

A Larger Equational Proof on Lists

Principles of Functional Programming

A Law of Reverse

For a more difficult example, let's consider the reverse function.

We pick its inefficient definition, because its more amenable to equational proofs:

```
Nil.reverse = Nil // 1st clause
(x :: xs).reverse = xs.reverse ++ List(x) // 2nd clause
```

We'd like to prove the following proposition

```
xs.reverse.reverse = xs
```

Proof

By induction on xs. The base case is easy:

Proof

By induction on xs. The base case is easy:

For the induction step, let's try:

```
(x :: xs).reverse.reverse
= (xs.reverse ++ List(x)).reverse // by 2nd clause of reverse
```

Proof

By induction on xs. The base case is easy:

For the induction step, let's try:

```
(x :: xs).reverse.reverse
= (xs.reverse ++ List(x)).reverse // by 2nd clause of reverse
```

We can't do anything more with this expression, therefore we turn to the right-hand side:

```
x :: xs
= x :: xs.reverse.reverse  // by induction hypothesis
```

Both sides are simplified in different expressions.

To Do

We still need to show:

```
(xs.reverse ++ List(x)).reverse = x :: xs.reverse.reverse
```

Trying to prove it directly by induction doesn't work.

We must instead try to *generalize* the equation. For *any* list ys,

```
(ys ++ List(x)).reverse = x :: ys.reverse
```

This equation can be proved by a second induction argument on ys.

```
(Nil ++ List(x)).reverse  // to show: = x :: Nil.reverse
```

```
(Nil ++ List(x)).reverse  // to show: = x :: Nil.reverse

= List(x).reverse  // by 1st clause of ++
```

```
(Nil ++ List(x)).reverse  // to show: = x :: Nil.reverse

= List(x).reverse  // by 1st clause of ++

= (x :: Nil).reverse  // by definition of List
```

```
(Nil ++ List(x)).reverse // to show: = x :: Nil.reverse
= List(x).reverse
                 // by 1st clause of ++
= (x :: Nil).reverse // by definition of List
= Nil ++ (x :: Nil) // by 2nd clause of reverse
= x :: Nil
                         // by 1st clause of ++
= x :: Nil.reverse
                         // by 1st clause of reverse
```

```
((v :: vs) ++ List(x)).reverse
                                  // to show: = x :: (y :: ys).reverse
= (v :: (vs ++ List(x))).reverse // by 2nd clause of ++
= (vs ++ List(x)).reverse ++ List(v) // by 2nd clause of reverse
= (x :: vs.reverse) ++ List(y) // by the induction hypothesis
= x :: (ys.reverse ++ List(y))
                                  // by 1st clause of ++
= x :: (y :: ys).reverse
                                    // by 2nd clause of reverse
```

This establishes the auxiliary equation, and with it the main proposition.

Exercise

Prove the following distribution law for map over concatenation.

For any lists xs, ys, function f:

```
(xs ++ ys).map(f) = xs.map(f) ++ ys.map(f)
```

You will need the clauses of ++ as well as the following clauses for map: