

nonconform: Conformal Anomaly Detection (Python)

² Oliver Hennhöfer ⁰ ¹

1 Intelligent Systems Research Group, Karlsruhe University of Applied Sciences, Karlsruhe, Germany

DOI: 10.xxxxx/draft

Software

■ Review 🗗

■ Repository 🗗

Archive □

Editor: Open Journals ♂ Reviewers:

@openjournals

Submitted: 01 January 1970 **Published:** unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a ¹⁶ Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0).

Summary

13

Quantifying uncertainty is fundamental for AI systems in safety-critical, high-cost-of-error domains, as reliable decision-making depends on it. The Python package nonconform offers statistically principled uncertainty quantification for semi-supervised anomaly detection based on one-class classification (Tax, 2001). It implements methods from conformal anomaly detection (Bates et al., 2023; Jin & Candès, 2025; Laxhammar & Falkman, 2010), grounded in conformal inference (Lei & Wasserman, 2013; Papadopoulos et al., 2002; Vovk et al., 2005).

The package nonconform calibrates anomaly detection models to produce statistically valid p-values from raw anomaly scores. Conformal calibration uses a hold-out set $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{calib}}$ of size n containing normal instances, while the model is trained on a separate normal dataset. For a new observation X_{n+1} with anomaly score $\hat{s}(X_{n+1})$, the p-value is computed by comparing this score to the empirical distribution of calibration scores $\hat{s}(X_i)$ for $i \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{calib}}$. The conformal p-value $\hat{u}(X_{n+1})$ is defined as the normalized rank of $\hat{s}(X_{n+1})$ among the calibration scores (Liang et al., 2024):

$$\hat{u}(X_{n+1}) \ = \ \frac{|\{i \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{calib}} : \hat{s}(X_i) \leq \hat{s}(X_{n+1})\}|}{n}.$$

By framing anomaly detection as a sequence of statistical hypothesis tests, these p-values enable systematic control of the marginal (average) false discovery rate (FDR) (Bates et al., 2023; Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995) at a predefined significance level via appropriate statistical procedures. The library integrates seamlessly with the widely used pyod library (Chen et al., 2025; Zhao et al., 2019), extending conformal techniques to a broad range of anomaly detection models.

Statement of Need

- A major challenge in anomaly detection lies in setting an appropriate anomaly threshold, as it directly influences the false positive rate. In high-stakes domains such as fraud detection,
- medical diagnostics, and industrial quality control, excessive false alarms can lead to alert fatigue and render systems impractical.
- The package nonconform mitigates this issue by replacing raw anomaly scores with p-values, enabling formal control of the FDR. Consequently, conformal methods become effectively
- threshold-free, since anomaly thresholds are implicitly determined by underlying statistical procedures.

$$FDR = \frac{ \text{Efforts Wasted on False Alarms}}{ \text{Total Efforts}}$$

33 (Benjamini et al., 2009)



- ³⁴ Conformal methods are nonparametric and model-agnostic, applying to any model that
- 35 produces consistent anomaly scores on arbitrarily distributed data. Their key requirement is
- $_{36}$ the assumption of exchangeability between calibration and test data, ensuring the validity of
- resulting conformal p-values.
- Exchangeability only requires that the joint data distribution is invariant under permutations,
- making it more general—and less restrictive—than the independent and identically distributed
- (i.i.d.) assumption common in classical machine learning.
- To operationalize this assumption, nonconform constructs calibration sets from training data
- using several strategies, including approaches for low-data regimes (Hennhofer & Preisach,
- 43 2024) that do not require a dedicated hold-out set. Based on these calibration sets, the
- package computes standard or weighted conformal p-values (Jin & Candès, 2025), which are
- particularly useful under covariate shift, when exchangeability is only approximate.
- These tools enable practitioners to build anomaly detectors whose outputs are statistically
- controlled to maintain the FDR at a chosen nominal level.
- Overall, reliance on exchangeability makes these methods well-suited to cross-sectional data
- but less appropriate for time series applications, where temporal ordering conveys essential
- 50 information.

51 Acknowledgements

- This work was conducted in part under the research projects Biflex Industrie (Grant no.
- 53 01MV23020A) funded by the German Federal Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Action.

54 References

- Bates, S., Candès, E., Lei, L., Romano, Y., & Sesia, M. (2023). Testing for outliers with conformal p-values. *The Annals of Statistics*, 51(1). https://doi.org/10.1214/22-aos2244
- Benjamini, Y., Heller, R., & Yekutieli, D. (2009). Selective inference in complex research.
 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering
 Sciences, 367(1906), 4255–4271. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2009.0127
- Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B* (Methodological), 57(1), 289–300. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x
- Chen, S., Qian, Z., Siu, W., Hu, X., Li, J., Li, S., Qin, Y., Yang, T., Xiao, Z., Ye, W., Zhang, Y., Dong, Y., & Zhao, Y. (2025). PyOD 2: A python library for outlier detection with LLM-powered model selection. *Companion Proceedings of the ACM on Web Conference* 2025, 2807–2810. https://doi.org/10.1145/3701716.3715196
- Hennhofer, O., & Preisach, C. (2024).Leave-One-Out-, Bootstrap- and Cross-Conformal Anomaly Detectors . 2024 IEEE International Conference on Knowledge Graph (ICKG), 110–119. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICKG63256.2024.00022
- Jin, Y., & Candès, E. J. (2025). Model-free selective inference under covariate shift via weighted conformal p-values. *Biometrika*, asaf066. https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/asaf066
- Laxhammar, R., & Falkman, G. (2010). Conformal prediction for distribution-independent anomaly detection in streaming vessel data. *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Novel Data Stream Pattern Mining Techniques*, 47–55. https://doi.org/10.1145/1833280.1833287
- Lei, J., & Wasserman, L. (2013). Distribution-free prediction bands for non-parametric regression. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology, 76(1), 71–96. https://doi.org/10.1111/rssb.12021



- Liang, Z., Sesia, M., & Sun, W. (2024). Integrative conformal p-values for out-of-distribution testing with labelled outliers. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology*, 86(3), 671–693. https://doi.org/10.1093/jrsssb/qkad138
- Papadopoulos, H., Proedrou, K., Vovk, V., & Gammerman, A. (2002). Inductive confidence machines for regression. In *Machine learning: ECML 2002* (pp. 345–356). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-36755-1_29
- Tax, D. (2001). One-class classification; concept-learning in the absence of counter-examples [Dissertation (TU Delft)]. Delft University of Technology. ISBN: 90-75691-05-X
- Vovk, V., Gammerman, A., & Shafer, G. (2005). *Algorithmic learning in a random world*.

 Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/b106715
- Zhao, Y., Nasrullah, Z., & Li, Z. (2019). *PyOD: A python toolbox for scalable outlier detection*. https://doi.org/10.48550/ARXIV.1901.01588

