

# Cumming School of Medicine



## Evolution of variable mutative organisms Summary of Literature

Oliver A.S. Lyon  
2021

Supervisor: Dr. Jason de Koning

### 1 Project Outline

A project proposal may or may not appear here. It depends on how private the material should be.

### 2 References Discussion

This section is intended for me to write notes connecting the material in the references with observations and comments.

### References

- [1] ; on behalf of the ACMG Laboratory Quality Assurance Committee, S. Richards, N. Aziz, S. Bale, D. Bick, S. Das, J. Gastier-Foster, W. W. Grody, M. Hegde, E. Lyon, E. Spector, K. Voelkerding, and H. L. Rehm, "Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology," *Genetics in Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 405–423, may 2015. [Online]. Available: <http://www.nature.com/articles/gim201530>

This is the guidelines for assessing the pathogeneity of a genetic variant by the ACMG. These guidelines are fairly exustive but are currently a bit out of date in my opinion with regard to in silico methods. The go through an

exhaustive list of impacts. These impacts are ranked as supporting, moderate, strong, and very strong when evaluating pathogeneticity and stand alone, strong, and supporting with regard to benign evidence. To list the impacts and evidence is not useful, but there are some select quotes highlighted in the text about population genetics and the use of in silico solutions.

- [2] S. Balsamo and A. Marin, “Separable solutions for Markov processes in random environments,” *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 229, no. 2, pp. 391–403, sep 2013. [Online]. Available: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0377221713002191>

NOT CLEAR YET.

- [3] E. Domingo and C. Perales, “Viral quasispecies,” *PLOS Genetics*, vol. 15, no. 10, p. e1008271, oct 2019. [Online]. Available: <https://dx.plos.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1008271>

A comprehensive review of support for Quasi-Species theory. This paper covers the historical basis and gives a comprehensive coverage of evidence for viral QS. It also discusses the following topics, Error-prone replication and mutant spectra, phenotypic reservoir, adaptive parameters, intra-mutant spectrum quasispecies memory, sequence space, population bottle neck, biological constraints, connections with viral pathogenesis, and QS and long term evolution.

- [4] J. Frazer, P. Notin, M. Dias, A. Gomez, J. K. Min, K. Brock, Y. Gal, and D. S. Marks, “Disease variant prediction with deep generative models of evolutionary data,” *Nature*, vol. 599, no. 7883, pp. 91–95, nov 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-021-04043-8>

This paper covers a neural network that uses the amino acid sequence of different proteins to produce an evolutionary index. The evolutionary index is then used to produce a distribution of different sequences and make a prediction of Benign, unknown, and Pathogenic. The distribution allows for an estimate of the confidence of the prediction. They used unsupervised learning and were able to achieve an avg AUC = 0.92

- [5] P. G. Harrison, “Reversed processes, product forms and a non-product form,” *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 386, pp. 359–381, jul 2004. [Online]. Available: <https://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0024379504001089>

NOT CLEAR YET.

- [6] E. C. Holmes and A. Moya, “Is the Quasispecies Concept Relevant to RNA Viruses?” *Journal of Virology*, vol. 76, no. 1, pp. 460–462, jan 2002. [Online]. Available: <https://journals.asm.org/doi/10.1128/JVI.76.1.460-462.2002>

This is a letter to the editor, discussing the issues of applying quasi-species to viral RNA. It starts by criticizing the lack of formal definitions of QS.

This letter addresses, criticisms (lack of def, lack of drift), and evidence (strong theoretic basis?) it makes arguments but many seem flimsy now.

- [7] A. Moya, E. C. Holmes, and G.-C. Fernando, “The population genetics and evolutionary epidemiology of RNA viruses,” *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 279–288, apr 2004. [Online]. Available: <http://www.nature.com/articles/nrmicro863>

This paper reviews viral RNA mechanisms and some quantifications around the variables of mutation. Then it discusses how studies are structured for wet lab research of mutation and evolution studies. Helps to give a large overview of the topic of viral RNA without discussing Quasi-Species.

- [8] on behalf of the ClinGen Sequence Variant Interpretation Working Group (ClinGen SVI), S. V. Tavtigian, M. S. Greenblatt, S. M. Harrison, R. L. Nussbaum, S. A. Prabhu, K. M. Boucher, and L. G. Biesecker, “Modeling the ACMG/AMP variant classification guidelines as a Bayesian classification framework,” *Genetics in Medicine*, vol. 20, no. 9, pp. 1054–1060, sep 2018. [Online]. Available: <http://www.nature.com/articles/gim2017210>

This paper uses naive bayes method to develop a classifier for pathogeneity of a genetic variant. This method is okay, it is not very strong, but is laying the ground work for future studies. It does a good job of relating the ACMG guidelines to a mathematical framework.

- [9] C. O. Wilke, “Quasispecies theory in the context of population genetics,” *BMC Evolutionary Biology*, vol. 5, no. 1, p. 44, dec 2005. [Online]. Available: <https://bmcevolbiol.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2148-5-44>

This paper is wonderful, it describes and relates the equations from quasi-species and the concepts from evolutionary theory. It shows how the QS equations can be derived from the principals mutation-selection equations.