Student name:		CRSID:		Module number:	

Feedback to the student

☐ **See also comments in the text**

		Very good	**Good**	Needs improvmt
C O N T E N T	**Completeness, quantity of content:** Has the report covered all aspects of the lab? Has the analysis been carried out thoroughly?			
	Correctness, quality of content Is the data correct? Is the analysis of the data correct? Are the conclusions correct?			
	Depth of understanding, quality of discussion Does the report show a good technical understanding? Have all the relevant conclusions been drawn?			
	Comments:			
P R E S E N T A T I O N	**Attention to detail, typesetting and typographical errors** Is the report free of typographical errors? Are the figures/tables/references presented professionally?			
	Comments:			

Raw report mark	**/ 5**
Penalty for lateness	

The weighting of comments is not intended to be equal, and the relative importance of criteria may vary between modules. A good report should attract 4 marks.

1 mark / week or part week.
Please use allowance forms to inform the teaching office about mitigating circumstances.

Marker: Date:

Experiment 1: C-V Measurements

Experiment 2: I-V Measurements

Reverse Bias

Weak Forward Bias

Strong Forward Bias