TP2

Basic PageRank

Functions

These are the functions we created to compute the PageRank index.

Sum powers of a matrix

Computes the sum of n first powers of matrix m.

n.d is a damping factor applied to the powers of m.

```
Example: sum.powers.matrix(m, 3, 2) returns: m + \frac{1}{2^2} * m^2 + \frac{1}{3^2} * m^3
```

```
sum.powers.matrix <- function(m, n) {
  powers <- c(1:n)
  res <- Reduce('+', lapply(powers, function(x) m %~% x))
  res[res > 1] <- 1

  return(res)
}</pre>
```

Remove auto-references

Removes all the auto-references in matrix m (ie puts the diagonal to 0).

```
remove.autoreferences <- function(m) {
   res <- m
   diag(res) <- 0

   return(res)
}</pre>
```

PageRank iteration

Computes an iteration of the PageRank algorithm.

Parameters:

- refs: the references matrix
- n: the number of powers of refs to consider (ie the depth of references)
- d: the PageRank damping factor
- n.d: the damping factor for powers of refs (see function sum.powers.matrix)
- pr: the current PageRank values

```
#Cette fonction fait une itérations de l'algorithme page rank. Nous avons ajouter une petite modificati
# article non référencé) on remplace ensuite la valeur qui sera égale a Inf, par un 0
page.rank <- function(m, d, pr){
  denum <- (pr/colSums(m))
  denum[denum == Inf] <- 0</pre>
```

```
(pr <- (1-d)/3 + (d * (m %*%denum)))
#print(pr[450])
return(pr)
}</pre>
```

corr.vm

We're also using some of the other functions used in TP1 in regards to item-item recommandations.

corr.vm Computes the Pearson correlations between the different rows of a matrix.

```
#Correlation entre la rangee v (v = index) et chaque colonne de la matrice m
corr.vm <- function(v,m) {
    # on centre les valeurs de la matrice m en fonction de la moyenne, on la renomme m.centre
    v.i <- rowMeans(m[,], na.rm=T)
    # on enleve les NA
    m[is.na(m)] <- 0
    m.centre <- m - v.i
    # on centre le vecteur v en fonction de sa moyenne
    v.index <- v
    v.index[is.na(v)] <- 0
    v.index <- v.index - v.index - mean(v, na.rm=T)
    # on retourne ensuite un vecteur correspondant entre le vecteur v et sa correlation avec chaque range
    return( (v.index%*%t(m.centre))/(sqrt(sum(v.index^2) * rowSums(m.centre^2))))
}</pre>
```

cosinus.vm

function that computes the Cos between all the different columns of a matrix

```
## Cosinus entre un vecteur v et chaque colonne dela matrice m
cosinus.vm <- function(v,m) {
    # On on met tous nos valeurs de NA a O, sinon on va avoir des problemes de calculs avec des matrices
    m[is.na(m)] <- 0
    v[is.na(v)] <- 0;
    # On calcule le cosinus entre le vecteur V et les colonnes de la matrice m en utilisant la formule vu
    (v %*% m)/(sqrt(colSums(m^2)) * sqrt(sum(v^2)))
}</pre>
```

page.rank.until.stab

This function calls an iteration of page rank until our rankings are stabilized. Stabilized meaning that the mean error between our last two iterations of page rank is less then 0.0001

```
# Cette fonction exécute l'algorithme PageRank jusqu'à ce que c'est valeurs soit stabilisés. On définie
page.rank.until.stab <- function(m, d, pr){
    pr.next <- page.rank(m, d, pr)
    while(abs(mean(pr.next-pr)) > 0.0001){
        pr <- pr.next
        pr.next <- page.rank(m,d,pr)
    }
    return (pr)
}</pre>
```

PageRank flow

```
Read source file and turn it into a matrix (for later work).
```

```
# Read source file
data <- read.table("citeseer.rtable")</pre>
# Cast data to matrix
references <- as.matrix(data)
Example of PageRank computations with a simple reference matrix.
m \leftarrow matrix(c(0,1,1,0,0,1,1,0,0),3)
         [,1] [,2] [,3]
##
## [1,]
                 0
            0
## [2,]
            1
                       0
## [3,]
                       0
Initial PageRank values:
pr <- rep(1,3)
## [1] 1 1 1
3 PageRank iterations:
pr <- page.rank(m, d, pr)</pre>
print(pr)
##
          [,1]
## [1,] 0.900
## [2,] 0.475
## [3,] 1.325
pr <- page.rank(m, d, pr)</pre>
print(pr)
##
            [,1]
## [1,] 1.17625
## [2,] 0.43250
## [3,] 0.83625
pr <- page.rank(m, d, pr)</pre>
##
              [,1]
## [1,] 0.7608125
## [2,] 0.5499063
## [3,] 0.9175312
```

Question 1

We start our first question by importing all our data from our citeseer dataset.

```
m = as.matrix(read.table("citeseer.rtable", check.names=F))
library("expm")
library("dplyr")
```

```
d <- 0.85
pr <- rep(1, dim(m)[1])</pre>
```

We then calculate the domain of our search. We specify $S_{primary}$ as our primary domain being the references of our target article, which is 422908. We're calculating our extended domain, which is S' and defined as the domain of all our articles in S. Which can be described as $S' = S \wedge \sum_i S(S_i)$. We calculate S' by squaring our references matrix, which gives us the references of our references and then adding it to our previous matrix. All values for an article x that are higher then 0 are considered in our domain.

```
\# On calcule notre domaine S comme étant tout l
#es article sont référencés par notre origine. Pour faire cela,
#on regarde ceux qui on une valeur positive dans notre matrice référentielle
S \leftarrow \text{which}(m["422908",]==1)
\# Pour le domaine S prime, nous voulons aussi rajouter les références des références.
#Pour faire cela, nous faisont que prendre la somme des deux première puissance de la
# matrice référentielle. C'est a dire, la matrice référentielle elle-même
#et la deuxième puissance.
m.prime <- sum.powers.matrix(m,2)</pre>
#on enleve les auto-references
diag(m.prime) <- 0</pre>
#et on prend les valeurs positives
S.prime <- which(m.prime["422908",]==1)
print(S)
## 110303
              124 131548 147460 149673 155792
                                                 17094
                                                         19422 241538 311874
##
       41
              92
                     113
                             162
                                    168
                                                   232
                                                           295
                                                                  401
                                                                          547
                                            184
## 315693
            3170 396568 466838 497542 522428
                                                 64835
                     723
##
      557
             560
                             809
                                    859
                                            889
                                                   961
print(S.prime)
## 110303
              124 131548 147460 149673 149820 155792
                                                         17094
                                                                19422 206738
               92
                                                                  295
##
       41
                     113
                             162
                                    168
                                            169
                                                   184
                                                           232
                                                                          312
## 225173
           22638 241538 296098 311874 315693
                                                  3170 389559 396568 425638
##
      363
              369
                     401
                             511
                                    547
                                            557
                                                   560
                                                           710
                                                                  723
                                                                          755
   426325
          466838 497542 522428
                                  64835
                                          70445
                                                 96767
##
      758
              809
                     859
                             889
                                    961
                                            985
                                                  1081
```

We then continue with on with calculating the PageRank of all our articles.

```
#On calcule le pagerank de tout nos articles (pas très long)
pr <- page.rank.until.stab(m, d, pr)
```

And then we extract from all the Page Ranks, the one that we are interested in, being the ones in the $S_{primary}$ domain and the S' domain.

```
#on place les rankings PageRank de notre domaine dans un vecteur
S.rankings <- pr[S]
#pour le domaine S prime
S.prime.rankings <- pr[S.prime]
#On crée un dataframe avec nos données
S.dat <- data.frame(S.rankings, S)
S.dat$article = rownames(S.dat)
#même chose pour le domaine S prime
S.prime.dat <- data.frame(S.prime.rankings, S.prime)</pre>
```

S.prime.dat\$article = rownames(S.prime.dat) print.data.frame(S.prime.dat) S.prime.rankings S.prime article ## 110303 0.06687686 41 110303 ## 124 0.07502095 92 124 ## 131548 0.08741421 113 131548 ## 147460 0.07570849 162 147460 ## 149673 0.06458252 168 149673 ## 149820 0.07597222 169 149820 ## 155792 0.09559443 184 155792 ## 17094 0.08532668 232 17094 ## 19422 295 19422 0.06003019 ## 206738 0.10839077 312 206738 225173 ## 225173 0.05069370 363 ## 22638 0.09349323 369 22638 ## 241538 0.05000000 401 241538 ## 296098 0.11393336 511 296098 ## 311874 0.05000000 547 311874 ## 315693 0.05897222 557 315693 ## 3170 0.08210018 560 3170 ## 389559 0.05000000 710 389559 ## 396568 723 0.05000000 396568 ## 425638 0.05000000 755 425638 758 ## 426325 0.08988252 426325 0.06651787 ## 466838 809 466838 ## 497542 0.06432166 859 497542 ## 522428 0.05000000 889 522428 ## 64835 0.07185830 961 64835 ## 70445 0.08631711 985 70445 ## 96767 0.08451993 1081 96767 print.data.frame(S.dat)

```
##
          S.rankings
                       S article
## 110303 0.06687686
                      41
                          110303
## 124
          0.07502095
                      92
                              124
## 131548 0.08741421 113
                          131548
## 147460 0.07570849 162
                          147460
## 149673 0.06458252 168
                          149673
## 155792 0.09559443 184
                          155792
## 17094 0.08532668 232
                           17094
## 19422
         0.06003019 295
                           19422
## 241538 0.05000000 401
                          241538
## 311874 0.05000000 547
                          311874
## 315693 0.05897222 557
                          315693
## 3170
          0.08210018 560
                            3170
## 396568 0.05000000 723
                          396568
## 466838 0.06651787 809
                          466838
## 497542 0.06432166 859
                          497542
## 522428 0.05000000 889
```

64835 0.07185830 961

after sorting all the values, we can determine which ones we will recommend.

522428

64835

```
#on effectue un trie sur nos valeurs, on sort celles qui sont les plus hautes en premier
S.best <- S.dat %>% select(S.rankings, S, article) %>% arrange(desc(S.rankings, arr.ind=T))
#même chose pour le domaine S prime
S.prime.best <- S.prime.dat %>% select(S.prime.rankings, S.prime, article) %>% arrange(desc(S.prime.rankings, S.prime)
head(S.best)
     S.rankings
                  S article
## 1 0.09559443 184
                    155792
## 2 0.08741421 113
                     131548
## 3 0.08532668 232
                      17094
## 4 0.08210018 560
                       3170
## 5 0.07570849 162
                     147460
## 6 0.07502095 92
                         124
head(S.prime.best)
     S.prime.rankings S.prime article
## 1
           0.11393336
                          511 296098
## 2
           0.10839077
                          312 206738
## 3
           0.09559443
                          184 155792
## 4
                           369
                                 22638
           0.09349323
## 5
           0.08988252
                          758 426325
## 6
           0.08741421
                          113 131548
```

Question 2

For the second question, we will compute recommendations based on the same principles then the TP1. We will use and item-item approach and recommend articles based on the similarity of their references. To do that, we will simply compute the Pearson Correlation and the Cosine between all of their rows.

```
## on calcule nos coefficients de correlation et du cosinus
corr.ratings <- corr.vm(m["422908",], m[S,])
cos.ratings <- cosinus.vm(m["422908",], t(m[S,]))

# on remplace les NaN par 0
corr.ratings[is.nan(corr.ratings)] <- 0
cos.ratings[is.nan(cos.ratings)] <- 0

# on prends nos etiquettes
labels.corr <- colnames(corr.ratings)
labels.cos <- colnames(cos.ratings)

# on cree nos dataframes
df.corr <- data.frame(corr = as.vector(corr.ratings), article = labels.corr)
df.cos <- data.frame(cos = as.vector(cos.ratings), article = labels.cos)</pre>
```

Having done that, we can sort all of our coefficients and then take our highest ones for recommendation.

```
#on trie
df.best.cos <- df.cos %>% select(cos, article) %>% arrange(desc(cos, arr.ind=T))
df.best.corr <- df.corr %>% select(corr, article) %>% arrange(desc(corr, arr.ind=T))
print.data.frame(df.best.cos)
```

```
##
             cos article
## 1
      0.4850713
                  149673
##
      0.4850713
                  466838
  3
      0.4583492
##
                  155792
##
   4
      0.4338609
                  497542
##
  5
      0.3960590
                  147460
##
  6
      0.3960590
                   17094
      0.3429972
##
  7
                  131548
## 8
      0.3253957
                     124
##
  9
      0.3253957
                    3170
## 10 0.2169305
                   64835
   11 0.1714986
                  315693
##
  12 0.1400280
                   19422
  13 0.0000000
                  110303
## 14 0.0000000
                  241538
## 15 0.0000000
                  311874
## 16 0.0000000
                  396568
## 17 0.0000000
                  522428
print.data.frame(df.best.corr)
##
               corr article
## 1
       0.482159228
                     149673
##
  2
       0.482159228
                     466838
##
  3
       0.453336944
                     155792
##
       0.429746082
                     497542
##
  5
       0.390922862
                     147460
##
  6
       0.390922862
                      17094
##
  7
       0.336156075
                     131548
## 8
       0.320173397
                       3170
##
  9
       0.320173397
                         124
##
  10
       0.210600712
                      64835
##
   11
       0.167613946
                     315693
       0.134714960
##
   12
                      19422
##
   13
       0.00000000
                     241538
```

Interpretation

17 -0.005396661

0.00000000

0.00000000

0.00000000

311874

396568

522428

110303

14

15

16

Judging from the recommendation of all our possible scenarios. We can safely assume that all of our recommendations are admissible. Admissible being that they are related to the original article in question.

We can see that the article 155792 is one of the few that figures in all our recommendations. It is recommended 3rd by both our item-item approaches and is recommended by the two domains of the PageRanks approaches. This articles is about logics and models of real time, while the original article is about symbolic model checking for real-time systems. This is a very good recommendation.

Now, if we look at our top recommendation of our S' domain, we can see that the recommendation is a little bit different. The article recommended is about minimal state graph generation. While not being closely related to real-time systems, it might be relevant through the mathematical principle found in the article itself and may be a very good recommendation based on what the reader is actually trying to accomplish.

On the other hand, The top recommendations of our item-item approaches are still about real-time systems while being part of our domains S and by extent S'

Conclusion

Judging from our results, we can conclude that both methods yield quite powerful results. Though they are very different in nature..

Our item-item approach gives recommendations that are very close in terms of euclidian distance. They account for the references between the articles and is not subject to a restricted domain. They look at the reference matrix in its whole for articles that are close to each other and calculates the ones that are closer to each other. Judging from our results, we can safely say that this method can be used for recommendations purposes.

the Page Rank approach gives a much more personnalized approach. the page rank algorithm is inherently more axed toward the relations between the articles thus making it more personalized then the item-item approach. One of the key factors of the Page Rank is the establishment of the domain. In our experiment, we used S and S' as the domains for our algoriths. Both of these domains yielded great results (Results that are very similar to the item-item approach), but S' yielded more interesting results. The top recommendation for S' isn't closely related to the original article itself, but it explains one particular part of the article in better detail. This makes it a better recommendation by nature then most recommendations given by S and the item-item approaches.

Although we concluded that we had good recommendations, it is ultimately depending on the user. What we consider a good recommendation might be considered a bad recommendation by a user who is looking into writing his research paper while our recommendation is a perfect recommendation for someone who is looking for some light reading. It depends on what the user needs.