```
Intorduction to Dynamic Programming
About me

    Carlos Gonzalez Oliver

 • carlos@ozeki.io

    carlosoliver.co

My interests:
 • Structural Bioinformatics

    Graph algorithms

 • Representation learning
Some questions I ask:
 • How can we efficiently search through graph databases?
 • What kinds of patterns can we discover in datasets of graphs?
 • Can we model biological systems using efficient data structures to learn about how they
    function?
Dynamic Programming (DP)
                                  • Roger Bellman, 1950s, working at RAND institute.
                                  • Picked the name "Dynamic Programming" to please his
                                    boss.
 • Method for solving problems by constructing the solution bottom-up.
    Applications
     o Bioinformatics: protein and RNA folding, sequence alignment
     • Speech recognition: Viterbi's algorithm

    Time series modeling: Dynamic time warping

     Search: string matching

    Scheduling: weighted interval

     Music: Beat tracking

    Routing: shortest path problems

Objectives
After this talk we should be able to:
   Recognize the ingredients needed to solve a problem with DP.
  ☐ Write down and execute some simple DP algorithms.
  ☐ Be able to implement a DP algorithm for a real-world problem (homework).
Basic Intuition
 • What is:
     • 1+1+1+1+1=?
 Now what is:
     • 1+1+1+1+1+1=?
First ingredient: Optimal Substructures
 The optimal structure can be built by combining optimal solutions to smaller problems.
E.g. Fibonacci numbers
 • Fib(0)=1
 • Fib(1)=1
 • Fib(n)=Fib(n-1)+Fib(n-2)
```

Second ingredient: Overlapping Substructures

The same subproblem's solution is used multiple times.

return fib(n-1) + fib(n-2)

An example without overlapping subproblems.

return binary_search(A, left, mid-1, query)

return binary_search(A, mid+1, right, query)

Case study: Weighted Interval Scheduling

• Output: a compatible subset S of $\{1,..,n\}$ that maximizes $\sum_{i\in S}v_i.$

• Uses: resource allocation for computer systems, optimizing course selection.

S is compatible iff all pairs of intervals in S are non-overlapping.

Call tree binary_search(A=[15, 22, 32, 36, 41, 63, 75], left=0, right=4, query=75):

• Input: N requests, labeled $\{1,...,n\}$. Each request has a start time s_i and an end time f_i , and a

• From "previous" lectures we know the greedy approach to the unweighted IS problem gives

The weights force us to consider all possible subproblems (i.e. local choice is not enough).

Let us sort all intervals by increasing finish time and let p(j) return the latest interval i that is still

p(1) = 0

p(2) = 0

p(3) = 1

p(4) = 0

p(5) = 3

p(1) = 0

p(5) = 3

p(6) = 3

p(1) = 0

p(2) = 0

p(3) = 1

p(4) = 0

p(5) = 3

p(6) = 3

def binary_search(A, left, right, query):

if n == 0 or n == 1: return 1

def fib(n):

Recursive solution is $O(2^n)$.

if low > high:

return None

if A[mid] == query: return i elif A[mid] > query:

mid = (left + right) // 2

Binary Search

else:

weight V_i.

Example:

Counterexample:

A helper function

compatible with j.

Index

2

3

4

5

Case 1

Case 1

Implication?

Optimal Substructure

Call tree fib(6):

```
False start: greedy approach
```

the optimal solution (i.e. pick item with earliest ending time)

```
p(6) = 3
6
```

First ingredient: Optimal Substructure?

• There are two cases for the last request, n.:

• Consider O_n to be the *optimal* set of requests over all items n.

```
Ingredients
 Optimal Substructure
 Overlapping Subproblems
First algorithm
Now we can write down a recursive algorithm that gives us the maximum weight over 1,...,n.
compute_OPT(j):
```

Ingredient 2: Overlapping Substructures

Index

4

5

6

Runtime:

Ingredients

Optimal Substructure

Memoization

Runtime:

0.

Index

2

3

4

5

6

Recap

Fill M and get O_n :

Are we done?

Full Example

Overlapping Subproblems

the solution you need.

New algo: M_compute_OPT(j)

Let's build the execution tree for our recursive algorithm on this example:

Let OPT(j) be the total weight of the optimal over intevals up to j.

We can now write an expression for computing OPT(j):

```
• Traceback is a key idea in DP. We reconstruct the solution backwards from the M array
  using the recurrence.
- Obervation: We know that an interval j belongs to \boldsymbol{O}_j if:
```

• Key idea in DP: remember solutions to sub-problems and iterate such that you already have

• Recall OPT(j) is just a number, we want the set of intervals with the score OPT(j)... i.e. O_j .

Now we just apply this rule going backwards from j=n and collect optimal intervals until we reach

• Dynamic Programming works well when we have a problem structure such that:

• We can often reduce runtime complexity from exponential to polynomial or linear.

 \boldsymbol{A}

• Knowing the sequence is easy but not so informative, knowing the structure is hard but tells

 \boldsymbol{A}

Ruth Nussinov, designed the first RNA folding algo in 1977.

A C A U G A U G G C C A U G U

Combining sub-problems solves the whole problem

Sub-problems overlap

General interest: RNA folding

us a lot about the molecule's function.

A Sketch of Nussinov's Algorithm

• First attempt at solving this problem

• RNA molecules are essential to all living organisms.

Α

 $U \diamondsuit$

RNA folding rules

• In CS terms, an RNA is a string on a 4-letter alphabet. • An RNA **structure** is a pair of indices over the string with certain constraints.

```
We use some fairly realistic constraints on admissible structures:
   1. Only A-U, U-A and C-G, G-U form pairs
   2. Pairs shall not cross (nestedness).
   3. Start and end of a pair should be separated by at least \theta spaces (remove steric clashes).
   4. The best structure is the one that forms the most pairs (stability). e.g each pair adds 1 to
      the score.
This lets us identify the problem structure needed for a DP solution:
Consider an optimal set of pairs O_{ij} between two indices (i, j), and the score of the olution
OPT(i, j). We have two cases for index j:
Case 1. j is not in O_{ij}:
Note we introduce a new variable → 2 dimensional DP.
Case 2. j is in O_{ij}:
```

carlos@ozeki.io.

Ingredients? Optimal substructures Overlapping subproblems From this we can build our recurrence that fills the table up to OPT(1, L). **Bonus Questions:** What is the runtime for filling the table? If you want an exercise sheet to learn how to implement this send me an email