

nonconform: Conformal Anomaly Detection (Python)

- 2 Oliver Hennhöfer 1 1 2
- 1 Intelligent Systems Research Group, Karlsruhe University of Applied Sciences, Karlsruhe, Germany

DOI: 10.xxxxx/draft

Software

- Review 🗗
- Repository 🗗
- Archive □

Editor: Open Journals ♂ Reviewers:

@openjournals

Submitted: 01 January 1970 Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyrigh ₱ and release the work under a 16 Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)

Summary

Quantifying uncertainty is fundamental for Al systems in safety-critical, high-cost-of-error domains, as reliable decision-making depends on it. The Python package nonconform offers statistically principled uncertainty quantification for semi-supervised anomaly detection based on one-class classification (Petsche & Gluck, 1994). It implements methods from conformal anomaly detection (Bates et al., 2023; Jin & Candès, 2023; Laxhammar & Falkman, 2010), grounded in conformal inference (Lei & Wasserman, 2013; Papadopoulos et al., 2002; Vovk et al., 2005).

The package nonconform calibrates anomaly detection models to produce statistically valid p-values from raw anomaly scores. Conformal calibration uses a hold-out set $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{calib}}$ of size n containing normal instances, while the model is trained on a separate normal dataset. For a new observation X_{n+1} with anomaly score $\hat{s}(X_{n+1})$, the p-value is computed by comparing this score to the empirical distribution of calibration scores $\hat{s}(X_i)$ for $i \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{calib}}$. The conformal p-value $\hat{u}(X_{n+1})$ is defined as the normalized rank of $\hat{s}(X_{n+1})$ among the calibration scores (Liang et al., 2024):

$$\hat{u}(X_{n+1}) \,=\, \frac{|\{i \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{calib}} : \hat{s}(X_i) \leq \hat{s}(X_{n+1})\}|}{n}.$$

By framing anomaly detection as a sequence of statistical hypothesis tests, these p-values enable systematic control of the *marginal* (average) false discovery rate (FDR) (Bates et al., 2023; Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995) at a predefined significance level via appropriate statistical procedures. The library integrates seamlessly with the widely used pyod library (Chen et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2019), extending conformal techniques to a broad range of anomaly detection models.

Statement of Need

- ²⁶ A major challenge in anomaly detection lies in setting an appropriate anomaly threshold, as
- 27 it directly influences the false positive rate. In high-stakes domains such as fraud detection,
- medical diagnostics, and industrial quality control, excessive false alarms can lead to alert
- fatigue and render systems impractical.
- $^{_{10}}$ The package nonconform mitigates this issue by replacing raw anomaly scores with $p ext{-} ext{values},$
- enabling formal control of the FDR.
- Consequently, conformal methods become effectively threshold-free, since anomaly thresholds
- 33 are implicitly determined by underlying statistical procedures.

$$FDR = \frac{ ext{Efforts Wasted on False Alarms}}{ ext{Total Efforts}}$$

34 (Benjamini et al., 2009)



- ³⁵ Conformal methods are *nonparametric* and *model-agnostic*, applying to any model that
- 36 produces consistent anomaly scores on arbitrarily distributed data. Their key requirement is
- 17 the assumption of exchangeability between calibration and test data, ensuring the validity of
- $_{38}$ resulting conformal p-values.
- Exchangeability only requires that the joint data distribution is invariant under permutations,
- 40 making it more general—and less restrictive—than the independent and identically distributed
- (i.i.d.) assumption common in classical machine learning.
- 42 To operationalize this assumption, nonconform constructs calibration sets from training data
- using several strategies, including approaches for low-data regimes (Hennhofer & Preisach,
- ⁴⁴ 2024) that do not require a dedicated hold-out set.
- Based on these calibration sets, the package computes standard or weighted conformal p-values
- (Jin & Candès, 2023), which are particularly useful under covariate shift, when exchangeability
- is only approximate.
- These tools enable practitioners to build anomaly detectors whose outputs are statistically
- 49 controlled to maintain the FDR at a chosen nominal level.
- 50 Overall, reliance on exchangeability makes these methods well-suited to cross-sectional data
- 51 but less appropriate for time series applications, where temporal ordering conveys essential
- 52 information.

Acknowledgements

- This work was conducted in part under the research projects Biflex Industrie (Grant no.
- 55 01MV23020A) funded by the German Federal Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Action.

References

- Bates, S., Candès, E., Lei, L., Romano, Y., & Sesia, M. (2023). Testing for outliers with conformal p-values. *The Annals of Statistics*, 51(1). https://doi.org/10.1214/22-aos2244
- Benjamini, Y., Heller, R., & Yekutieli, D. (2009). Selective inference in complex research.
 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering
 Sciences, 367(1906), 4255–4271. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2009.0127
- Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B*(Methodological), 57(1), 289–300. https://doi.org/10.2307/2346101
- Chen, S., Qian, Z., Siu, W., Hu, X., Li, J., Li, S., Qin, Y., Yang, T., Xiao, Z., Ye, W., Zhang,
 Y., Dong, Y., & Zhao, Y. (2024). PyOD 2: A python library for outlier detection with
 LLM-powered model selection. arXiv Preprint arXiv:2412.12154.
- Hennhofer, O., & Preisach, C. (2024).Leave-One-Out-, Bootstrap- and Cross-Conformal Anomaly Detectors . 2024 IEEE International Conference on Knowledge Graph (ICKG), 110–119. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICKG63256.2024.00022
- Jin, Y., & Candès, E. J. (2023). *Model-free selective inference under covariate shift via* weighted conformal p-values. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:259950903
- Laxhammar, R., & Falkman, G. (2010). Conformal prediction for distribution-independent anomaly detection in streaming vessel data. *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Novel Data Stream Pattern Mining Techniques*, 47–55. https://doi.org/10.1145/1833280.1833287
- Lei, J., & Wasserman, L. (2013). Distribution-free prediction bands for non-parametric regression. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, 76(1), 71–96. https://doi.org/10.1111/rssb.12021



- Liang, Z., Sesia, M., & Sun, W. (2024). Integrative conformal p-values for out-of-distribution
 testing with labelled outliers. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, 86(3), 671–693. https://doi.org/10.1093/jrsssb/qkad138
- Papadopoulos, H., Proedrou, K., Vovk, V., & Gammerman, A. (2002). Inductive confidence
 machines for regression. In *Machine learning: ECML 2002* (pp. 345–356). Springer Berlin
 Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-36755-1_29
- Petsche, T., & Gluck, M. (1994). Workshop on novelty detection and adaptive system monitoring. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS).
- Vovk, V., Gammerman, A., & Shafer, G. (2005). *Algorithmic learning in a random world.*Springer-Verlag. ISBN: 0387001522
- Zhao, Y., Nasrullah, Z., & Li, Z. (2019). PyOD: A python toolbox for scalable outlier detection.
 Journal of Machine Learning Research, 20(96), 1–7. http://jmlr.org/papers/v20/19-011.
 html

