  - title: 6
    payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"6"}
  - title: 6+
    payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"N"}
```

## 4.4. Conversation Flow

The choices made by the chatbot follow a certain conversation flow, meaning that it determines its actions based on previous conversations (user intents and chatbot actions). The major features within the conversation flow are onboarding, detecting stress, recording food, reflecting food, and a number of auxiliary conversation paths. Figure 4.4 summarizes the conversation flow, part of which has been discussed in previous sections. Figure 4.5, Figure 4.6, and Figure 4.7 show typical conversation

scenarios.




Figure 4.4.: Conversation flow

It is worth noticing that when stress is detected, the chatbot not only prompts the user to answer questions but also offers words of comfort or advice at the end of the conversation. One sentence will be selected randomly out of a list of ten, which are shown under the *utter\_see\_you* template in section A.1.

## 4.5. Data Persistence

In the previous sections, the design of the chatbot system was presented comprehensively. This last section of the chapter discusses how data collected via the chatbot was persisted for later analysis.

As introduced, the data of each user was saved into two files, namely *profiles.csv* and *photos.csv*. The scheme of each file is listed below.

- **profiles.csv:** [EntryID, Timestamp, ChatID, Message, Intent, Entity, PhotoTimestamp, ReferenceID]
- **photos.csv:** [Timestamp, ChatID, FileID, StressRecordID]

The choice that the data was saved in CSV files instead of a database, is out of the fact that the relations between entries are rather simple. Specifically, only food descriptions need to relate to their image counterparts (if there are any) and ultimately the corresponding stress entry when the eating behavior happened. This is done by the

#### 4. System Design

*ReferenceID* entry in *profiles.csv* and *StressRecordID* in *photos.csv*. Whenever an image of the food is retrieved from the Telegram server, its *StressRecordID* is retrieved and saved in a Rasa slot, which will be linked to the entry in *profiles.csv* whose *EntryID* matches it. Another benefit of saving the data in CSV files is that it is straightforward to bulk process the data for analysis based on machine learning tools.

The timestamps are kept regarding the timezone of the server where the chatbot is run. When user-specific timestamps are required, they are obtained by converting the timezone to that of the user saved in *timezones.csv*. *Message* saves the original user message to the bot, while the *Intent* field is self-explanatory. *Entity* saves the useful information extracted from the message and the intent, e.g. "less" for *tell\_more\_or\_less* or "4" for *tell\_stress\_level*. In case a food description refers to two or more photos, multiple photo timestamps and reference IDs are saved in the respective fields in the form of formatted lists. Table 4.1 shows the *profiles.csv* of one participant with data collected within a day (*ChatID* is omitted due to privacy concern).

Table 4.1.: Part of a profile.csv file generated for a participant

EntryID	Timestamp	Message	Intent	Entity	PhotoTimestamp	ReferenceID
45	2020-04-12 03:09:51	/tell_stress_level{level:2}	tell_stress_level	2		
46	2020-04-12 08:00:19	/tell_stress_level{level:3}	tell_stress_level	3		
47	2020-04-12 13:01:22	/tell_stress_level{level:2}	tell_stress_level	2		
48	2020-04-12 15:03:41	Fried chicken wings	describe_food		2020-04-12 13:01:39	47
49	2020-04-12 15:03:49	/tell_more_or_less{more_or_less:same}	tell_more_or_less	same		
50	2020-04-12 15:03:54	/tell_pieces{pieces:6}	tell_pieces	6		

#### 4. System Design

---




Figure 4.5.: Onboarding



Figure 4.6.: Detect stress




Figure 4.7.: Reflect food

## 5. Experiment

This chapter presents the experiment done to test out the chatbot and to collect data for analysis. The format of the experiment was a user study. The following sections will introduce how users were recruited, and what the process of the user study was.

### 5.1. Participants

Participants were recruited worldwide by distributing an advertisement of the chatbot stating the intent of the study and the importance of understanding how stress affects eating. A privacy disclaimer was included making clear that no privacy-sensitive information was going to be collected or presented. A link to the chatbot was attached in the advertisement so that participants could directly start conversations with the chatbot if they agreed to participate in this study. The recruitment happened between April 3 and 10, 2020, with a total of 33 participants. The distribution of regions where the participants are located, according to their locations shared with the chatbot, are listed in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1.: Locations of participants

Timezone	Number of Participants
Asia/Shanghai	8
Europe/Berlin	4
Asia/Hong_Kong	4
Europe/London	3
America/New_York	2
Australia/Melbourne	1
America/Los_Angeles	1
Europe/Amsterdam	1
Europe/Zurich	1
Europe/Paris	1
Not Specified	7

## 5.2. Process

After a user started using the chatbot, the user study began, which lasted 4 weeks. The chatbot scheduled messages to each participant according to the method demonstrated in the previous chapter. In the first two weeks, all messages were scheduled at fixed times. In the following two weeks, the adaptive scheduling was applied to the participants whose *chat\_id* were even numbers. After the four weeks of data collection, participants who had been recorded more than 20 *tell\_stress\_level* entries were selected for data analysis and further user studies. The details of the data analysis are discussed in the coming chapter. Among the selected users, another selection was done with regard to their food record. Those who had reported food for fewer than 10 days were eliminated.

The further user studies consisted of two parts. First, the selected users were invited to complete a survey in which each food item they had reported, as well as the food photos they had sent to Demezys, were presented to them. They were asked to label whether they consider the item comfort food or not. Each *describe\_food* entry was then labeled accordingly. Then, after the data analysis was done and user-specific models were built, each model predicted how much its corresponding participant eats and whether or not he or she is likely to eat comfort food under the influence of stress. Such information provided a stress-eating profile that was sent to the user to evaluate how close the statements in the report resembled his or her reality.

Finally, the response from the participants was used to evaluate the effectiveness of the methodology adopted by this thesis.



## 6. Data Analysis

This chapter discusses how data analysis was done. The objective of the data analysis is to build a stress-eating profile for each candidate selected with the data recorded from the conversation between this candidate and the chatbot. Specifically, the profile was generated by using some prediction models which given the stress levels of the candidate at a random moment or a random day, predicts whether the candidate is likely to eat more or less than usual, how many pieces (as defined in previous chapters) he or she is likely to eat, and whether he or she tends to eat comfort food. Since all these predicted (output) features are discrete, a classification model was to be built for each output feature. Hence, the problem is formalized into the following steps:

For each candidate,

1. Extract the stress levels, *more\_or\_less* entries, number of pieces, and *comfort\_food* entries from the raw data
2. Pre-process the data by engineering additional input features from the stress levels
3. For each output feature, build a number of classification models using different underlying models
4. For each output feature, evaluate and select the model to be used for prediction
5. Given the sample inputs that represent certain stress conditions, predict the output features
6. Use the predictions to generate a text-based stress-eating report

Therefore, this chapter will first show the data gathered and the participants selected for further user studies, followed by a demonstration of data pre-processing as preparation for model training. As there are a number of options available for training classification models, it then presents how specific models were selected. Finally, it shows the building of stress-eating profiles according to the predictions made by selected models.

## 6.1. Data Gathered

Among the 33 participants, 5 were selected as potential candidates for further user studies, as the other 28 users had reported fewer than 20 *tell\_stress\_level* entries, which are too few for data analysis. Among the 5 potential candidates, one had reported fewer than 10 food entries, thus eliminated from the user studies. Among the selected candidates, each of them had reported between 21 and 75 stress entries.

## 6.2. Data Pre-Processing

For each of the four selected candidates, an entry-level and a day-level dataset was built. Each data point in the entry-level represents one timestamp at which the candidate reported stress. On the contrary, each data point in the day-level represents a single day in which the candidate reported stress at least for once. This setting was chosen because, on the one hand, entry-level input is generally more frequent (most candidates reported stress levels more than once per day), but on the other, the "more or less" and "number of pieces" data was collected on a daily basis, hence the day-level.

For the entry-level data, in addition to its single stress level, there were three further features engineered to prevent underfitting (Aalst et al. 2008), namely average stress level during the day, highest stress level during the day, and the standard deviation of the stress levels during the day. Since food description is the only piece of information related to entry-level stress data, the boolean value *comfort\_food* becomes the only target to be predicted.

For the day-level data, the three statistics mentioned above (average stress level during the day, highest stress level during the day, and the standard deviation of the stress levels during the day) were selected as input features. The output features were *comfort\_food*, *more\_or\_less* and *pieces*. The problem was then transformed into three sub-problems, within which *comfort\_food*, *more\_or\_less* and *pieces* were predicted with 3 independent models.

Among the four candidates, only one had more than 10 entry-level food descriptions to construct enough data points for comfort food, therefore entry-level models were built only for this candidate, while day-level models were built for all. Additionally, one of the four candidates did not provide more than 10 *more\_or\_less* and *comfort\_food* data entries, hence eliminated from building these particular models. Table 6.1 summarizes all training tasks for the candidates.

Table 6.1.: Summarization of training tasks for the participants

ParticipantID	Day-Level Comfort Food	Day-Level More or Less	Day-Level Pieces	Entry-Level Comfort Food
1	x	x	x	x
2	x	x	x	-
3	x	x	x	-
4	-	-	x	-

### 6.3. Model Selection

Given the limited amount of data, neural network approaches were not considered. Alternatively, multiple traditional machine learning methods were tested, including decision tree, support vector machine, k-nearest neighbors, and Naive Bayes. These are the most popular methods for multiclass classification (Mehra and Gupta 2013). For each model, 5-fold cross-validation was used to test the performance. Since the objective was to build user-specific profiles, the model used for each user per task was individual. Therefore, the best performing model was selected for each training task, and these models do not have to be trained using the same method across tasks. The performance was measured by the accuracy of the cross-validation. Scikit-Learn (scikit-learn developers 2019) offers handy tools to fulfill the model selection tasks. After the model selection, the best performing models were used to fit all the data available in each task and train the prediction models.

### 6.4. Profile Generation

In order to predict the candidates' eating behaviors under stress, four sample inputs were designed for the day-level to represent high levels of stress, low levels of stress, acute stress and no stress, while three were designed for the entry-level to represent high levels of stress, low levels of stress and acute stress (Table 6.2). The predictions for all four participants can be found in Appendix B.

Based on the predictions, text-based statements were made manually to describe eating behavior. A collection of such statements was sent back to the participants for evaluation in the form of a survey. A part of a survey containing a sample statement is shown below.

Statement: It appears that you are likely to eat more than usual when you're stressed.

Available responses:

\* Oh my word! How did you know that!

Table 6.2.: Sample stress input for eating behavior prediction

	Stress Level	Average	Highest	Standard Deviation
Day-Level High		4.0	5.0	0.816
Day-Level Low		1.667	2.0	0.471
Day-Level Acute		2.0	5.0	2.160
Day-Level No-Stress		0.0	0.0	0.0
Entry-Level High	5.0	4.0	5.0	0.816
Entry-Level Low	1.0	1.667	2.0	0.471
Entry-Level Acute	5.0	2.0	5.0	2.160

- \* Yeah, sometimes
- \* Kind of...
- \* I don't often feel like that...
- \* Come on! I kept chatting with you for a month  
and look at your wrong judgement!
- \* Not sure

The responses to the statement, as listed above, were assigned scores 2, 1, 0, -1, -2, respectively, except for "Not sure", which whenever appears, the respective statement would not be counted into the evaluation. A complete collection of such statements used in this study is shown in Appendix C. The result of the user evaluation is discussed in the next chapter.

## 7. Result

Since the outcome of data collection via the chatbot was already demonstrated in the previous chapter, this chapter focuses on the result of cross-validation, user evaluation, and the effectiveness of adaptive sampling.

### 7.1. Result of Model Cross-Validation and User Evaluation

The results of the model selection for each task and the predictions for each user per task are shown in Listing 7.1. As can be seen, a majority of the selected models achieved accuracies close to or over 75 percent. However, despite the relatively high cross-validation score, it is still likely that the models were overfitted, given the fact that the data size was small, and consequently neither the training nor testing set in each phase can be representative enough to the user (Lever et al. 2016). Therefore, the user evaluation provided important additional input on how well the models as well as the methods presented in this work performed qualitatively. As introduced in the previous chapter, the evaluation was done by letting the users assign scores to a number of statements describing their stress-eating patterns. The scores range within  $\{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$  where 2 is the best and -2 the worst. The evaluation result is shown in Table 7.1. For the four candidates, 16 statements were evaluated, and the evaluation score was 15. The average score per statement was, therefore, close to 1, corresponding to the evaluation "Yeah, sometimes". 7 out of the 16 statements were given the response "Oh my word! How did you know that!" corresponding to the score of 2, demonstrating that, in general, the candidates thought that the stress-eating profiles captured their stress-eating patterns correctly, despite the relatively short period of data collection and small sample size. This shows that the methods developed in this thesis are potentially feasible for creating eating behavior reports for a larger population with more data.

```
**** Result for Daily more_or_less ****
```

```
Selected model for candidate 1: decision tree
```

```
DT accuracy for candidate 1: 0.75
```

```
High-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['more']
```

## 7. Result

---

Acute-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['more']  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['more']

Selected model for candidate 2: SVM  
SVM accuracy for candidate 2: 0.753  
High-stress prediction for candidate 2: ['less']  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 2: ['less']  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 2: ['less']

Selected model for candidate 3: kNN  
kNN accuracy for candidate 3: 0.96  
High-stress prediction for candidate 3: ['same']  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 3: ['same']  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 3: ['same']

\*\*\*\* Result for Daily pieces \*\*\*\*

Selected model for candidate 1: kNN  
kNN accuracy for candidate 1: 0.574  
High-stress prediction for candidate 1: [7]  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 1: [7]  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 1: [6]  
Unstressed prediction for candidate 1: [6]

Selected model for candidate 2: decision tree  
DT accuracy for candidate 2: 0.733  
High-stress prediction for candidate 2: [3]  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 2: [3]  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 2: [4]  
Unstressed prediction for candidate 2: [3]

Selected model for candidate 3: SVM  
SVM accuracy for candidate 3: 0.802  
High-stress prediction for candidate 3: [3]  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 3: [3]  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 3: [3]  
Unstressed prediction for candidate 3: [2]

Selected model for candidate 4: kNN

```
kNN accuracy for candidate 4: 0.757
High-stress prediction for candidate 4: [3]
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 4: [3]
Low-stress prediction for candidate 4: [1]
Unstressed prediction for candidate 4: [3]

**** Result for Daily comfort\_food ****

Selected model for candidate 1: SVM
SVM accuracy for candidate 1: 0.687
High-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['True']
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['True']
Low-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['True']

Selected model for candidate 2: kNN
kNN accuracy for candidate 2: 0.683
High-stress prediction for candidate 2: ['False']
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 2: ['False']
Low-stress prediction for candidate 2: ['False']

Selected model for candidate 3: decision tree
DT accuracy for candidate 3: 0.96
High-stress prediction for candidate 3: ['True']
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 3: ['True']
Low-stress prediction for candidate 3: ['True']

**** Result for Event-Based comfort\_food ****

Selected model for candidate 1: decision tree
DT accuracy for candidate 1: 0.810
High-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['True']
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['True']
Low-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['True']
```

Listing 7.1: Result of model selection and prediction for each task

Table 7.1.: Result of User Evaluation on Stress-Eating Reports (Scores from {-2, -1, 0, 1, 2})

Statement Templates Describing Food Behavior	User 1	User 2	User 3	User 4
It appears that you are likely to eat [more/less] than usual when you're stressed	2	2	-	-
It appears that stress does not affect the amount of food you eat	-	-	1	-
On average, you eat [int] pieces per day when you're not stressed	1	2	2	2
[Low/High] levels of stress do not seem to affect the number of pieces	0	2	-	1
However, when you experience [high/low] levels of stress, you are likely to eat [more/less] pieces.	2	1	-	0
Most of the time, you [eat/don't eat] comfort food when you're stressed.	1	-1	1	-

## 7.2. Result of Adaptive Sampling of Stress

In addition to the methods of building the stress-eating profile, the adaptive sampling scheme was also evaluated. This was done by counting the number of *tell\_stress\_level* entries (stress entries) for each participant. In the first half (2 weeks) of the data collection, 195 stress entries were recorded for all 33 participants, with 143 of which from participants with even *chat\_ids*, consisting of 73 percent of the total entries. In the second half, 124 entries were recorded, among which 104 were from those with even *chat\_ids*. The proportion was increased to 84 percent. In addition, despite the decrease in the total number of entries, the individual number of entries of two participants with even *chat\_ids* increased in the second half, which is not to be seen by any user with an odd *chat\_id*. This suggests that the adaptive sampling scheme may have been effective, resulting in more accurate detection of stress. However, since both the population and the duration of this study were limited, further studies are needed to prove this hypothesis.

Meanwhile, the decrease in the total number of entries was expected, as users tend to be less attached to the chatbot for two reasons. One is that some users might have tried the chatbot out of curiosity (Zarouali et al. 2018), and such curiosity decreases over time. The other is, as Brandtzaeg and Følstad (2017) suggested, that despite the fact that people start using chatbots with a variety of purposes, the biggest motivation they



interact with chatbots is for productivity, which in the case of Demezys, was hard to obtain (users hardly get any input and immediate help by telling the chatbot whether he or she is stressed or what he or she is eating).

## 8. Limitations

Despite achieving its goal in building a stress-eating profile for random users, this study has come along with certain limitations, which are discussed in this chapter.

The most evident limitation, as have mentioned in previous chapters, is the size of the data. This resulted from both the limited time in the user study and the design of the chatbot. First, the user study was conducted for four weeks, meaning that there could be up to 28 day-level entries per user. Consequently, for building any day-level model, it is highly likely to obtain testing or even training data sets with less than 10 data points. This is not enough for building models that are representative enough. Second, as shown in chapter 4, the conversation flow was rule-based, meaning that the chatbot is not robust enough to handle users' input which is out of the context. This, on one hand, allows the chatbot to focus on collecting data it needed accurately, but on the other, makes it less interesting to the users. This could be one of the reasons why user interactions with the chatbot decreased over time.

Another limitation was that users were reluctant to actively report stress, with almost all the *tell\_stress\_level* entries resulted from initiatives taken by the chatbot. One of the reasons for this drawback is again the fact that the chatbot is not "interesting" enough. Additionally, as Brandtzaeg and Følstad (2017) suggested, people are concerned with their productivity when using a chatbot, and reporting that he or she is stressed clearly does not help with that. Based on the analysis, one potential solution is to generate a stress-eating report on the flight that can be retrieved by the user at any time, which consists of the visualization of the user's stress level and eating behavior history. This might motivate the user to communicate more actively to the chatbot.

Furthermore, although there's evidently a strong connection between stress and eating, there are other factors that can affect eating choices. These factors might include the time of the day, being on travel (not being able to access domestic food), or specific diet plans. For example, one of the participants gave a piece of feedback, saying that she was practicing a diet strictly required by her religion, where she always ate the same amount of food every day. Additionally, since this research coincided with the current situation of the global lockdown, all candidates reported self-cooking frequently, which may not be the case in a normal setting when people have more choices of eating out.

## 9. Future Work

With both the outcome and limitations presented in previous chapters, this chapter gives suggestions on future work that can be based on this thesis.

As mentioned in the previous chapter, the limited amount of data was the biggest issue in this project. Therefore, long-term user studies could be conducted to track the stress and eating patterns of participants in a longer period of time. The data collected from the long-term study could be used to conduct feature selections, model training and predictions just as was done in this work, to further prove the robustness of the models and the feasibility of the methods demonstrated for building stress-eating profiles.

Since user attachment has been another issue of the chatbot developed in this project, more time and effort could be investigated in building a more personalized chatbot that can interact with its users in a more interesting way. An example of this would be a dietary adviser that gives its user advice based on his or her stress level. As discussed in chapter 2, the goal of this project was to build a foundation for potential dietary advisers. Now that the foundation is laid, it is advised that systems could be developed based on that. In addition, researches could be done in the area of food substitution. A typical scenario would be that after grasping the user's stress-eating pattern, the virtual adviser understands that the user tends to eat more under stress, predicts the type of food the user is likely to eat, and in case it's comfort food with high energy, suggests a substitution of it which resembles its appearance but contains lower energy.

It is also worth noticing that the stress-eating statements in the surveys used for user evaluation were manually written based on the prediction results, which is not scalable to a larger amount of users. Future research is needed on interpreting the results of the prediction and automatically generating statements and stress-eating reports using techniques in the fields such as natural language generation (NLG).

Last but not the least, since Rasa provides custom actions which offer high degrees of freedom including calling other servers, it is imaginable to develop an API that retrieves stress information collected via analyzing smart device (e.g. smart watch) inputs or social media context, which can be connected with the chatbot platform developed in this research. In that manner, the result of any other research into stress

detection, which potentially offers more accuracy, improved richness of data, and more possibilities for feature engineering, could be integrated into this platform, for further studies into the relationship between stress and eating as well as developing better food recommendation systems.

## 10. Conclusion

This thesis studied the relationship between stress and eating behaviors, and developed methods to predict individuals' eating patterns under the influence of stress, using a chatbot called Demezys. In order to collect user data, the chatbot was built with features including detecting stress via adaptive sampling, responding and processing users' self-reporting of stress, and guiding users to record eating behavior data. It was developed using the Rasa platform, which is an open-source platform for developing conversational agents based on natural language understanding, and tested with the Telegram Bot API.

A conversation flow was designed such that users would be prompted several times per day to record his or her stress level and food eaten whilst being stressed. In the experiment, the participants were divided into two groups, one of which received the adaptive scheduling of messages, where stress detection happened at the time of the day when they were likely to be more stressed based on historical data. Stress levels, together with the amount of food eaten compared with the non-stressed state, the number of pieces consumed per day, and food descriptions were collected and recorded.

At the end of the data collection, four candidates were selected for further user studies. They were invited to label their food description items as either comfort food or not. Afterward, their data was preprocessed and multiple models were trained using traditional machine learning methods for multiclass classification, from which the best performing models were selected for evaluation. Eating behaviors including the amount of food and comfort food eaten under stress were predicted based on several input conditions, which were transformed into stress-eating reports and evaluated by the candidates.

Based on both the accuracy of the models and candidate evaluations, the methods were proven to be able to predict users' eating behaviors given stress levels. There were, however, certain limitations of this work, including the limited amount of data used for training and the decreased user attachment over time. Future work was suggested in both extensive user studies for building better models and also developing virtual dietary advisors and food recommendation systems based on the result of this work.

# A. Rasa Training Data

## A.1. domain.yml

```
%YAML 1.1
---
actions:
- action_register_user
- action_save_data
- action_send_image
- utter_are_you_eating
- utter_ask_food
- utter_ask_for_image
- action_ask_location
- utter_ask_stress_level
- utter_cheers
- utter_describe_food
- utter_did_you_eat
- utter_goodbye
- utter_good_night
- utter_greet
- utter_happy
- utter_iamabot
- utter_intro
- utter_more_or_less
- utter_pieces
- utter_remind_to_take_photo
- utter_see_you
- utter_stress
- utter_stress_delayed
- utter_success
- utter_unstressed
entities:
```

```
- level
- more_or_less
- pieces
intents:
- affirm
- bot_challenge
- deny
- describe_food
- detect_stress
- eating_now
- goodbye
- not_eating_now
- now_no_stress
- now_stress
- photo_sent
- polite
- previous_no_stress
- previous_stress
- reflect_food
- register
- start
- stressful_yes
- stressful_no
- tell_lat_lng
- tell_more_or_less
- tell_pieces
- tell_stress_level
- thank
slots:
  level:
    type: text
  more_or_less:
    type: text
  photo_timestamps:
    type: list
  pieces:
    type: text
  reference_ids:
    type: list
```

```
templates:
  utter_are_you_eating:
    - text: Are you eating right now?
      buttons:
        - title: Yes
          payload: /eating_now
        - title: No
          payload: /not_eating_now
  utter_ask_food:
    - text: "What did you eat?"
  utter_ask_for_image:
    - text: "Please take a photo of what you're eating and sent it to me
      now. When the photo is sent, press 'Done'."
      buttons:
        - title: Done
          payload: /photo_sent
  utter_ask_stress_level:
    - text: "Can you tell me the intensity of your stress within the scale
      between 1 (slightly stressful) and 5 (extremely stressful)?"
      buttons:
        - title: 1
          payload: /tell_stress_level{"level":"1"}
        - title: 2
          payload: /tell_stress_level{"level":"2"}
        - title: 3
          payload: /tell_stress_level{"level":"3"}
        - title: 4
          payload: /tell_stress_level{"level":"4"}
        - title: 5
          payload: /tell_stress_level{"level":"5"}
  utter_cheers:
    - text: "Cheers"
  utter_describe_food:
    - text: "Hi, time to reflect what you've eaten when you were stressed.
      Please describe the food on the photo(s)."
```

```
utter_did_that_help:
  - text: "Did that help you?"
utter_did_you_eat:
  - text: "Did you eat anything whilst you were stressed?"
```



```
buttons:
- title: Yes
  payload: /affirm
- title: No
  payload: /deny
utter_goodbye:
- text: Bye
utter_good_night:
- text: Got it. Good night
utter_greet:
- text: Hey! How are you?
utter_happy:
- text: "Great, carry on!"
utter_iamabot:
- text: "I am a bot, powered by Rasa."
utter_intro:
- text: "Welcome on board. Demeter is the Greek goddess of agriculture,
  and Oizys the goddess of misery, anxiety, grief, and depression.
  This is how I got my name. Eating is a highly emotional behaviour,
  and it's the first step to relate stress with eating that will
  eventually help you to eat mindfully.\n\nPlease press the button
  below to continue"
buttons:
- title: Start the Journey
  payload: /register
utter_more_or_less:
- text: "Was it more or less than the amount you would normally eat
  when you're *not* stressed?"
buttons:
- title: More
  payload: /tell_more_or_less{"more_or_less":"more"}
- title: Less
  payload: /tell_more_or_less{"more_or_less":"less"}
- title: Same
  payload: /tell_more_or_less{"more_or_less":"same"}
utter_pieces:
- text: "How many *pieces* did you eat in total today? A *piece*
  can be either a meal, an afternoon tea, an extra dessert, or a
  snack/some snacks you eat at once."
```

```
buttons:
- title: 0
  payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"0"}
- title: 1
  payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"1"}
- title: 2
  payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"2"}
- title: 3
  payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"3"}
- title: 4
  payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"4"}
- title: 5
  payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"5"}
- title: 6
  payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"6"}
- title: 6+
  payload: /tell_pieces{"pieces":"N"}
utter_remind_to_take_photo:
- text: "If you eat in the coming **2 hours**, please **take a
  photo of the food** and **send it to me**."
utter_see_you:
- text: "Give yourself some space."
- text: "Take a deep breath."
- text: "A hug from me and everything will be fine. Bye."
- text: "Try to break big problem down to small tasks, and it
  might help you turn stress into productivity. Bye."
- text: "Make yourself a cup of tea or coffee."
- text: "Many people are dealing with stress just like you.
  Talk to someone close to you and you can help each other."
- text: "Reflect on how you dealt with stress last time and
  that might help. Bye."
- text: "It's said that focusing on your body and finding
  tense muscles can help calming down. Give it a try."
- text: "Remember, storms don't last forever. Bye."
- text: "Find yourself a comfortable place. This is the first
  step dealing with stress. Bye."
utter_stress:
- text: "Are you feeling stressed?"
  buttons:
```

```
- title: Yes
  payload: /now_stress
- title: No
  payload: /now_no_stress
utter_stress_delayed:
- text: "What about one hour ago? Were you stressed then?"
  buttons:
    - title: Yes
      payload: /previous_stress
    - title: No
      payload: /previous_no_stress
utter_success:
- text: "You're successfully registered."
utter_unstressed:
- text: "Great to know!"
```

## A.2. nlu.md

```
## intent:affirm
- yes
- indeed
- of course
- that sounds good
- correct
- a little
- a little bit
- a bit
- ja

## intent:describe_food
- an apple
- Apfelstrudel
- Aprikose
- aubergine
- avocado
- a bread
- a bread and a cup of coffee
```

- a chocolate bar
- a burger
- a coffee
- bacon
- baguette
- a baguette
- bami
- banana
- banana and chocolate
- barbecue
- BBQ
- beef
- berries
- berry
- biscuits
- blackberries
- blueberries
- Bonbon
- breakfast
- brezel
- burger
- burrito
- butter
- cake
- cakes
- candy
- candies
- carotte
- cereal
- cheese
- cheese burger
- cherries
- chicken
- chicken nuggets
- chicken wings
- chili con carne
- chips
- chocolate
- cookies

- corn
- crab
- crepe
- crepes
- croissant
- a croissant
- cucumber
- curry
- Currywurst
- dessert
- dim sum
- dinner
- doner
- Donerkebab
- donut
- doughnut
- duck
- dumplings
- egg
- eggplant
- eggs
- falafel
- fish
- fish and chips
- flour
- fondue
- food
- fried
- fried rice
- grapes
- grape fruit
- ham
- hamburger
- Haxe
- hazel nuts
- honey
- hotpot
- hot dog
- icecream

- instant noodles
- jam
- juice
- just candies
- kebab
- ketchup
- KFC
- kimchi
- Knodel
- lamb
- lasagne
- Lebkuchen
- lemon
- liver
- lunch
- mango
- marmelade
- marone
- maroni
- marshmallow
- mayo
- McDonald's
- meat
- meat ball
- meatball
- melon
- milk tea
- mint
- miso
- mousse
- Mozzarella
- mushrooms
- musli
- m&m
- M&M
- m & m
- noodles
- Nutella
- octopus

- olive
- omelette
- onion
- orange
- paprika
- parmesan
- pasta
- peach
- peanut
- pear
- peperoni
- pizza
- pommes
- popcorn
- pork
- potato
- pretzel
- pudding
- raisin
- ramen
- ravioli
- ribs
- rice
- rice noodles
- risotto
- Ritter Sport
- rose
- salad
- salami
- salmon
- sandwich
- sashimi
- sausage
- seafood
- Schnitzel
- Schweinshaxe
- shrimps
- some snacks
- soup

- spaghetti
- spring roll
- steak
- strawberry
- strawberries
- supper
- sushi
- sweet
- sweet melon
- taco
- tapas
- tart
- tatar
- tea
- tiramisu
- tofu
- tomato
- tortilla
- turkey
- udon
- vegetables
- wasabi
- wheat
- wok
- wrap
- wurst
- yogurt
- I didn't eat anything
- no food
- I didn't eat anything when I was stressful
- I don't eat when I'm stressful
- nothing
- I did not eat anything

## intent:detect\_stress

- detect stress
- hello
- Guten Tag
- hey there



- hi
- hey
- Hi
- Hallo Foodbot.
- Servus
- Hi
- Hallo
- good morning
- good evening

## intent:goodbye

- bye
- goodbye
- Tschuess
- Goodbye
- see you around
- see you later

## intent:polite

- good night
- same to you
- sweet dream
- see you tomorrow
- see you

## intent:previous\_stress

- previous stress

## intent:reflect\_food

- reflect food

## intent:register

- register me

## intent:stressful\_yes

- I am feeling stressful
- I'm worried
- I feel tense
- I am nervous

```
- stressful
- tense
- worried
- nervous

## intent:stressful_no
- I am calm
- I feel relaxed
- calm
- everything's ok
- relaxed

## intent:tell_lat_lng
- "lng":0.0, "lat":0.0
- "lat":0.0, "lng":0.0

## intent:thank
- thank you
- thanks
- cheers

## intent:bot_challenge
- are you a bot?
- are you a human?
- am I talking to a bot?
- am I talking to a human?
```

### A.3. stories.md

```
<!-- User registration -->
## user register
* register
  - action_register_user
  - action_ask_location
* tell_lat_lng
  - utter_success
  - action_restart
```

```
<!-- Reacting to Stress -->

## rightly detect stress - eating
* detect_stress
  - utter_stress
* now_stress
  - utter_ask_stress_level
* tell_stress_level{"level":"1"}
  - slot{"level":"1"}
  - action_save_data
  - utter_are_you_eating
* eating_now
  - utter_ask_for_image
* photo_sent
  - utter_see_you

## rightly detect stress - eating - repeat
* detect_stress
  - utter_stress
* now_stress
  - utter_ask_stress_level
* tell_stress_level{"level":"1"}
  - slot{"level":"1"}
  - action_save_data
  - utter_are_you_eating
* eating_now
  - utter_ask_for_image
* photo_sent
  - utter_see_you

## rightly detect stress - not eating
* detect_stress
  - utter_stress
* now_stress
  - utter_ask_stress_level
* tell_stress_level{"level":"1"}
  - slot{"level":"1"}
  - action_save_data
```

```
- utter_are_you_eating
* not_eating_now
  - utter_see_you

## wrongly detect stress
* detect_stress
  - utter_stress
* now_no_stress
  - slot{"level":"0"}
  - action_save_data
  - utter_stress_delayed

## wrongly detect stress - previously stress - eaten
* previous_stress
  - utter_ask_stress_level
* tell_stress_level{"level":"1"}
  - slot{"level":"1"}
  - action_save_data
  - utter_did_you_eat
* affirm
  - utter_ask_food
* describe_food
  - action_save_data

## wrongly detect stress - previously stress - haven't eaten
* previous_stress
  - utter_ask_stress_level
* tell_stress_level{"level":"1"}
  - slot{"level":"1"}
  - action_save_data
  - utter_did_you_eat
* deny
  - utter_see_you

## wrongly detect stress - previously no stress
* previous_no_stress
  - utter_unstressed

## self-report stress - eating
```

```
* stressful_yes
  - utter_ask_stress_level
* tell_stress_level{"level":"1"}
  - slot{"level":"1"}
  - action_save_data
  - utter_are_you_eating
* eating_now
  - utter_ask_for_image
* photo_sent
  - utter_see_you

## self-report stress - not eating
* stressful_yes
  - utter_ask_stress_level
* tell_stress_level{"level":"1"}
  - slot{"level":"1"}
  - action_save_data
  - utter_are_you_eating
* not_eating_now
  - utter_remind_to_take_photo

<!-- Reflection on Food -->

## reflect food
* reflect_food
  - action_send_image
* describe_food
  - action_save_data
  - utter_more_or_less

## tell more or less
* tell_more_or_less{"more_or_less":"more"}
  - slot{"more_or_less":"more"}
  - action_save_data
  - utter_pieces

## tell pieces
* tell_pieces{"pieces":"1"}
  - slot{"pieces":"1"}
```

```
- action_save_data
- utter_good_night

<!-- Auxiliary -->

## start
* start
  - utter_intro

## gratitude
* thank
  - utter_cheers

## bot challenge
* bot_challenge
  - utter_iamabot

## say goodbye
* goodbye
  - action_restart

## being polite
* polite
  - action_restart

## being polite 2
* reflect_food
  - action_send_image
* polite
  - action_restart

## save data whenever user describes food
* describe_food
  - action_save_data
```

## B. Model Selection and Training Results for the Candidates

\*\*\*\* Result for Daily more\_or\_less \*\*\*\*

DT accuracy for candidate 2: 0.6033333333333333  
SVM accuracy for candidate 2: 0.7533333333333333  
kNN accuracy for candidate 2: 0.7533333333333333  
NB accuracy for candidate 2: 0.5533333333333333  
High-stress prediction for candidate 2: ['less']  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 2: ['less']  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 2: ['less']

DT accuracy for candidate 1: 0.75  
SVM accuracy for candidate 1: 0.6799999999999999  
kNN accuracy for candidate 1: 0.68  
NB accuracy for candidate 1: 0.7  
High-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['more']  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['more']  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['more']

DT accuracy for candidate 3: 0.9099999999999999  
SVM accuracy for candidate 3: 0.0  
kNN accuracy for candidate 3: 0.96  
NB accuracy for candidate 3: 0.96  
High-stress prediction for candidate 3: ['same']  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 3: ['same']  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 3: ['same']

\*\*\*\* Result for Daily pieces \*\*\*\*

DT accuracy for candidate 2: 0.7328571428571429  
SVM accuracy for candidate 2: 0.7042857142857144  
kNN accuracy for candidate 2: 0.7042857142857144  
NB accuracy for candidate 2: 0.19428571428571428  
High-stress prediction for candidate 2: [3]  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 2: [3]  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 2: [4]  
Unstressed prediction for candidate 2: [3]

DT accuracy for candidate 1: 0.5128571428571428  
SVM accuracy for candidate 1: 0.5057142857142857  
kNN accuracy for candidate 1: 0.5742857142857143  
NB accuracy for candidate 1: 0.4871428571428572  
High-stress prediction for candidate 1: [7]  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 1: [7]  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 1: [6]  
Unstressed prediction for candidate 1: [6]

DT accuracy for candidate 3: 0.735  
SVM accuracy for candidate 3: 0.8016666666666667  
kNN accuracy for candidate 3: 0.7516666666666667  
NB accuracy for candidate 3: 0.645  
High-stress prediction for candidate 3: [3]  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 3: [3]  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 3: [3]  
Unstressed prediction for candidate 3: [2]

DT accuracy for candidate 4: 0.5571428571428572  
SVM accuracy for candidate 4: 0.0  
kNN accuracy for candidate 4: 0.7571428571428571  
NB accuracy for candidate 4: 0.45714285714285713  
High-stress prediction for candidate 4: [3]  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 4: [3]  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 4: [1]  
Unstressed prediction for candidate 4: [3]



\*\*\*\* Result for Daily comfort\_food \*\*\*\*

DT accuracy for candidate 2: 0.5833333333333333  
SVM accuracy for candidate 2: 0.6333333333333332  
kNN accuracy for candidate 2: 0.6833333333333332  
NB accuracy for candidate 2: 0.5166666666666667  
High-stress prediction for candidate 2: ['False']  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 2: ['False']  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 2: ['False']

DT accuracy for candidate 1: 0.6533333333333333  
SVM accuracy for candidate 1: 0.6866666666666668  
kNN accuracy for candidate 1: 0.5966666666666667  
NB accuracy for candidate 1: 0.5433333333333333  
High-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['True']  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['True']  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['True']

DT accuracy for candidate 3: 0.96  
SVM accuracy for candidate 3: 0.0  
kNN accuracy for candidate 3: 0.96  
NB accuracy for candidate 3: 0.7433333333333333  
High-stress prediction for candidate 3: ['True']  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 3: ['True']  
Low-stress prediction for candidate 3: ['True']

\*\*\*\* Result for Event-Based comfort\_food \*\*\*\*

DT accuracy for candidate 1: 0.8099999999999999  
SVM accuracy for candidate 1: 0.72  
kNN accuracy for candidate 1: 0.72  
NB accuracy for candidate 1: 0.6599999999999999  
High-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['True']  
Acute-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['True']

Low-stress prediction for candidate 1: ['True']

## **C. Complete Collection of Statements Describing the Candidates' Eating Behaviors**

A complete collection of statements used to describe the participants' eating behaviors are listed here. The numbers in the statements can vary among participants.

- It appears that you are likely to eat more than usual when you're stressed.
- It appears that you are likely to eat less than usual when you're stressed.
- It appears that stress does not affect the amount of food you eat.
- On average, you eat 6 pieces per day when you're not stressed.
- Low levels of stress do not seem to affect the number of pieces.
- High levels of stress do not seem to affect the number of pieces.
- However, when you experience high levels of stress, you are likely to eat more pieces.
- However, when you experience relatively low levels of stress, you are likely to eat more pieces.
- Most of the time, you eat comfort food when you're stressed.
- Most of the time, you do not eat comfort food when you're stressed.

## List of Figures

2.1. The adaptive stress-sampling process . . . . .	5
3.1. Human-smartphone interaction levels (Ciman and Wac 2016) . . . . .	10
4.1. An utterance with buttons in action . . . . .	15
4.2. Structure of data persistence . . . . .	21
4.3. Instruction to sharing location via Telegram . . . . .	23
4.4. Conversation flow . . . . .	27
4.5. Onboarding . . . . .	29
4.6. Detect stress . . . . .	30
4.7. Reflect food . . . . .	31

## List of Tables

4.1. Sample User Data . . . . .	28
5.1. Locations of Participants . . . . .	32
6.1. Models Trained . . . . .	36
6.2. Sample Stress Input . . . . .	37
7.1. Result of User Evaluation . . . . .	41

# Bibliography

- Aalst, W. M. P. van der, V. Rubin, H. M. W. Verbeek, B. F. van Dongen, E. Kindler, and C. W. Günther (2008). "Process mining: a two-step approach to balance between underfitting and overfitting." In: *Software & Systems Modeling* 9.87. DOI: 10.1007/s10270-008-0106-z.
- Alkhalidi, G., F. L. Hamilton, R. Lau, R. Webster, S. Michie, and E. Murray (Jan. 2016). "The Effectiveness of Prompts to Promote Engagement With Digital Interventions: A Systematic Review." In: *J Med Internet Res* 18.1, e6. ISSN: 1438-8871. DOI: 10.2196/jmir.4790.
- Araujo, T. (2018). "Living up to the chatbot hype: The influence of anthropomorphic design cues and communicative agency framing on conversational agent and company perceptions." In: *Computers in Human Behavior* 85, pp. 183–189. ISSN: 0747-5632. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2018.03.051>.
- Barak, A., L. Hen, M. Boniel-Nissim, and N. Shapira (2008). "A Comprehensive Review and a Meta-Analysis of the Effectiveness of Internet-Based Psychotherapeutic Interventions." In: *Journal of Technology in Human Services* 26.2-4, pp. 109–160. DOI: 10.1080/15228830802094429. eprint: <https://doi.org/10.1080/15228830802094429>.
- Brandtzaeg, P. and A. Følstad (Nov. 2017). "Why people use chatbots." In:
- Braun, D., A. Hernandez Mendez, F. Matthes, and M. Langen (Aug. 2017). "Evaluating Natural Language Understanding Services for Conversational Question Answering Systems." In: DOI: 10.18653/v1/W17-5522.
- Ciman, M., K. Wac, and O. Gaggi (2015). "iSensestress: Assessing stress through human-smartphone interaction analysis." In: *2015 9th International Conference on Pervasive Computing Technologies for Healthcare (PervasiveHealth)*, pp. 84–91.
- Ciman, M. and K. Wac (July 2016). "Individuals' Stress Assessment Using Human-Smartphone Interaction Analysis." In: *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing* PP, pp. 1–1. DOI: 10.1109/TAFFC.2016.2592504.
- Computer History Museum (2008). *Computer History Museum - Exhibits - Internet History - 1970's*. URL: [https://web.archive.org/web/20080221093646/http://www.computerhistory.org/internet\\_history/internet\\_history\\_70s.shtml](https://web.archive.org/web/20080221093646/http://www.computerhistory.org/internet_history/internet_history_70s.shtml) (visited on 04/26/2020).
- Cushway, D., P. A. Tyler, and P. Nolan (1996). "Development of a stress scale for mental health professionals." In: *British Journal of Clinical Psychology* 35.2, pp. 279–295. DOI:

- 10.1111/j.2044-8260.1996.tb01182.x. eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.2044-8260.1996.tb01182.x>.
- Du, J., J. Huang, Y. An, and W. Xu (Jan. 2018). "The Relationship between stress and negative emotion: The Mediating role of rumination." In: *Clinical Research and Trials* 4. DOI: 10.15761/CRT.1000208.
- Fitzpatrick, K. K., A. Darcy, and M. Vierhile (June 2017). "Delivering Cognitive Behavior Therapy to Young Adults With Symptoms of Depression and Anxiety Using a Fully Automated Conversational Agent (Woebot): A Randomized Controlled Trial." In: *JMIR Ment Health* 4.2, e19. ISSN: 2368-7959. DOI: 10.2196/mental.7785.
- Gardner, M. P., B. Wansink, J. Kim, and S.-B. Park (2014). "Better moods for better eating?: How mood influences food choice." In: *Journal of Consumer Psychology* 24.3, pp. 320–335. DOI: 10.1016/j.jcps.2014.01.002. eprint: <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1016/j.jcps.2014.01.002>.
- Gold, A. E., K. M. MacLeod, B. M. Frier, and I. J. Deary (1995). "Changes in mood during acute hypoglycemia in healthy participants." In: *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 68.3, pp. 498–504. DOI: 10.1037/0022-3514.68.3.498.
- Gültepe, O. (2019). "Notification Timing for a Proactive Virtual Dietary Advisor." unpublished bachelor's thesis supervised by PD Dr. Georg Groh, advised by Monika Wintergerst. Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany.
- Horner, L. (2019). "Dialog System-Based Dietary Assessment for a Virtual Dietary Advisor." unpublished bachelor's thesis supervised by PD Dr. Georg Groh, advised by Monika Wintergerst. Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany.
- Juodytė, M. (2019). "Dialog System-Based Assessment of Stress Eating." unpublished bachelor's thesis supervised by PD Dr. Georg Groh, advised by Monika Wintergerst and Martin Lurz. Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany.
- Kárpáti, J. (1993). "Amaterasu and Demeter: About a Japanese – Greek Mythological Analogy." In: *International Journal of Musicology* 2, pp. 9–21. ISSN: 09419535.
- Leipold, N., M. Madenach, H. Schäfer, M. Lurz, N. Terzimehic, G. Groh, M. Böhm, K. Gedrich, and H. Krcmar (2018). "Nutrilize a Personalized Nutrition Recommender System: an Enable Study." In: *HealthRecSys@RecSys*.
- Leiva, L., M. Böhmer, S. Gehring, and A. Krüger (2012). "Back to the App: The Costs of Mobile Application Interruptions." In: *Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services*. MobileHCI '12. San Francisco, California, USA: Association for Computing Machinery, pp. 291–294. ISBN: 9781450311052. DOI: 10.1145/2371574.2371617.
- Lever, J., M. Krzywinski, and N. Altman (2016). "Model selection and overfitting." In: 13, pp. 703–704. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.3968>.
- Locher, J. L., W. C. Yoels, D. Maurer, and J. van Ells (2005). "Comfort Foods: An Exploratory Journey Into The Social and Emotional Significance of Food." In: *Food*

- and *Foodways* 13.4, pp. 273–297. DOI: 10.1080/07409710500334509. eprint: <https://doi.org/10.1080/07409710500334509>.
- Mehra, N. and S. Gupta (Jan. 2013). “Survey on multiclass classification methods.” In: *Int. J. Comput. Sci. Inf. Technol.* 4, pp. 572–576.
- Meinel, M. (2019). “Development of a chatbot for supporting healthy dietary choices.” unpublished guided research supervised by PD Dr. Georg Groh, advised by Monika Wintergerst. Technical University of Munich, Munich, Germany.
- Melillo, P., M. Bracale, and L. Pecchia (2011). “Nonlinear Heart Rate Variability features for real-life stress detection. Case study: students under stress due to university examination.” In: *BioMed Eng OnLine* 10.96. DOI: 10.1186/1475-925X-10-96.
- Mental Health Foundation (2018). *Mental health statistics: stress*. URL: <https://www.mentalhealth.org.uk/statistics/mental-health-statistics-stress> (visited on 04/09/2020).
- National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health (2020a). *24-hour Dietary Recall (24HR) at a glance*. URL: <https://dietassessmentprimer.cancer.gov/profiles/recall/> (visited on 05/11/2020).
- (2020b). *Food Frequency Questionnaire at a glance*. URL: <https://dietassessmentprimer.cancer.gov/profiles/questionnaire/> (visited on 05/11/2020).
- Ozier, A. D., O. W. Kendrick, L. L. Knol, J. D. Leeper, M. Perko, and J. Burnham (2007). “The Eating and Appraisal Due to Emotions and Stress (EADES) Questionnaire: Development and Validation.” In: *Journal of the American Dietetic Association* 107.4, pp. 619–628. DOI: 10.1016/j.jada.2007.01.004.
- Rasa (2020). *Rasa: Open source conversational AI*. URL: <https://rasa.com/> (visited on 04/15/2020).
- scikit-learn developers (2019). *1. Supervised learning*. URL: [https://scikit-learn.org/stable/supervised\\_learning.html#supervised-learning](https://scikit-learn.org/stable/supervised_learning.html#supervised-learning) (visited on 05/04/2020).
- Shum, H., X. He, and D. Li (2018). “From Eliza to XiaoIce: challenges and opportunities with social chatbots.” In: *Frontiers Inf Technol Electronic Eng* 19, pp. 10–26. DOI: 10.1631/FITEE.1700826.
- Spence, C. (2017). “Comfort food: A review.” In: *International Journal of Gastronomy and Food Science* 9, pp. 105–109. ISSN: 1878-450X. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgfs.2017.07.001>.
- Sun, F.-T., C. Kuo, H.-T. Cheng, S. Buthpitiya, P. Collins, and M. Griss (2012). “Activity-Aware Mental Stress Detection Using Physiological Sensors.” In: *Mobile Computing, Applications, and Services*. Ed. by M. Gris and G. Yang. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 282–301. ISBN: 978-3-642-29336-8.
- Telegram (2020). *Telegram Bot API*. URL: <https://core.telegram.org/bots/api> (visited on 04/15/2020).



- Tomiyama, A. J., M. F. Dallman, and E. S. Epel (2011). "Comfort food is comforting to those most stressed: Evidence of the chronic stress response network in high stress women." In: *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 36.10, pp. 1513–1519. ISSN: 0306-4530. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psyneuen.2011.04.005>.
- Torres, S. J. and C. A. Nowson (2007). "Relationship between stress, eating behavior, and obesity." In: *Nutrition* 23.11, pp. 887–894. ISSN: 0899-9007. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2007.08.008>.
- Turing, A. M. (1950). "Computing Machinery and Intelligence." In: *Mind* 59.236, pp. 433–460. ISSN: 00264423, 14602113.
- Wikipedia (2019). *Oizys*. URL: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Oizys> (visited on 04/26/2020).
- Xu, K. and M. Lombard (2017). "Persuasive computing: Feeling peer pressure from multiple computer agents." In: *Computers in Human Behavior* 74, pp. 152–162. ISSN: 0747-5632. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.04.043>.
- Zarouali, B., E. V. den Broeck, M. Walrave, and K. Poels (2018). "Predicting consumer responses to a chatbot on Facebook." In: pp. 491–497. DOI: <http://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2017.0518>.
- Zhai, J. and A. Barreto (2006). "Stress Detection in Computer Users Based on Digital Signal Processing of Noninvasive Physiological Variables." In: *2006 International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*, pp. 1355–1358.