




nonconform: Conformal Anomaly Detection (Python)

Oliver Hennhöfer ¹

¹ Intelligent Systems Research Group (ISRG), Karlsruhe University of Applied Sciences (HKA),
Karlsruhe, Germany

DOI: [10.xxxxxx/draft](https://doi.org/10.xxxxxx/draft)

Software

- [Review](#) 
- [Repository](#) 
- [Archive](#) 

Editor: [Open Journals](#) 

Reviewers:

- [@openjournals](#)

Submitted: 01 January 1970

Published: unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright,
and release the work under a
Creative Commons Attribution 4.0
International License ([CC BY 4.0](#)).

Summary

Summary

The ability to quantify uncertainty represents a fundamental requirement for AI systems operating in safety-critical or high-stakes domains and is essential for reliable decision-making. The software package `nonconform` addresses this challenge in the context of unsupervised anomaly detection in form of one-class classification problems ([Petsche & Gluck, 1994](#)). Specifically, the package implements methods from conformal anomaly detection ([Laxhammar & Falkman, 2010](#)), based on the overarching principles of conformal inference ([Lei & Wasserman, 2013](#); [Papadopoulos et al., 2002](#); [Vovk et al., 2005](#)) for statistically principled uncertainty quantification.

The library integrates with `pyod` ([Chen et al., 2024](#); [Zhao et al., 2019](#)) anomaly detection models and converts anomaly scores to statistically valid p -values that can be systematically adjusted using methods that control the False Discovery Rate (FDR) ([Bates et al., 2023](#); [Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995](#)). Rather than relying on anomaly scores and arbitrarily set thresholds, this approach provides statistical guarantees by calibrating detector models to align anomaly scores with their empirical false alarm rates.

Statement of Need

The field of anomaly detection comprises methods for identifying observations that either deviate from the majority of observations or otherwise do not *conform* to an expected state of *normality*. The typical procedure leverages anomaly scores and thresholds to distinguish in-distribution data from out-of-distribution data. However, this approach does not provide statistical guarantees regarding its estimates. A major concern in anomaly detection is the rate of False Positives among proclaimed discoveries. Depending on the domain, False Positives can be expensive. Triggering *false alarms* too often results in *alert fatigue* and eventually renders the detection system ineffective and impractical.

In the context of anomaly detection, uncertainty quantification directly translates to controlling the rate of False Positive (*Type I Error*) while preserving sensitivity to genuine anomalies. In practice, it is necessary to control the proportion of False Positives relative to the entirety of proclaimed discoveries (the number of triggered alerts), measured by the FDR:

$$FDR = \frac{\text{Efforts Wasted on False Alarms}}{\text{Total Efforts}}$$

([Benjamini et al., 2009](#); [Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995](#)).

Framing anomaly detection tasks as sets of statistical hypothesis tests, with H_0 claiming that the data is *normal* (no *discovery* to be made), enables controlling the FDR when statistically

valid p -values (or test statistics) are available. When conducting multiple *simultaneous* hypothesis tests, it is furthermore necessary to *adjust* for multiple testing, as fixed *significance levels* (typically $\alpha < 0.05$) would lead to inflated overall error rates.

The nonconform (*non-conformity-based anomaly detection*) package provides the tools necessary for creating anomaly detectors whose outputs can be statistically controlled to cap the FDR at a nominal level among normal instances under exchangeability. It provides wrappers for a wide range of anomaly detectors (e.g., [Variational-]Autoencoder, IsolationForest, One-Class SVM) complemented by a rich range of conformalization strategies to compute classical conformal p -values or modified *weighted* conformal p -values (Jin & Candès, 2023) using different strategies that make them suitable for application even in low-data regimes (Hennhofer & Preisach, 2024). The need for *weighted* conformal p -values arises when the underlying statistical assumption of *exchangeability* is violated due to covariate shift between calibration and test data.

50 Areas of Application

The methods implemented in nonconform require data to satisfy the statistical assumption of exchangeability—meaning the joint probability distribution remains unchanged under any permutation of the observation order. Simply put, data points can be shuffled without affecting their statistical properties. This assumption naturally holds for independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) data, making the package suitable for cross-sectional data analysis, quality control, fraud detection, and medical diagnostics where samples are independently collected. Time-series and autocorrelated data are unsuitable as temporal ordering carries information that would be lost under permutation, violating exchangeability. However, when exchangeability holds, the methods support both online and batch-streaming deployments through integration with established FDR control methods. The onlineFDR package¹ enables real-time anomaly detection while maintaining statistical guarantees.

62 Acknowledgements

63 This work was conducted in part within the research projects *Biflex Industrie* (grant number
64 01MV23020A) and *AutoDiagCM* (grant number 03EE2046B) funded by the *German Federal*
65 *Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Action (BMWK)*.

66 Bates, S., Candès, E., Lei, L., Romano, Y., & Sesia, M. (2023). Testing for outliers with
67 conformal p-values. *The Annals of Statistics*, 51(1). <https://doi.org/10.1214/22-aos2244>

Benjamini, Y., Heller, R., & Yekutieli, D. (2009). Selective inference in complex research. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, 367(1906), 4255–4271. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2009.0127>

Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 57(1), 289–300. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2346101>

Chen, S., Qian, Z., Siu, W., Hu, X., Li, J., Li, S., Qin, Y., Yang, T., Xiao, Z., Ye, W., Zhang, Y., Dong, Y., & Zhao, Y. (2024). PyOD 2: A python library for outlier detection with LLM-powered model selection. *arXiv Preprint arXiv:2412.12154*.

77 Hennhofer, O., & Preisach, C. (2024). Leave-One-Out-, Bootstrap- and Cross-Conformal
78 Anomaly Detectors . *2024 IEEE International Conference on Knowledge Graph (ICKG)*,
79 110–119. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICKG63256.2024.00022>

¹<https://github.com/OliverHennhoefer/online-fdr>

- 80 Jin, Y., & Candès, E. J. (2023). *Model-free selective inference under covariate shift via*
81 *weighted conformal p-values*. <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:259950903>
- 82 Laxhammar, R., & Falkman, G. (2010). Conformal prediction for distribution-independent
83 anomaly detection in streaming vessel data. *Proceedings of the First International Workshop*
84 *on Novel Data Stream Pattern Mining Techniques*, 47–55. [https://doi.org/10.1145/](https://doi.org/10.1145/1833280.1833287)
85 [1833280.1833287](https://doi.org/10.1145/1833280.1833287)
- 86 Lei, J., & Wasserman, L. (2013). Distribution-free prediction bands for non-parametric
87 regression. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, 76(1),
88 71–96. <https://doi.org/10.1111/rssb.12021>
- 89 Papadopoulos, H., Proedrou, K., Vovk, V., & Gammerman, A. (2002). Inductive confidence
90 machines for regression. In *Machine learning: ECML 2002* (pp. 345–356). Springer Berlin
91 Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-36755-1_29
- 92 Petsche, T., & Gluck, M. (1994). Workshop on novelty detection and adaptive system
93 monitoring. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS)*.
- 94 Vovk, V., Gammerman, A., & Shafer, G. (2005). *Algorithmic learning in a random world*.
95 Springer-Verlag. ISBN: 0387001522
- 96 Zhao, Y., Nasrullah, Z., & Li, Z. (2019). PyOD: A python toolbox for scalable outlier detection.
97 *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 20(96), 1–7. [http://jmlr.org/papers/v20/19-011.](http://jmlr.org/papers/v20/19-011.html)
98 [html](http://jmlr.org/papers/v20/19-011.html)

DRAFT