

nonconform: Conformal Anomaly Detection (Python)

- 2 Oliver Hennhöfer 10 1
- 1 Intelligent Systems Research Group (ISRG), Karlsruhe University of Applied Sciences (HKA),
- 4 Karlsruhe, Germany

DOI: 10.xxxxx/draft

Software

- Review 🗗
- Repository 🗗
- Archive 🗗

Editor: Open Journals ♂ Reviewers:

@openjournals

Submitted: 01 January 1970 **Published:** unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyrigh of and release the work under a 17 Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) Authors

Summary

The Python package nonconform provides statistically principled uncertainty quantification for unsupervised anomaly detection. It implements methods from conformal anomaly detection (Bates et al., 2023; Jin & Candès, 2023; Laxhammar & Falkman, 2010) based on the principles of one-class classification (Petsche & Gluck, 1994). The ability to quantify uncertainty is a fundamental requirement for AI systems in safety-critical domains, where reliable decision-making is essential.

Based on the underlying principles of conformal inference (Lei & Wasserman, 2013; Papadopoulos et al., 2002; Vovk et al., 2005), nonconform converts raw anomaly scores from an underlying detection model into statistically valid p-values. This is achieved by calibrating the model on a hold-out set of normal data; the p-value for a new test instance is then calculated as the relative rank of its anomaly score compared to the scores from the calibration set. By framing anomaly detection as a series of statistical hypothesis tests, these p-values allow for the systematic control of the False Discovery Rate (FDR) (Bates et al., 2023; Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995) at a pre-defined significance level (e.g., $\alpha \leq 0.1$). The library integrates with the popular pyod library (Chen et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2019), making it easy to apply these conformal techniques to a wide range of anomaly detection models.

Statement of Need

A primary challenge in anomaly detection is setting an appropriate anomaly threshold, which directly impacts the false positive rate. In high-stakes domains such as fraud detection, medical diagnostics, and industrial quality control, controlling the proportion of false positives is crucial, as frequent false alarms can lead to alert fatigue and render a system impractical. The nonconform package addresses this by replacing raw anomaly scores with p-values, which enables formal FDR control. This makes the conformal methods threshold-free, as decision thresholds are a direct result of respective statistical procedures.

$$FDR = \frac{ \text{Efforts Wasted on False Alarms}}{ \text{Total Efforts}}$$

30 (Benjamini et al., 2009; Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995)

Moreover, conformal methods are *non-parametric* and *model-agnostic*, making them compatible with any model that produces consistent anomaly scores. The nonconform package provides a range of strategies for creating the calibration set from training data, even in low-data regimes (Hennhofer & Preisach, 2024). With the gathered calibration set, the package can compute standard conformal *p*-values or modified *weighted* conformal *p*-values (Jin & Candès, 2023) for test data. Weighted *p*-values are particularly useful when the statistical assumption of exchangeability is weakened by covariate shift between calibration and test data. By providing



- $_{\mbox{\tiny 38}}$ these tools, nonconform enables researchers and practitioners to build anomaly detectors whose
- outputs are statistically controlled to cap the FDR at a desired nominal level:
- The core assumption for the methods in nonconform is that the data is exchangeable, meaning
- the joint probability distribution is invariant to the order of observations. This makes the
- 42 methods suitable for many cross-sectional data analysis tasks but not for time-series data
- where temporal ordering is informative.

44 Acknowledgements

- This work was conducted in part within the research projects Biflex Industrie (grant number
- 46 01MV23020A) and AutoDiagCM (grant number 03EE2046B) funded by the German Federal
- 47 Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Action (BMWK).

References

- Bates, S., Candès, E., Lei, L., Romano, Y., & Sesia, M. (2023). Testing for outliers with conformal p-values. *The Annals of Statistics*, 51(1). https://doi.org/10.1214/22-aos2244
- Benjamini, Y., Heller, R., & Yekutieli, D. (2009). Selective inference in complex research.
 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering
 Sciences, 367(1906), 4255–4271. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2009.0127
- Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and
 powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B* (Methodological), 57(1), 289–300. https://doi.org/10.2307/2346101
- Chen, S., Qian, Z., Siu, W., Hu, X., Li, J., Li, S., Qin, Y., Yang, T., Xiao, Z., Ye, W., Zhang,
 Y., Dong, Y., & Zhao, Y. (2024). PyOD 2: A python library for outlier detection with
 LLM-powered model selection. arXiv Preprint arXiv:2412.12154.
- Hennhofer, O., & Preisach, C. (2024).Leave-One-Out-, Bootstrap- and Cross-Conformal
 Anomaly Detectors . 2024 IEEE International Conference on Knowledge Graph (ICKG),
 110–119. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICKG63256.2024.00022
- Jin, Y., & Candès, E. J. (2023). *Model-free selective inference under covariate shift via*weighted conformal p-values. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:259950903
- Laxhammar, R., & Falkman, G. (2010). Conformal prediction for distribution-independent anomaly detection in streaming vessel data. *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Novel Data Stream Pattern Mining Techniques*, 47–55. https://doi.org/10.1145/1833280.1833287
- Lei, J., & Wasserman, L. (2013). Distribution-free prediction bands for non-parametric regression. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, 76(1), 71–96. https://doi.org/10.1111/rssb.12021
- Papadopoulos, H., Proedrou, K., Vovk, V., & Gammerman, A. (2002). Inductive confidence machines for regression. In *Machine learning: ECML 2002* (pp. 345–356). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-36755-1_29
- Petsche, T., & Gluck, M. (1994). Workshop on novelty detection and adaptive system monitoring. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS).
- Vovk, V., Gammerman, A., & Shafer, G. (2005). Algorithmic learning in a random world.

 Springer-Verlag. ISBN: 0387001522
- Zhao, Y., Nasrullah, Z., & Li, Z. (2019). PyOD: A python toolbox for scalable outlier detection.
 Journal of Machine Learning Research, 20(96), 1–7. http://jmlr.org/papers/v20/19-011.



81 html

