

50001 - Algorithm Analysis and Design - Lecture 2

Oliver Killane

12/11/21

Lecture Recording

Lecture recording is available here

Evaluation & Cost Models

```
1 minimum :: [Int] -> Int
2 minimum = head . isort
```

When analysing the cost of **minimum** we must consider how the function is evaluated.

For example we could shortcut the once **isort** has determined the first element (the minimum) of the list.

Cost Model

A model to determine the time taken to execute a program.

The model assigns cost to different operations (e.g comparisons, calls, memory read-s/writes)

A very generalised cost model assigns cost based on the number of **reductions** required to evaluate a program.

Small While Language

We can define a small language of expressions as follows:

$$e ::= x \mid k \mid f\ e_1 \dots e_n \mid \text{if } e \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2$$

where k means constant and x is the variable form.

Infix functions such as $+$, $-$, \times are written normally, and are expressions also as they can be used in the form $(+)\ e_1\ e_2$.

There are also several primitive constants: *True*, *False*, $0, 1, 2, \dots$

List constants and operations are also primitive: $[], (:), \text{null}, \text{head}, \text{tail}$

Evaluation Order

- **Applicative Order** Strict evaluation
The leftmost, innermost reducible expression is evaluated first.
e.g for $fst(3 \times 2, 1 + 2)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&fst(3 \times 2, 1 + 2) \\
&\rightsquigarrow \{ \text{Definition of } \times \} \\
&fst(6, 1 + 2) \\
&\rightsquigarrow \{ \text{Definition of } + \} \\
&fst(6, 3) \\
&\rightsquigarrow \{ \text{Definition of } fst \} \\
&6
\end{aligned}$$

- **Normal Order** Lazy evaluation

The leftmost outer reducible is evaluated first. Effectively evaluating the function before its arguments.

e.g for $fst(0, 1 + 2)$

$$\begin{aligned}
&fst(3 \times 2, 1 + 2) \\
&\rightsquigarrow \{ \text{Definition of } fst \} \\
&3 \times 2 \\
&\rightsquigarrow \{ \text{Definition of } \times \} \\
&6
\end{aligned}$$

For a given program, if **applicative** and **normal** terminate, then they produce the same value in normal form.

However there are some programs where **normal** evaluation terminates, but **applicative** will not.

| Applicative | Normal |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| $fst(0, \text{crazy nonsense})$ | $fst(0, \text{crazy nonsense})$ |
| $\rightsquigarrow \{ \text{By lack of definition for crazy nonsense} \}$ | $\rightsquigarrow \{ \text{Definition of } fst \}$ |
| CRASH! | 0 |

The program may be syntactically correct, but have an error such as zero-division which will not be evaluated and hence not result in improper termination under **normal** order.

Applicative Terminates \Rightarrow Normal Terminates

Cost Model for Small While

We can evaluate a cost model for the small while language by creating a function T to assign cost to expressions.

| Type | Function | Explanation |
|------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| non-primitive function | $ \begin{aligned} &f \ a_1 \ \dots \ a_n = e \\ &T(f) \ a_1 \ \dots \ a_n = T(e) + 1 \end{aligned} $ | Given we have already computed all argument, the cost of the function is the cost of the expression it produces, and a single call. |
| primitive function | $T(f) \ x \ \dots \ x_n = 0$ | Primitive functions are assumed to be free. |
| Variable | $T(x) = 0$ | accessing variables is free. |
| Application | $ \begin{aligned} &T(f \ e_1 \ \dots \ e_n) = T(f) \ e_1 \ \dots \ e_n + \\ &T(e_1) + \dots + T(e_n) \end{aligned} $ | When applying a function we must consider both its cost, and the cost of all argument expressions. |
| Conditional | $ \begin{aligned} &T(\text{if } p \text{ then } e_1 \text{ else } e_2) = T(p) + \\ &\text{if } p \text{ then } T(e_1) \text{ else } T(e_2) \end{aligned} $ | Cost of condition and of the resulting expression. |

Cost Model Example

Given the function:

$$\text{mul } m \ n = \text{if } m = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } n + \text{mul}(m - 1) n$$

Evaluate $T(\text{mul } 3 \ 100)$

UNFINISHED!!!

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| (1) $\text{mul } 3 \ 100$ | () |
| (2) $T(\text{if } 3 = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 100 + \text{mul } (3 - 1) \ 100) + 1$ | (By Rule for non-primitive functions) |
| (3) $T(3 = 0) + T(100 + \text{mul } (3 - 1) \ 100) + 1$ | (By rule for conditionals) |
| (3) $0 + T(100 + \text{mul } (3 - 1) \ 100) + 1$ | (By primitive functions) |
| (3) $T(+)(100 \ \text{mul } (3 - 1) \ 100) + T(100) + T(\text{mul } (3 - 1) \ 100) + 1$ | (By application rule) |
| (3) $0 + T(100) + T(\text{mul } (3 - 1) \ 100) + 1$ | (By rule for primitive functions) |
| (3) $T(\text{mul } (3 - 1) \ 100) + 1$ | (By rule for constants) |
| (3) $T(\text{mul } (3 - 1) \ 100) + T(3 - 1) + T(100) + 1$ | (By application rule) |
| (3) $T(\text{mul } (3 - 1) \ 100) + T(-) 3 \ 1 + T(100) + 1$ | (By application rule) |
| (3) $T(\text{mul } (2) \ 100) + 1$ | (By application rule) |