50003 - Models of Computation - (Dr Raad) Lecture 7

Oliver Killane

02/11/21

Lecture Recording

Lecture recording is available here

Note for reader

We will reference to state by set $State \triangleq (Var \rightharpoonup \mathbb{N})$.

Lemmas

Lemma

A small proven proposition that can be used in a proof. Used to make the proof smaller.

Also know as an "auxiliary theorem" or "helper theorem".

Corollary

A theorem connected by a short proof to another existing theorem.

If B is can be easily deduced from A (or is evident in A's proof) then B is a corollary of A.

Lemmas

1. $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}. \forall E_1, E_1', E_2 \in SimpleExp. [E_1 \rightarrow^r E_1' \Rightarrow (E_1 + E_2) \rightarrow^r (E_1' + E_2)]$
2. $\forall r, n \in \mathbb{N}. \forall E_2, E_2' \in SimpleExp. [E_2 \rightarrow^r E_2' \Rightarrow (n + E_2) \rightarrow^r (n + E_2')]$

Corollaries

1. $\forall n_1 \in \mathbb{N}. \forall E_1, E_2 \in SimpleExp. [E_1 \rightarrow^* n_1 \Rightarrow (E_1 + E_2) \rightarrow^* (n_1 + E_2)]$
2. $\forall n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}. \forall E_2 \in SimpleExp. [E_2 \rightarrow^* n_2 \Rightarrow (n_1 + E_2) \rightarrow^* (n_1 + n_2)]$
3. $\forall n, n_1, n_2, \in \mathbb{N}. \forall E_1, E_2 \in SimpleExp. [E_1 \rightarrow^* n_1 \wedge E_2 \rightarrow^* n_2 \wedge n = n_1 + n_2 \Rightarrow (E_1 + E_2) \rightarrow^* n]$

Connecting $\Downarrow$ and $\rightarrow^*$ for SimpleExp

$$\forall E \in SimpleExp, n \in \mathbb{N}. [E \Downarrow n \Leftrightarrow E \rightarrow^* n]$$

We prove each direction of implication separately. First we prove by induction over E using the property P:

$$P(E) =^{def} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. [E \Downarrow n \Rightarrow E \rightarrow^* n]$$

Base Case

Take arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{N}$ to show $P(m) = m \Downarrow n \Rightarrow m \rightarrow^* n$.

(1)	Assume $m \Downarrow n$	
(2)	$m = n$	(From Inversion of $\Downarrow$)
(3)	$m \rightarrow^* n$	(By 2 and definition of $\rightarrow^*$)

Inductive Step

Take some arbitrary E, E_1, E_2 such that $E = E_1 + E_2$.
Inductive Hypothesis

$$\forall n_1 \in \mathbb{N}.[E_1 \Downarrow n_1 \Rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow^* n_1]$$

$$\forall n_2 \in \mathbb{N}.[E_2 \Downarrow n_2 \Rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow^* n_2]$$

To show $P(E)$: $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}.[(E_1 + E_2) \Downarrow n \Rightarrow (E_1 + E_2) \rightarrow^* n]$.

(1)	Assume $(E_1 + E_2) \Downarrow n$	
(2)	$\exists n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}.[E_1 \Downarrow n_1 \wedge E_2 \Downarrow n_2]$	(By 1 & definition of B-ADD)
(3)	$E_1 \rightarrow^* n_1$	(By 2 & IH)
(4)	$E_2 \rightarrow^* n_2$	(By 2 & IH)
(5)	Chose some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n = n_1 + n_2$	
(6)	$(E_1 + E_2) \rightarrow^* n$	(By 3,4,5 Corollary 3)
(7)	$E \rightarrow^* n$	(By 6, definition of E)

Hence assuming $E \Downarrow n$ implies $E \rightarrow^* n$, so $P(E)$.
Next we work the other way, to show:

$$\forall E \in SimpleExp.\forall n \in \mathbb{N}.[E \rightarrow^* n \Rightarrow E \Downarrow n]$$

(1)	Take arbitrary $E \in SimplExp$ such that $E \rightarrow^* n$	(Initial setup)
(2)	Take some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $E \Downarrow m$	(By totality of $\Downarrow$)
(3)	$n = m$	(By 1,2 & uniqueness of result for $\rightarrow$)
(4)	$E \Downarrow n$	(By 3)

It is also possible to prove this without using normalisation and determinacy, by induction on E.

Multi-Step Reductions

Lemma:

$$\forall r \in \mathbb{N}.\forall E_1, E_1', E_2.[E_1 \rightarrow^r E_1' \Rightarrow (E_1 + E_2) \rightarrow^r (E_1' + E_2)]$$

To prove $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}.[P(r)]$ by induction on r:

Base Case

- Base case is $r = 0$.
- Prove that $P(0)$ holds.

Inductive Step

- Inductive Case is $r = k + 1$ for arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$.
- Inductive hypothesis is $P(k)$.
- Prove $P(k+1)$ using inductive hypothesis.

Proof of the Lemma

By induction on r: **Base Case:** Take some arbitrary $E_1, E_1', E_2 \in SimpleExp$ such that $E_1 \to^0 E_1'$.

(1)	$E_1 = E_1'$	(By definition of $\to^0$)
(2)	$(E_1 + E_2) = (E_1' + E_2)$	(By 1)
(3)	$(E_1 + E_2) \to^0 (E_1' + E_2)$	(By definition of $\to^0$)

Inductive Step: Take arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P(k)$

(1)	Take arbitrary E_1, E_1', E_2 such that $E_1 \to E_1'$	(Initial setup)
(2)	Take arbitrary E_1'' such that $E_1'' \to E_1'$	
(3)	$(E_1 + E_2) \to^k (E_1'' + E_2)$	(By 2 & IH)
(4)	$(E_1'' + E_2) \to (E_1' + E_2)$	(By 2 & rule S-LEFT)
(5)	$(E_1 + E_2) \to^{k+1} (E_1' + E_2)$	(3,4, definition of $\to^{k+1}$)

Determinacy of $\to$ for Exp

We extend simple expressions configurations of the form $\langle E, s\rangle$.

$$E \in Exp ::= n | x | E + E | \dots$$

Determinacy:

$$\forall E, E_1, E_2 \in Exp. \forall s, s_1, s_2 \in State. [\langle E, s\rangle \to \langle E_1, s_1\rangle \wedge \langle E, s\rangle \to \langle E_2, s_2\rangle \Rightarrow \langle E_1, s_1\rangle = \langle E_2, s_2\rangle]$$

We prove this using property P:

$$P(E, s) \triangleq \forall E_1, E_2 \in Exp. \forall s_1, s_2 \in State. [\langle E, s\rangle \to \langle E_1, s_1\rangle \wedge \langle E, s\rangle \to \langle E_2, s_2\rangle \Rightarrow \langle E_1, s_1\rangle = \langle E_2, s_2\rangle]$$

Base Case: $E = x$

Take arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in State$ to show $P(n, s)$

(1)	take $E_1 \in Exp$, $s_1 \in State$ such that $\langle n, s\rangle \to \langle E_1, s_1\rangle$	(Initial setup)
(2)	take $E_2 \in Exp$, $s_2 \in State$ such that $\langle n, s\rangle \to \langle E_2, s_2\rangle$	(Initial setup)
(3)	$n = E_1 \wedge s = s_1$	(By 1 & inversion on definition of E.NUM)
(4)	$n = E_2 \wedge s = s_2$	(By 2 & inversion on definition of E.NUM)
(5)	$E_1 = E_2 \wedge s_1 = s_2$	(By 3 & 4)
(6)	$\langle E_1, s_1\rangle = \langle E_2, s_2\rangle$	(By 5 & definition of configurations)

Base Case: $E = x$

Take arbitrary $x \in Var$ and $s \in State$ to show $P(n, s)$

(1)	take $E_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_1 \in State$ such that $\langle x, s\rangle \to \langle E_1, s_1\rangle$	(Initial setup)
(2)	take $E_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $s_2 \in State$ such that $\langle x, s\rangle \to \langle E_2, s_2\rangle$	(Initial setup)
(3)	$E_1 = s(x) \wedge s_1 = s$	(By 1 & inversion on definition of E.VAR)
(3)	$E_2 = s(x) \wedge s_2 = s$	(By 2 & inversion on definition of E.VAR)
(5)	$E_1 = E_2 \wedge s_1 = s_2$	(By 3 & 4)
(6)	$\langle E_1, s_1\rangle = \langle E_2, s_2\rangle$	(By 5 & definition of configurations)

. . . Inductive Step . . .

Syntax of Commands

$$C \in Com ::= x := E | \text{if } B \text{ then } C \text{ else } C | C; C | skip | \text{while } B \text{ do } C$$

- **Determinacy**

  $\forall C, C_1, C_2 \in Com. \forall s, s_1, s_2 \in State. [\langle C, s\rangle \to_c \langle C_1, s_1\rangle \wedge \langle C, s\rangle \to_c \langle C_2, s_2\rangle \Rightarrow \langle C_1, s_1\rangle = \langle C_2, s_2\rangle]$

- **Confluence**

  $\forall C, C_1, C_2 \in Com. \forall s, s_1, s_2 \in State. [\langle C, s\rangle \to_c^* \langle C_1, s_1\rangle \wedge \langle C, s\rangle \to_c^* \langle C_2, s_2\rangle \Rightarrow \exists C' \in Com. \exists s' \in State. [\langle C_1, s_1\rangle \to$

- **Unique Answer**

  $$\forall C \in Com. s_1 s_2 \in State. [\langle C, s\rangle \to_c^* \langle skip, s_1\rangle \wedge \langle C, s\rangle \to_c^* \langle skip, s_2\rangle \Rightarrow s_1 = s_2]$$

- **No Normalisation**
  There exist derivations of infinite length for while.

Connecting $\Downarrow$ and $\to^*$ for While

1. $\forall E, n \in Exp. \forall s, s' \in State. [\langle E, s\rangle \Downarrow_e \langle n, s'\rangle \Leftrightarrow \langle E, s\rangle \to_e^* \langle n, s'\rangle]$
2. $\forall B, b \in Bool. \forall s, s' \in State. [\langle B, s\rangle \Downarrow_b \langle b, s'\rangle \Leftrightarrow \langle B, s\rangle \to_b^* \langle b, s'\rangle]$
3. $\forall C \in Com. \forall s, s' \in State. [\langle C, s\rangle \Downarrow_c \langle s'\rangle \Leftrightarrow \langle C, s\rangle \to_c^* \langle skip, s'\rangle]$

For Exp and $Bool$ we have proofs by induction on the structure of expressions/booleans.

For $\Downarrow_c$ it is more complex as the $\Downarrow_c \Leftarrow \to_c^*$ cannot be proven using totality. Instead **complete/strong induction** on length of $\to_c^*$ is used.