

nonconform: Conformal Anomaly Detection

- 2 Oliver Hennhöfer 10 1
- 1 Intelligent Systems Research Group (ISRG), Karlsruhe University of Applied Sciences (HKA),
- 4 Karlsruhe, Germany

DOI: 10.xxxxx/draft

Software

- Review 🗗
- Repository 🗗
- Archive ♂

Editor: Open Journals ♂ Reviewers:

@openjournals

Submitted: 01 January 1970 **Published:** unpublished

License

Authors of papers retain copyright and release the work under a 17 Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0)

Summary

The ability to quantify uncertainty represents a fundamental requirement for Al systems operating in safety-critical domains. In context of anomaly detection, this directly translates to controlling the rate of False Positive ($Type\ I\ Error$) while preserving sensitivity to genuine anomalies. Conformal Anomaly Detection (Laxhammar & Falkman, 2010) emerges as a promising approach for providing respective statistical guarantees by calibrating a given detector model in order to align anomaly scores with their empirical false alarm rates. Instead of relying on anomaly scores and arbitrarily set thresholds, this approach converts the anomaly scores to statistically valid p-values that can then be adjusted by statistical methods that control the False Discovery Rate (FDR) (Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995) within a set of tested instances (Bates et al., 2023).

The Python library nonconform is an open-source software package that provides a range of tools to enable conformal inference (Lei & Wasserman, 2013; Papadopoulos et al., 2002; Vovk et al., 2005) for one-class classification (Petsche & Gluck, 1994). The library computes classical and weighted conformal *p*-values (Jin & Candès, 2023) using different conformalization strategies that make them suitable for application even in low-data regimes (Hennhofer & Preisach, 2024). The library integrates with the majority of pyod anomaly detection models (Chen et al., 2024; Zhao et al., 2019).

Statement of Need

The field of anomaly detection comprises methods for identifying observations that either deviate from the majority of observations or otherwise do not *conform* to an expected state of *normality*. The typical procedure leverages anomaly scores and thresholds to distinguish in-distribution data from out-of-distribution data. However, this approach does not provide statistical guarantees regarding its estimates. A major concern in anomaly detection is the rate of False Positives among proclaimed discoveries. Depending on the domain, False Positives can be expensive. Triggering *false alarms* too often results in *alert fatigue* and eventually renders the detection system ineffective and impractical.

In such contexts, it is necessary to control the proportion of False Positives relative to the entirety of proclaimed discoveries (the number of triggered alerts). In practice, this is measured by the FDR, which translates to:

$$FDR = \frac{ \text{Efforts Wasted on False Alarms}}{ \text{Total Efforts}}$$

- 35 (Benjamini et al., 2009; Benjamini & Hochberg, 1995).
- Framing anomaly detection tasks as sets of statistical hypothesis tests, with H_0 claiming that the data is *normal* (no *discovery* to be made), enables controlling the FDR when statistically
- valid p-values (or test statistics) are available. When conducting multiple simultaneous



- hypothesis tests, it is furthermore necessary to adjust for multiple testing, as fixed significance levels (typically $\alpha \leq 0.05$) would lead to inflated overall error rates.
- The nonconform (non-conformity-based anomaly detection) package provides the tools neces-
- 2 sary for creating anomaly detectors whose outputs can be statistically controlled to cap the
- 43 FDR at a nominal level among normal instances under exchangeability. It provides wrappers for
- a wide range of anomaly detectors (e.g., [Variational-]Autoencoder, IsolationForest, One-Class
- 45 SVM) complemented by a rich range of conformalization strategies (mostly depending on the
- $data\ regime$) to compute classical conformal p-values or modified weighted conformal p-values.
- 47 The need for weighted conformal p-values arises when the underlying statistical assumption of
- 48 exchangeability is violated due to covariate shift between calibration and test data. Finally,
- nonconform offers built-in statistical adjustment measures like Benjamini-Hochberg (Benjamini
- 49 Indicativiti di dieis buit-in statistical adjustifient measures nee benjamme-nociberg (benjamm
- $\stackrel{1}{\&}$ Hochberg, 1995) that correct obtained and statistically valid p-values for the multiple testing
- problem when testing a *batch* of observations simultaneously.

Acknowledgements

- This work was conducted in part within the research projects *Biflex Industrie* (grant number
- 54 01MV23020A) and AutoDiagCM (grant number 03EE2046B) funded by the German Federal
- 55 Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Action (BMWK).
- Bates, S., Candès, E., Lei, L., Romano, Y., & Sesia, M. (2023). Testing for outliers with conformal p-values. *The Annals of Statistics*, 51(1). https://doi.org/10.1214/22-aos2244
- Benjamini, Y., Heller, R., & Yekutieli, D. (2009). Selective inference in complex research.
 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering
 Sciences, 367(1906), 4255–4271. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2009.0127
- Benjamini, Y., & Hochberg, Y. (1995). Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and
 powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B* (Methodological), 57(1), 289–300. https://doi.org/10.2307/2346101
- Chen, S., Qian, Z., Siu, W., Hu, X., Li, J., Li, S., Qin, Y., Yang, T., Xiao, Z., Ye, W., Zhang,
 Y., Dong, Y., & Zhao, Y. (2024). PyOD 2: A python library for outlier detection with
 LLM-powered model selection. arXiv Preprint arXiv:2412.12154.
- Hennhofer, O., & Preisach, C. (2024).Leave-One-Out-, Bootstrap- and Cross-Conformal Anomaly Detectors . 2024 IEEE International Conference on Knowledge Graph (ICKG), 110–119. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICKG63256.2024.00022
- Jin, Y., & Candès, E. J. (2023). *Model-free selective inference under covariate shift via weighted conformal p-values*. https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:259950903
- Laxhammar, R., & Falkman, G. (2010). Conformal prediction for distribution-independent anomaly detection in streaming vessel data. *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Novel Data Stream Pattern Mining Techniques*, 47–55. https://doi.org/10.1145/1833280.1833287
- Lei, J., & Wasserman, L. (2013). Distribution-free prediction bands for non-parametric regression. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B: Statistical Methodology, 76(1), 71–96. https://doi.org/10.1111/rssb.12021
- Papadopoulos, H., Proedrou, K., Vovk, V., & Gammerman, A. (2002). Inductive confidence machines for regression. In *Machine learning: ECML 2002* (pp. 345–356). Springer Berlin Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-36755-1_29
- Petsche, T., & Gluck, M. (1994). Workshop on novelty detection and adaptive system monitoring. Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (NIPS).
- Vovk, V., Gammerman, A., & Shafer, G. (2005). Algorithmic learning in a random world.



- Springer-Verlag. ISBN: 0387001522
- 86 Zhao, Y., Nasrullah, Z., & Li, Z. (2019). PyOD: A python toolbox for scalable outlier detection.
- Journal of Machine Learning Research, 20(96), 1–7. http://jmlr.org/papers/v20/19-011.
- 。 htm

