Notations

We use \overline{x}_2 to push a continuation on the continuation stack of the topmost fiber, without modifyin the effect handler:

$$\kappa = (\langle \kappa s, \eta \rangle = \mu s) = \langle \kappa = \kappa s, \eta \rangle = \mu s$$

Computations

$$K_{\text{ret}} = \underline{\lambda}x \text{ ks. } \underline{\text{let}} \langle \text{kx } \underline{::} k \underline{::} \text{ks'}, \ \eta \rangle \underline{::} \text{ ms} = \text{ks } \underline{\text{in}}$$

$$k \underline{@} x \underline{@} (\langle \text{ks'}, \eta \rangle \underline{::} \text{ ms})$$

$$\llbracket e \mapsto N \rrbracket = \underline{\lambda}e \text{ ks. } \llbracket N \rrbracket \overline{@} \uparrow \text{ks}$$

Note: In the setting of js_of_ocaml, K_{ret} is not strictly necessary, as the source language has explicit POPTRAP statements: we could either push a dummy continuation and have POPTRAP pop it (but we need to push something for the stack to look as expected to the rest of the translation), or push K_{ret} and have POPTRAP simply return through it. Currently, I'm doing both, but it is superfluous.

Top level program

$$\top \llbracket \mathbf{M} \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbf{M} \rrbracket \ \overline{\underline{o}} \ \left(\left\langle (\underline{\lambda} x \text{ ks. } x) \ \overline{::} \ (\underline{\lambda} z \text{ ks. absurd } z) \ \overline{::} \ \uparrow [] \right\rangle, \ (\underline{\lambda} z \text{ ks. absurd } z) \ \overline{::} \ \uparrow [] \right)$$