INFO-0045: Introduction to Computer Security

Project 1 - Firewalls
Part 3 - iptables Rules

Maxime Goffart
180521

Olivier Joris
182113

Academic year 2021 - 2022

1 Implementation of the rules

1.1 NAT rules

We implemented rules concerning incoming traffic (SSH, SMTP, or IMAPS) using the `PREROUTING` chain and the `DNAT` target (static NAT).

We implemented rules concerning traffic staying inside the network (SSH relay and PWEB) using the `POSTROUTING` chain and the `SNAT` target (static NAT).

We implemented rules concerning outgoing traffic using the `POSTROUTING` chain and the `MASQUERADE` target (dynamic NAT).

1.2 Firewall rules

Because the firewalls are not the sources or the destinations of the packets exchanged in the network, we decided to adopt a policy dropping traffic related to `INPUT` and `OUTPUT` chains. Thus, our implemented rules only deal with the `FORWARD` chain.

Because we wanted that our firewalls act as stateful firewalls, we needed to allow traffic related to accepted connection using this command : `iptables -A FORWARD -m conntrack -ctstate RELATED,ESTABLISHED -j ACCEPT`.

All the commands implementing our firewall rules follow a same scheme : `iptables -A FORWARD -p protocol [-d destination-ip] [-s source-ip] -dport destination-port -m conntrack -ctstate NEW -j <ACCEPT | DROP | LOG>`. These rules are implemented in their priority orders because we used the `-A` option.

2 Performed tests

We tested to the maximum the behavior of our firewalls to see if our implementation does not contain any issue. It is more difficult to see if there are no problems with what is not allowed than with what is allowed. This is why the last firewall rules are there. They deny by default. The tests we have done are listed below.

- We tested that `HONEYPOT` can share the `/home/sharing` directory with the `NFS` server.
- We tested that `U3` can synchronize files with the `RSYNC` server on the vlad account (including through the `SSH` relay which implies secured `RSYNC`).
- We tested that `HONEYPOT` is reachable through the `SSH` relay.
- We tested that `U1` and `U2` can obtain one IP address through their respective `DHCP` relays.
- We tested that `U1` can access `LWEB` using the ftp and http protocol.
- We tested that `U2` can access `LWEB` using the http protocol.
- We tested that `U1` and `U2` are reachable using the `SSH` relay.
- We tested that `U1` and `U2` can perform http(s) requests through the http(s) proxy. We also did some http(s) request using domain name to test the requests to `LDNS` and `PDNS`.

- We tested that `U1` and `U2` can send mails inside and outside the network.
- We tested that `U1` can connect to the `SSH` relay and not `U2`.
- We tested that `DT` can connect to the `SSH` relay and is reachable through the `SSH` relay.
- We tested that `DT` can access `PWEB` using the http and https protocols and domain names (`PDNS`).
- We tested that `PWEB` is reachable from the `SSH` relay.